Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI): A Model Sensitivity Analysis To Determine The Most Important Physicochemical Properties Driving Environmental Fate and Exposure of Engineered Nanoparticles

Johannes A.J. Meesters^{a,b*1}, Willie J.G.M. Peijnenburg^{b,c}, A. Jan Hendriks^a and Dik van de Meent^a, Joris T.K. Quik^b

a:Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Department of Environmental Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, NL-6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

b: National Institute of Public Health and the Environment RIVM, P.O. Box 1, 3720 BA, Bilthoven, The Netherlands

c: Institute of Environmental Sciences (CML), Leiden University, PO Box 9518, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

*: Corresponding author: Email: joris.meesters@rivm.nl.

A: Sensitivity plots of predicted free, bioavailable and total concentrations in air, water, sediment and soil

Predicted environmental concentrations are displayed as a function of the following physicochemical properties of ENPs: diameter, specific weight, transformation rate constant, attachment efficiency with natural particles, and Hamaker constant with natural particles in water.



Figure 1. Extracted data sheet plots for SB4N sensitivity of ENP-diameter, specific weight, and transformation rate constant on free, bioavailable and total concentrations in air at 1 t.y⁻¹ emission.



Figure 2. Extracted data sheet plots for SB4N sensitivity of ENP-diameter, specific weight, transformation rate constant, and attachment efficiency on free, bioavailable and total concentrations in water at 1 t.y^{-1} emission.



Figure 3. Extracted data sheet plots for SB4N sensitivity of ENP-diameter, specific weight, transformation rate constant, attachment efficiency and Hamaker constant on free, bioavailable and total concentrations in sediment at 1 t.y⁻¹ emission.



Figure 4. Extracted data sheet plots for SB4N sensitivity of ENP-diameter, specific weight, transformation rate constant, attachment efficiency and Hamaker constant on free, bioavailable and total concentrations in soil at 1 t.y⁻¹ emission.

B: Sensitivity plots of simulated environmental fate processes

B1: Air

The extracted data sheet resulting from the Monte Carlo simulation performed for the scenario of 1 t/y emission to air includes 10,000 iterations of rate constants for every atmospheric fate process included in the SimpleBox4nano (SB4N) model. The distribution in simulated values for the rate constants per atmospheric fate process removing free ENPs from air is given below in a box plot (ESI Figure 5).



Figure 5. Box plots of simulated rate constants for atmospheric fate processes removing free ENPs from air. The boxes represent 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles, whereas the whiskers indicate the 2.5 and 97.5-percentiles.

Coagulation with fine aerosols and outflow of air are the dominant process removing free ENPs from air. Outflow of air is included in SB4N as an advective process that is independent of physicochemical properties of the ENP, whereas the algorithms to derive coagulation with fine aerosol include a function of ENP size. The rate constant for coagulation with fine aerosols is included in SB4N as the sum of the rate constant for coagulation and accumulation mode aerosols. The rate constant for coagulation with nucleation and accumulation mode aerosols. The rate constant for coagulation with nucleation mode aerosols increase with ENP size. The rate constant for coagulation with nucleation mode aerosols increase with ENP size. The rate constant for coagulation with nucleation mode aerosols increase with ENP size, but the variation in values for the simulated rate constants is larger (ESI Figure 6). The critical ENP diameter at which coagulation with nucleation mode aerosols becomes larger than that of accumulation mode aerosols is calculated to be 38 nm by median (95% CI = 21-60 nm).



Figure 6. Scatter plot of simulated rate constants for coagulation with nucleation and accumulation mode aerosols as a function of the diameter of the engineered nanoparticle (ENP).

B2: Water

The extracted data sheet resulting from the Monte Carlo simulation performed for the scenario of 1 t/y emission to water includes 10,000 iterations of rate constants for every aquatic fate process included in the SimpleBox4nano (SB4N) model. The distribution in simulated values for the rate constants per aquatic fate process removing free ENPs from the water column is given below in a box plot (ESI Figure



ESI Figure 7. Box plots of simulated rate constants for aquatic fate processes removing free ENPs from water. The boxes represent 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles, whereas whisker the 2.5 and 97.5-percentiles.

