Supplementary Information for

Ultra-flexible perovskite solar cells with crumpling durability: Toward a wearable power source

Gunhee Lee^{*a,b*‡}, Min-cheol Kim^{*a,c*‡}, Yong Whan Choi^{*a,d*}, Namyoung Ahn^{*a*}, Jihun Jang^{*a*}, Jungjin

Yoon^a, Sang Moon Kim^e, Jong-Gu Lee^f, Daeshik Kang^g, Hyun Suk Jung^h* and Mansoo

Choi^{a,b}*

^aGlobal Frontier Center for Mulitscale Energy Systems, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

^bDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

^cDepartment of NanoEngineering, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, LaJolla, California 92093, United States.

^dDivision of Mechanical Convergence Engineering, Silla University, Busan, Republic of Korea.

^eDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Incheon National University, Incheon, 406-772, Republic of Korea.

^fSchool of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering/IAMD, Seoul National University, Gwanak 599, Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea.

^gDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Ajou University, San 5, Woncheon-dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 443-749, Republic of Korea

^hSchool of Advanced Materials Science & Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: XX.XXX/XX

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail:

hsjung1@skku.edu; mchoi@snu.ac.kr

This Supplementary Information includes:

Fig. S1 to S12 Table S1 to S3 Captions for Movies S1 References



Fig. S1. Schematic illustration and cross-sectional SEM images of device structure of the flexible perovskite solar cell.



Fig. S2. *J-V* curves of the perovskite solar cells before and after cyclic bending tests (R = 0.5 mm, 1,000 cycles) with different substrate thicknesses.



Fig. S3. SEM Images of PEDOT:PSS surface after cyclic bending test for 30 μ m substrate thickness at different bending radius (*R* = 1 and 0.5 mm)



Fig. S4. FEM simulation results of applied stress on the perovskite film with different substrate thicknesses at the fixed bending radius of (a) 0.5 mm and (b) 1 mm.



Fig. S5. Histograms of PCE of 45 devices using 2.5 μ m substrate



Fig. S6. (a) Steady-state photocurrent density (black) and power conversion efficiency (blue) measured at the maximum power voltage of 0.81 V for 200 sec. (b) EQE spectra (blue) and the integrated J_{SC} (red) of perovskite solar cell.



Fig. S7. Normalized (a) V_{OC} , (b) J_{SC} and (c) FF as a function of bending cycles depending on bending radius (R = 2, 1, and 0.5 mm) at the 2.5 μ m thick substrate.



Fig. S8. Histograms of PCE of 20 devices of large area (1.2 cm^2) flexible perovskite solar cells



Fig. S9. Normalized PCEs devices as a function of (a) bending, (b) crumpling and (c) folding cycles depending on with and without protecting layer. (1.2 cm² active area device)



Fig. S10. Illustration of simplified model for determining neutral plane axis in (a) homogeneous monolayer film and (b) multilayer stacks.

Neutral plane (NP) defines the position where the strains are zero in the film. Its position is the utmost important to determine the best location for the critical parts of the device. The determination for neutral plane starts from the fact that the total amount of force across the cross section must be 0, which can be denoted as below using stress (σ_x).¹

$$\int \sigma_x dA = 0 \tag{1}$$

From Hooke's law, stress (σ_x) can be described from strain (ε_x) and elastic modulus (E), therefore equation (1) can be rewritten as below. (*y* is the distance from neutral plan, *R* is radius of curvature as depicted in Fig. S10a)

$$\sigma_{\chi} = E\varepsilon_{\chi} = E\frac{y}{R}$$
(2)
$$\int EydA = 0$$
(3)

For a homogeneous monolayer beam, the distance of neutral plane from the top (h) should be at the center as a result of equation (3).

