Supporting Information

Morphology control of metal-modified zirconium phosphate support structures for the oxygen evolution reaction

Mario V. Ramos-Garcés,^{a,b} Joel Sanchez,^{c,d} Kálery La Luz-Rivera,^{a,b} Thomas F. Jaramillo,^{cd} and Jorge L. Colón^{a,b*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Puerto Rico at Río Piedras, 17 Ave. Universidad STE 1701, San Juan, PR 00925-2537, USA

^b PREM Center for Interfacial Electrochemistry of Energy Materials, University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, PR 00925, USA

^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

^d SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, Stanford University, CA 94305, USA

Corresponding author email: jorge.colon10@upr.edu

Surface-area-to-volume ratio (SA:V) calculations:

All SA:V calculations are based off the average dimensions of the ZrP structures obtained through TEM/STEM.

a-ZrP:

Average diameter = 120 nm; side length = 60 nm; average height = 14 nm

Surface Area (assuming a regular hexagon structure) = $3\frac{\sqrt{3}s^2}{2} = 9353 nm^2$

Volume = $3\frac{\sqrt{3}s^2}{2}$ *h = 1.31*10⁵ nm³

SA:V = 0.071

ZrP_{rods}:

Average length = 760 nm

Average diameter = 25 nm

Surface area (assuming a cylinder structure) = $2\pi rh + 2\pi r^2 = 6062 nm^2$

Volume = $\pi r^2 h = 3.73 * 10^5 nm^3$

SA:V = 0.16

ZrP_{cubes}:

Average side length = 175 nm

Surface area (assuming a perfect cube) = $6s^2 = 1.84*10^5 \text{ nm}^2$

Volume = $5.36 \times 10^6 \text{ nm}^3$

SA:V = 0.034

ZrP_{spheres}:

Average radius = 765 nm

Surface area (assuming a perfect sphere) = $7.35*10^6$ nm²

Volume = $1.88 \times 10^9 \text{ nm}^3$

SA:V = 0.0039



Figure S1. Experimentally determined XRPD pattern of α-ZrP and ICSD entry 1281.



Figure S2. Experimentally determined XRPD pattern of ZrP_{rods} and ICSD entry 1281.



Figure S3. Experimentally determined XRPD pattern of ZrP_{cubes} and ICSD entry 1946943.



Figure S4. XRPD patterns of α -ZrP, Ni/ZrP_{α ,hexagonal}, and Co/ZrP_{α ,hexagonal}.



Figure S5. XRPD patterns of ZrP_{rods} , Ni/ZrP_{rod}, and Co/ZrP_{rod}.



Figure S6. XRPD patterns of ZrP_{cubes} (τ '-ZrP), Ni/ ZrP_{cube} , and Co/ ZrP_{cube} .



Figure S7. XRPD patterns of ZrP_{spheres}, Ni/ZrP_{spheres}, and Co/ZrP_{sphere}.

Figure S8. EDS spectrum of ZrP_{rods}.

Figure S9. TEM/STEM micrographs of cobalt-modified ZrP_{cubes} . Scale bar: 0.5 μ m, 200 nm, and 10 nm; respectively.

Figure S10. SEM micrographs of (a) α -ZrP, (b) ZrP_{rods}, (c) ZrP_{cubes}, and (d) ZrP_{spheres}. Scale bar: 0.5 μ m, 1 μ m, 1 μ m, and 10 μ m; respectively.

Figure S11. EDS spectrum of α -ZrP.

Figure S12. EDS spectrum of ZrP_{spheres}.

Figure S13. EDS spectrum of ZrP_{cubes} .

Figure S14. Linear sweep voltammograms of ZrP_{cubes} , and both metal-modified ZrP_{cube} catalysts.

Figure S15. Linear sweeps voltammograms of ZrP_{rods}, and both metal-modified ZrP_{rod} catalysts.

Figure S16. Linear sweeps voltammograms of $ZrP_{spheres}$, and both metal-modified ZrP_{sphere} catalysts.

Figure S17. Conductivity vs metal loading for Co and Ni/ZrP catalysts.

Figure S18. XPS spectra for A) Co-modified ZrP catalysts and B) Ni-modified ZrP catalysts.

Catalyst	Mass activity (a) $\eta = 350 \text{ mV}$	Tafel Slopes (mV/dec)
	(A/g)	
$Co/ZrP_{\alpha,hexagonal}$	115	79
Co/ZrP _{rod}	4	79
Co/ZrP _{cube}	72	82
Co/ZrP _{sphere}	56	86
Ni/ZrP $_{\alpha,hexagonal}$	50	132
Ni/ZrP _{rod}	85	127
Ni/ZrP _{cube}	91	134
Ni/ ZrP _{sphere}	272	67
IrO _x	257	47
Co ₃ O ₄	30	49
NiFeO _x H _y	633	42

Table S1. Mass activity (at $\eta = 350 \text{ mV}$) and Tafel slope comparison for metal-modified ZrP catalysts and selected state-of-the-art OER catalysts in alkaline electrolyte.¹

References:

1) D. Xu, M. Stevens, M. Cosby, S. Z. Oener, A. Smith, L. J. Enman, K. E. Ayers, C. B. Capuano, J. Renner, N. Danilovic, Y. Li, H. Wang, Q. Zhang and S. W. Boettcher, *ACS Catal.* 2019, **9**, 7-15.