The rate constant for transformation is directly inserted in SB4N as an input parameter, whereas aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particles are included in SB4N as the frequency of collisions with the natural particles multiplied with the attachment efficiency. As such the rate constants for aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particles are linear to attachment efficiency (ESI Figure 8). The critical attachment efficiency at which the sum of the rate constants for aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particle is larger than removal by the outflow of water is calculated to be $1.1 \ 10^{-4}$ by median (95%CI = $7.5 \ 10^{-5} - 1.6 \ 10^{-4}$).



ESI Figure 8. Scatter plot of simulated rate constants for aggregation with natural colloid particles and attachment to natural coarse particles as function of their attachment efficiency with the engineered nanoparticle in comparison with the simulated rate constant for water outflow.

B3: Soil

The simulated filtration frequency of ENPs in soil weakly decreases with ENP diameter (ESI Figure 9). The frequency of collision with natural colloids in soil pore water also decrease weakly with ENP diameter (ESI Figure 10), but the ratio of filtration and colloid collision frequency remains greater than 1 independent of ENP diameter (ESI Figure 11). As such, filtration of ENPs by soil grains is dominant over hetero-aggregation with natural colloid particles in the soil pore waters at similar attachment efficiencies.



ESI Figure 9. Filtration frequency of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) n soil as a function of their diameter



ESI Figure 10. Frequency of collisions between natural colloid particles and engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in soil as a function of ENP diameter



ESI Figure 11 The ratio of filtration frequency to the frequency of collisions with natural colloid particles as a function of the diameter of the engineered nanoparticle (ENP).

C: Simplification and verification of algorithms explaining model sensitivity

C1: Verification by deriving R² values.

The original algorithms of SB4N include mechanistic expressions to calculate the rate constants for environmental fate processes as a function of ENP physicochemical properties and environmental conditions. These original algorithms are consulted to derive simplified equations that mechanistically express the relationship between the PECs and the physicochemical properties determinant for the fate processes that are identified to be dominant. The extent to which these simplified expressions explain the sensitivity plots is assessed by calculating their R² against the extract data of the MC simulations:

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i} (y_{i} - f_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i} (y_{i} - \bar{y})^{2}} = 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}}$$

Here y_i represents a data point for a PEC per iteration of the MC simulation, f_i represents the PEC calculated with the newly derived equation per iteration, \bar{y} is the average of the simulated PEC for all 10,000 iterations, SS_{res} is the sum of all squares for the residuals and SS_{tot} the total sum of squares.

C2: Mass balance equations

Mass balance equations used to explain sensitivity and general patterns in predicted concentrations SimpleBox(4nano) model employs mass balance equations to calculate a steady state situation as a Level III Mackay multimedia chemical fate model¹⁻⁵. The emission of ENPs refers to a free and pristine state in the air. The SB4N model does not include transport of ENPs from water or soil to the air or speciation processes that transform free ENPs in the air.¹ Therefore the sensitivity analysis on predicted free ENPs in the air can be expressed as a one-compartment Mackay model with:

$$m = \frac{e}{\sum k}$$

(2)

(1)

Here *m* refers to the steady state chemical mass, *e* to the emission and Σk to the sum of the rate constants for the processes responsible for the removal of the chemical. The chemical concentration (C) is then derived by dividing the steady chemical mass with the volume of the environmental compartment, so that:

$$C = \frac{m}{V} = \frac{e}{V} \frac{1}{\sum k}$$

(3)

Hence, the steady state chemical concentration is proportional to the inverse of the rate constant for the fate processes responsible for the removal of the chemical.

 $C \sim \frac{1}{\sum k}$

C3: Simplified algorithms for air concentrations

Following the mass balance equations (ESI Section C2) the free ENPs in air the concentration is defined as:

$$C_{free \ in \ air} = \frac{e}{V_{air}} \frac{1}{\sum k_{free \ in \ air}}$$

The processes included in SB4N removing free ENPs in air are coagulation with fine aerosols, coagulation with coarse aerosols, outflow of air to a continental scale, wet deposition and dry deposition, so that:

 $\sum k_{free \ in \ air} = k_{coag.fine} + k_{coag.coarse} + k_{out.air} + k_{dep.wet} + k_{dep.dry}$

(6)

(5)

The sensitivity plots that refer to the simulated rate constants for these removal processes indicate that coagulation and outflow obscure the other processes (ESI Figure 5). The sum of all rate constants for processes removing free ENPs out of the air can be approached with the sum of the rate constants for coagulation with fine aerosols and outflow of air alone:

 $R^2 > 0.99$

$$k_{coag.fine} + k_{out.air} \approx \sum k_{free\ in\ air}$$

(7)

