Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

Electronic Supporting Information *belonging to*

Aerobic dehydrogenation of amines to nitriles catalyzed by triazolylidene ruthenium complexes with O₂ as terminal oxidant

Marta Olivares,^a Pascal Knörr^a and Martin Albrecht^{*a}

^a Departement für Chemie und Biochemie, Universität Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

* E-mail: martin.albrecht@dcb.unibe.ch

Table of contents:

| 1. | NMR spectra of all new compounds | S2 |
|----|----------------------------------|-----|
| 2. | Catalytic details | S8 |
| 3. | Crystallographic details | 512 |

1. NMR spectra of all new complexes



Figure S1. 1 H (top) and 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (bottom) spectra of 4b (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Figure S2. ¹H (top) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (bottom) spectra of 4c (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Figure S3. 1 H (top) and 13 C{ 1 H} NMR (bottom) spectra of 5 (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Figure S4. ¹H (top) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (bottom) spectra of 6a (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Figure S5. ¹H (top) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (bottom) spectra of **6b** (CDCl₃, 298 K).





2. Catalytic details

| Ĺ | NH ₂ | cat. [4b] (5 mol%) 1,2-DCB 150 ℃, 1 h | • | |
|-------|-----------------|--|-----------|--|
| entry | gas | nitrile (%) | imine (%) | conditions |
| 1 | Argon | 10 | 16 | open system (flask w condenser), dry solvent |
| 2 | N ₂ | 1 | 2 | closed system (Schlenk w minimal headspace) |
| 3 | Air | 29 | 49 | open system, reagent-grade solvent |
| 4 | Air | 23 | 45 | closed system, dry solvent |
| 5 | H ₂ | 24 | 55 | First 1 h under air (entry 4), then H_2 balloon for 1 h $$ |
| 6 | Air | 20 | 35 | Air balloon on condenser |
| 7 | O ₂ | 89 | 6 | closed system, dry solvent, balloon of O_2 |

Table S1. Variation of conditions for 4-methylbenzylamine oxidation with complex 4b.^a

^a general conditions: 4-methylbenzylamine (0.2 mmol), complex **4b** (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%),

1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C.



Figure S7. Catalytic profile for the oxidation of 4-methylbenzylamine using complex **4a** (left top panel), **4c** (right top panel), **5** (left bottom panel) and **6a** (right bottom panel) under aerobic reaction conditions (*cf.* entry 3, Table S1). General conditions: 4-methylbenzylamine (0.2 mmol), [Ru] (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C. For comparison with complex **4b**, see Fig. 2. Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR integration (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard) and are averaged over 2 runs.



Figure S8. Time-dependent profile for the catalytic oxidation of 4-methylbenzylamine with complex **5** under molecular oxygen conditions. General conditions: 4-methylbenzylamine (0.2 mmol), [Ru] (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C. Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR integration (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard) and are averaged over 2 runs.



Figure S9. Time-dependent profile for the catalytic oxidation of 4-methylbenzylamine with complex **5** under ammonia gas (6 mL) and molecular oxygen conditions. General conditions: 4-methylbenzylamine (0.2 mmol), [Ru] (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C. Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR integration (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard) and are averaged over 2 runs.



Figure S10. Time-dependent profile for the highly selective catalytic oxidation of decylamine with complex **7** under molecular oxygen and 6 mL ammonia atmosphere. General conditions: decylamine substrate (0.2 mmol), [Ru] (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C. Conversions were determined by ¹H NMR integration (1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard) and are averaged over 2 runs.



Figure S11. Time-dependent conversion profile for the catalytic oxidation of 4-methylbenzylamine with complex **7** under ammonia gas (6 mL) and molecular oxygen, a) in the absence, and b) in the presence of elemental mercury. General conditions: 4-methylbenzylamine (0.2 mmol), [Ru] (0.01 mmol, 5 mol%), 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL), 150 °C. For b) Hg (9 g, 45 mmol, 4,500 equiv) was added immediately after taking the 10 min sample.