The total amount of force across the entire film of a neutral plane should be zero for multilayer stacks such as perovskite solar cells. If there are n layers having different elastic modulus and thickness, equation (3) should be as below.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int E_i y_i dA_i = 0 \tag{4}$$

As y_i s are the distances from the neutral axis to the centroid of each layer. Therefore y_i can be expressed with the distance of neutral axis from the top (*h*) and thickness of each materials (t_i) as can be seen in Fig. S10b. Note, y_i will be negative if the centroid of the material area is below the neutral axis. As a result, *h* can be calculated as below.²



Fig. S11. Schematic images of detailed fabrication process of parylene film on flexible perovskite solar cell. First, the parylene powder was vaporized when heated up to $150 \,^{\circ}$ C, and was formed into the dimer gas. Second, as the dimer gas passed through the furnace heated to 690oC, it was changed into monomer gas. And finally, the monomer gas was deposited on the surface of the flexible perovskite solar cells in the deposition chamber ($25 \sim 40 \,^{\circ}$ C and $5 \times 10-2 \,^{\circ}$ torr) to form parylene film.



Fig. S12. Schematic images of detailed fabrication process of metal mesh grid on PET substrate. (Photolithography was performed with the MA150e Aligner and Au deposition with the EI-5 E-beam evaporator.)

		$V_{\rm OC}$ (V)	J _{SC} (mA/cm ²)	FF	PCE (%)
Small area (0.0756 cm ²)	Average	0.95 ± 0.02	21.23 ± 0.66	79.47 ± 1.93	16.04 ± 0.53
	Best	0.96	22.45	79.39	17.03
Large area (1.2 cm ²)	Average	0.90 ± 0.03	20.16 ± 1.00	67.68 ± 3.97	12.21 ± 0.92
	Best	0.87	20.76	75.45	13.62

Table S1. Photovoltaic parameters of flexible perovskite solar cells corresponding to the active area.

	Average (Ω/□)	Standard deviation
PEDOT:PSS	234.3	4.64
Gold Mesh/PEDOT:PSS	18.0	1.08

Table S2. Sheet resistances of PEDOT:PSS/PET and PEDOT:PSS/gold mesh/PET substrate.

	Parylene	Cu	BCP	C ₆₀	Perovskite	PEDOT:PSS	РЕТ
Elastic modulus (Gpa)	4.7	128	12	12	18.5	3	2.5
Thickness (nm)	2300	70	10	20	500	120	2500

Table S3. Elastic modulus and thicknesses of the materials constituting the flexible perovskite solar cell.³⁻¹²

Movie S1. Movie of crumpling durability test.

References

- S. H. Crandall, N. C. Dahl, and T. J. Lardner, *An introduction to Mechanics of Solids*, McGraw-Hill Education, 1978.
- D.-H. Kim, J.-H. Ahn, W. M. Choi, H.-S. Kim, T.-H. Kim, J. Song, Y. Y. Huang, Z. Liu, C. Lu and J. A. Rogers, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 507-511.
- X. Hu, Z. Huang, X. Zhou, P. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Huang, M. Su, W. Ren, F. Li and M. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1703236.
- 4. J. Yu, M. Wang and S. Lin, *Acs Nano*, 2016, **10**, 11044-11057.
- S. Sun, Y. Fang, G. Kieslich, T. J. White and A. K. Cheetham, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 18450-18455.
- Y. Rakita, S. R. Cohen, N. K. Kedem, G. Hodes and D. Cahen, *MRS Commun.*, 2015, 5, 623-629.
- M. Spina, A. Karimi, W. Andreoni, C. A. Pignedoli, B. Náfrádi, L. Forró and E. Horváth, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **110**, 121903.
- 8. D. Tahk, H. H. Lee and D.-Y. Khang, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 7079-7083.
- 9. W.-C. Kuo, C.-W. Chen and C.-M. Liu, J. Micromech. Microeng., 2013, 23, 065021.
- 10. J. Dolbow and M. Gosz, *Mech. Mater.*, 1996, **23**, 311-321.
- 11. P. Hess, Appl. Surf. Sci., 1996, 106, 429-437.
- 12. A. A. Kolomenskii, M. Szabadi and P. Hess, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 1995, **86**, 591-596.