The concentration of free ENPs in the air can then be approached as:

 $C_{free \ in \ air} \approx \frac{e}{V_{air}} \frac{1}{k_{coag.fine} + k_{out.air}},$

(8)

C4: Simplified algorithms for water concentrations

The sensitivity analysis was performed on a scenario with emission to the water compartment alone. As such there is now inflow included from the transport of ENPs from compartments air and soil . The predicted concentrations in water by SB4N can therefore be compared with a one-compartment Mackay model in which the steady state chemical concentration is proportional to the inverse of the sum of the rate constants calculated for the fate processes responsible for the removal of the chemical (ESI Section C2):²

$$C \sim \frac{1}{\sum k}$$

(11)

The fate processes responsible for the removal of ENPs out of the water compartment are the outflow of water to a continental scale ($k_{out.water}$), (*ii*) the gravitational settling free ENPs and ENPs attached to natural particles to sediment ($\Sigma k_{set.total}$) and (*iii*) transformation (k_{trans}) so that the total concentration in water can be approached as:

$$C_{total in water} \approx \frac{e}{V} \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{out,water} + \sum k_{set, total}}$$
 R² =0.89

As such, the total concentration is proportional to the inverse of the rate constants for the removal processes considered:

 $C_{total in water} \sim \frac{1}{\sum k} = \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total}}$

(13)

(12)

The sum of the rate constants for the settling of free ENPs, ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids and ENPs attached to suspended coarse particles is derived as:

$$\sum_{set.total} k_{set.free} + \left(\frac{1}{k_{agg.colloids}} + \frac{1}{k_{set.agg-colloids}}\right)^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{k_{att.coarse}} + \frac{1}{k_{set.att-coarse}}\right)^{-1}$$
(14)

Transformation becomes the dominant removal process when it is greater than the sum of the other removal process of water outflow and the settling of free ENPs and ENPs attached natural particles:

$$k_{trans} > k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total}$$

(15) The critical rate at which transformation becomes the dominant removal process is then:

$$k_{trans(crit.total in water)} = k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total}$$

(16)

The same approach is followed in the derivation of the critical attachment efficiency and critical transformation rate constant at which predicted free concentrations in water become sensitive to these two physicochemical properties of the ENP. The processes responsible for removal of free ENPs are transformation, water outflow, settling of free ENPs, hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to coarse particles. The free concentration of ENPs can then be approached as:

$$C_{free \ in \ water} \approx \frac{e}{v_{water}} \frac{1}{k_{agg.colloids} + k_{att.coarse} + k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}} \qquad \mathbb{R}^2 = 1$$

(17)

(18)

(19)

(20)

As such, the free concentration is proportional to the inverse of the sum of the rate constants for the removal processes considered:

 $C_{free in water} \sim \frac{1}{\sum k} = \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{agg.colloids} + k_{att.coarse} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}}$

The attachment efficiency refers to the probability whether the ENPs sticks to a natural particle at a collision event. The attachment rates for hetero-aggregation and attachment to suspended coarse particles are expressed in the SB4N algorithms as:¹

$$k_{agg.colloids} = \alpha f_{ENP-colloids}$$
,

, and

 $k_{att.coarse} = \alpha f_{ENP-coarse}$

The free concentration is then proportional to :

 $C_{free\ in\ water} \sim \frac{1}{\sum k} = \frac{1}{\alpha(f_{ENP-colloids} + f_{ENP-coarse}) + k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}}$

(21)

Hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particles become dominant over the other removal processes if:

 $k_{agg.colloids} + k_{att.coarse} > k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}$

(22)

,so that

$$\alpha(f_{ENP-colloids} + f_{ENP-coarse}) > k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}$$

(23)

The critical attachment efficiency at which hetero-aggregation and attachment to coarse particles become dominant over the other removal processes is then derived as:

$$\alpha_{crit.free\ in\ water} = \frac{k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free}}{(f_{ENP-colloids} + f_{ENP-coarse})}$$

(24) The critical transformation rate complementary to attachment efficiency is then derived as:

 $k_{trans(crit.free\ in\ water)} = \alpha(f_{ENP-colloids} + f_{ENP-coarse}) + k_{set.free} + k_{out.water}$

(25)