3. Crystallographic details

| Identification No. | 1958588 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Empirical formula | $C_{23}H_{28}CIF_3N_4O_6RuS$ |
| Formula weight | 687.48 |
| Temperature | 173(2) К |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 9.7705(2) Å |
| | b = 10.1541(3) Å |
| | c = 16.0919(5) Å |
| Volume | 1369.33(8) Å ³ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.667 Mg m^{-3} |
| Absorption coefficient | 0.814 mm ⁻¹ |
| F(000) | 698 |
| Crystal size | 0.436 x 0.228 x 0.075 mm ³ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.294 to 28.196°. |
| Index ranges | -12<=h<=12, -13<=k<=13, -20<=l<=21 |
| Reflections collected | 21468 |
| Independent reflections | 6208 [R(int) = 0.0244] |
| Completeness to theta = 25.242° | 100 % |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.942 and 0.801 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F ² |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6208 / 0 / 371 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 0.963 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | R1 = 0.026, wR2 = 0.0626 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0292, wR2 = 0.0649 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.54 and –0.541 e Å ⁻³ |

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 4b.

| Identification No. | 1958592 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Empirical formula | $C_{23}H_{28}CIF_{3}N_{4}O_{6}RuS$ |
| Formula weight | 687.07 |
| Temperature | 173(10) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P21 |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 12.45753(10) Å |
| | b = 28.13655(18) Å |
| | c = 7.85029(6) Å |
| Volume | 2730.25(3) Å ³ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.659 Mg m^{-3} |
| Absorption coefficient | 0.815 mm ⁻¹ |
| F(000) | 1384.0 |
| Crystal size | 0.283 x 0.174 x 0.035 mm ³ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.294 to 56.246° |
| Index ranges | -16<=h<=16, -36<=k<=37, -10<=l<=10 |
| Reflections collected | 58568 |
| Independent reflections | 12395 [R(int) = 0.0421] |
| Completeness to theta | 100 % |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F ² |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 12395 / 139 / 752 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.041 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | R1 = 0.0325, wR2 = 0.0791 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0363, wR2 = 0.0812 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.94 and −0.51 e Å ⁻³ |

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 4c.

| Identification No. | 1958633 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Empirical formula | $C_{42}H_{52}CIF_6N_8O_9Ru_2S_2$ |
| Formula weight | 1264.07 |
| Temperature | 173(10) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 13.3854(4) Å |
| | b = 14.8258(5) Å |
| | c = 16.1157(12) Å |
| Volume | 2599.4(2) Å ³ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.615 Mg m ⁻³ |
| Absorption coefficient | 0.844 mm ⁻¹ |
| F(000) | 1280.0 |
| Crystal size | 0.2 x 0.1 x 0.02 mm ³ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.34 to 56.38° |
| Index ranges | -17<=h<=17, -19<=k<=19, -21<=l<=21 |
| Reflections collected | 14470 |
| Independent reflections | 14470 [R(int) = ?] |
| Completeness to theta | 100 % |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F ² |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 14470 / 28 / 633 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.031 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | R1 = 0.0706, wR2 = 0.1834 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.1048, wR2 = 0.1956 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.33 and –1.34 e Å ⁻³ |

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 6a.

| Identification No. | 1958593 |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Empirical formula | $C_{21}H_{26}F_6N_8O_6RuS_2$ |
| Formula weight | 765.69 |
| Temperature | 173(10) К |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 11.23950(10) Å |
| | b = 12.47830(10) Å |
| | c = 12.55360(10) Å |
| Volume | 1541.05(3) Å ³ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.650 Mg m ⁻³ |
| Absorption coefficient | 6.170 mm ⁻¹ |
| F(000) | 772.0 |
| Crystal size | 0.147 x 0.12 x 0.058 mm ³ |
| Theta range for data collection | 7.536 to 153.918° |
| Index ranges | -14<=h<=10, -15<=k<=15, -15<=l<=15 |
| Reflections collected | 29678 |
| Independent reflections | 6368 [R(int) = 0.0266] |
| Completeness to theta | 100 % |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F ² |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6368 / 316 / 440 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.070 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | R1 = 0.0470, wR2 = 0.1363 |
| R indices (all data) | R1 = 0.0478, wR2 = 0.1370 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.33 and –1.09 e Å ⁻³ |

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 7.