Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supplement for: Human occupant contribution to secondary aerosol mass in the indoor environment

Anita M. Avery,<sup>1,†</sup> Michael S. Waring,<sup>1</sup> Peter F. DeCarlo<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil, Architectural, and Environmental Engineering, Drexel University

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Drexel University

<sup>†</sup> Now at Center for Aerosol and Cloud Chemistry, Aerodyne Research, Inc., Billerica, MA

\*Corresponding Author

## SI Text

## CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> in the AMS

The aerosol phase  $CO_2^+$  fragment in this work was separated from gas-phase contribution via standard methods of fragmentation table correction, including verification with filter data. Even with these standard practices, the aerosol  $CO_2^+$  fragment is sensitive to changes in gas-phase  $CO_2$ . In this work, even with appropriate correction the  $CO_2^+$  ion (gas and particle phase), aerosol-phase  $CO_2^+$  showed a slightly negative slope with increasing gas-phase  $CO_2$  of -4.6e-5  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup>. This is equal to a 0.005  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> underestimate for  $CO_2^+$  for every 100 ppm of  $CO_2$  enhancement indoors, indicating that the gas phase contribution was very slightly over-corrected, but verifying that to the limit of sampling noise, gas-phase  $CO_2$  did not contribute to this calculation of aerosol-phase  $CO_2^+$ . The additional errors inherent in this calculation have been discussed in depth elsewhere, but do not apply to any other fragment, and therefore the error in this fragment should be considered separately.



**Figure S1.** Temperature (reds) and relative humidity (blues) measured indoors (light) and outdoors (dark). Gradient values for temperature (black) and humidity (gray).



Figure S2. Full time series of  $CO_2$  indoors (light) and outdoors (dark). Weekday and weekend patterns are apparent, as is the influence of outdoor  $CO_2$  on non-occupied days.



**Figure S3**. Example time series of 1-min (line) and 1-hr (dots) for indoor (light purple) CO<sub>2</sub>. Outdoor CO<sub>2</sub> (1-min) in dark purple. Occupied, unoccupied, and no categorization noted at top.



**Figure S4**. (a) Weekend (solid) diurnal pattern of  $CO_2$  difference ( $CO_{2,in}$ - $CO_{2,out}$ ), and (b) the median weekend diurnal pattern of each ion fragment family (I/O)<sub>*i*/SO4</sub>. Scale is such to match that of weekday values in Figure 1b.



**Figure S5**. Regression of median  $(I/O)_{i/SO4}$  binned by  $\Delta CO_2$  for each family.

Spec	$C_xH_y$	$C_xH_yO_1$	$C_x H_y O_{>1}$	$C_xH_yN_p$	NO <sub>3</sub>
$\Delta$ Temp	0.13			0.13	
$\Delta RH$					-0.19
$\Delta O_3$	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.18
$\Delta \operatorname{CO}_2$	0.28	0.23	0.25	0.35	-0.33
Mult. R <sup>2</sup>	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.18	0.17
Temp In	-0.19	-0.13	-0.28	-0.11	-0.44
Temp Out	-0.14			-0.38	0.65
RH In				0.26	-0.60
RH Out					-0.12
O <sub>3</sub> In	-0.20			-0.37	0.38
O <sub>3</sub> Out	0.35	0.13	0.18	0.53	-0.35
CO <sub>2</sub> In	0.25	0.29	0.34	0.21	
CO <sub>2</sub> Out					-0.15
Mult. R <sup>2</sup>	0.19	0.11	0.20	0.27	0.36

**Table S1**. Results of Standardized Regression Coefficient (SRC) analysis, for each species and parameter. Bolded values are the highest contributor (positive or negative).

		10th	25th	50th	75th	90th		
Family	Туре	Perc.	Perc.	Perc.	Perc.	Perc.	Avg.	Sdev.
C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub>	Weekend	0.83	0.92	1.03	1.20	1.31	1.07	0.26
	Unocc.	0.81	0.89	0.99	1.21	1.41	1.07	0.27
	Occ.	0.89	1.00	1.41	1.76	2.49	1.66	1.19
	Weekend	0.70	0.83	0.91	1.00	1.08	0.95	0.59
$C_xH_yO_1$	Unocc.	0.75	0.82	0.88	0.97	1.08	0.91	0.16
	Occ.	0.85	0.94	1.13	1.37	1.87	1.35	0.77
	Weekend	0.81	0.87	0.94	1.04	1.16	0.97	0.24
$C_xH_yO_{>1}$	Unocc.	0.76	0.83	0.89	0.96	1.07	0.91	0.16
	Occ.	0.81	0.94	1.16	1.37	1.74	1.29	0.66
C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub> N <sub>p</sub>	Weekend	0.65	0.77	0.93	1.11	1.28	0.95	0.25
	Unocc.	0.80	0.91	1.09	1.30	1.59	1.16	0.39
	Occ.	0.93	1.09	1.50	1.92	2.48	1.64	0.74
NHy	Weekend	0.16	0.29	0.37	0.44	0.57	0.38	0.16
	Unocc.	0.14	0.20	0.29	0.43	0.55	0.32	0.15
	Occ.	0.16	0.18	0.27	0.42	0.51	0.31	0.16
	Weekend	0.25	0.33	0.40	0.56	0.66	0.44	0.16
NOz	Unocc.	0.17	0.24	0.35	0.50	0.63	0.38	0.17
	Occ.	0.14	0.18	0.27	0.38	0.49	0.30	0.15
Total Org.	Weekend	0.79	0.87	0.97	1.06	1.17	0.98	0.26
	Unocc.	0.79	0.85	0.94	1.05	1.15	0.97	0.19
	Occ.	0.83	0.96	1.28	1.61	2.08	1.45	0.89
OOA	Weekend	0.63	0.74	0.83	0.92	0.99	1.53	1.05
	Unocc.	0.60	0.69	0.77	0.85	0.93	1.35	0.80
	Occ.	0.61	0.72	0.88	0.98	1.19	1.69	1.01
СОА	Weekend	0.84	0.97	1.17	1.44	1.81	0.82	0.17
	Unocc.	0.82	0.98	1.18	1.94	3.41	0.77	0.14
	Occ.	0.83	0.99	1.40	2.18	5.89	0.88	0.29
НОА	Weekend	0.74	0.95	1.22	1.73	2.67	1.28	0.56
	Unocc.	0.69	0.87	1.06	1.55	2.36	1.71	1.47
	Occ.	0.68	1.05	1.44	2.22	2.85	2.81	5.52

**Table S2**. Percentile results and average, standard deviation of the sulfate-normalized I/O ratio,  $(I/O)_{i/SO4}$ , for each family, inorganic species, and PMF factor in each category.

**Table S3.** Emission values for each family, and concentration increase values in one occupied hour.

		Inc. in concentration in one occupied hour $(\mu g/m^3)$				
	Emission (μg/β/h)	Perc 10 <sup>th</sup>	Perc 25 <sup>th</sup>	Perc 50 <sup>th</sup>	Perc 75 <sup>th</sup>	Perc 90 <sup>th</sup>
C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub>	15.0	-0.09	0.08	0.25	0.49	0.69
$C_xH_yO_1$	1.7	-0.01	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.08
$C_xH_yO_{>1}$	0.8	-0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.04
Total	17.6	-0.11	0.09	0.29	0.57	0.81



**Figure S6**. Temperature-based losses of each fragment, stacked to unit mass resolution. With one exception, all organic fragment losses are very small ( $<0.05 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ °C}^{-1}$ ), and inorganic fragments exhibit losses consistent with known volatility.



Figure S7. PMF mass spectra used for this work.