C5: Simplified algorithms for sediment concentrations

The concentration of ENPs in sediment (g.kg⁻¹) is expressed as the steady state mass of ENPs in sediment divided by the volume (m³) multiplied with the density of the sediment compartment (kg.m⁻³)

$$C = \frac{m}{V\rho}$$

The steady state mass of ENPs in sediment is reached if the ENP mass inflow (g.s⁻¹) equals the mass outflow (g.s⁻¹).

$$\frac{dm_{free \ in \ sed}}{dt} = F_{in} - F_{out} = 0$$

Free ENPs enter the sediment via settling from the water column and are removed from sediment via transformation, resuspension, burial, hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to coarse particles via filtration, so that:

 $F_{in.free in \, sed} = m_{free \, in \, water} \cdot k_{set.free},$

and

 $F_{out.free in sed} = m_{free in sed} \cdot (k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + k_{agg.colloid (sed)} + k_{filtr.sed})$

(29)

(28)

(26)

(27)

The ENP mass inflow (g.s⁻¹) then equals the mass outflow (g.s⁻¹) if:

$$m_{free in water} \cdot k_{settling.free} = m_{free in sed} \cdot (k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + k_{agg.colloid(sed)} + k_{filtr.sed})$$
(30)

The steady state mass of free ENPs in water follows a mass balance equation that describes the emission divided by the sum of the rate constant for the removal processes(ESI Section C2) so that:

 $m_{free\ in\ water} = \frac{e}{k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free} + k_{agg.colloids(water)} + k_{att.coarse(water)}}$

(31)

(32)

Inserting the equation above in the mass balance for free ENPs in sediment then yields:

 $[\]frac{e}{k_{trans}+k_{out,water}+k_{set,free}+k_{agg,colloids(water)}+k_{att,coarse(water)}} \cdot k_{set,free} =$

 $m_{free in sed} \cdot (k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + k_{agg.colloid(sed)} + k_{filtr.sed})$

The mass of free ENPs in sediment is then derived as a function of emission and rate constants for the environmental fate of free ENPs in the water column and sediment:

 $m_{free\ in\ sed}$

 $= e \cdot k_{set.free} \frac{1}{(k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + k_{agg.colloid(sed)} + k_{filtr.sed})(k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free} + k_{agg.colloids(water)} + k_{att.coarse(water)})}$

R²>0.99

(33)

C5.1 Free concentrations in sediment linear to Stokes settling velocity

The equation above is verified (ESI section C2) by the derived R² that is greater than 0.99. As such, it can be proposed that the concentration of free ENPs in sediment is linear proportional the settling rate of free ENPs:

 $C_{free in sed} \sim k_{set.free}$

(34) The settling rate of free ENPs is calculated by SB4N as the Stokes settling velocity (m.s⁻¹) divided by the depth of the water column (m):

$$k_{set.free} = \frac{v_{stokes}}{h_{water}}$$

(35)

As such, the predicted concentration of free ENPs in sediment is also linear proportional to the Stokes settling velocity of ENPs.

C5.2 Critical transformation rate constant for free concentrations in sediment

The critical transformation rate is defined as the rate at which transformation is the dominant process removing free ENPs from sediment. Transformation of ENPs occurs both in the water column prior to settling as well as in the sediment compartment itself. The critical transformation rate constant is therefore interpreted as the transformation rate constant at which the impact of removal by transformation is equal to the removal by other the fate processes, which is here defined as the predicted free mass of transforming ENPs in sediment is equal to half of the mass if the ENPs would be not transform at all:

$$m_{free \ in \ sed, k_{trans}=crit} = \frac{1}{2} m_{free \ in \ sed, k_{trans}=0}$$

(36)

Filling eq 33 for both the left and right term in the equation above then yields:

 $\frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{trans.crit} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + k_{agg.colloid(sed)} + k_{filtr.sed})(k_{trans.crit} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free} + k_{agg.colloids(water)} + k_{att.coarse(water)})} = \frac{1}{k_{set.free}}$

 $=\frac{1}{2}\frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{burial}+k_{resusp}+k_{agg.colloids(sed)}+k_{filt.sed})(k_{out.water}+k_{set.free}+k_{agg.colloid(water)}+k_{att.coarse(water)})}$

(37)

Then, if 'k_{burlal}+k_{resusp}+k_{agg.colloids(sed)+}k_{filtr.sed}=a', 'k_{out.water}+k_{set.free}+k_{agg.colloid(water)+}k_{att.coarse(water)}=b', 'k_{set.free}=c' and $k_{trans.crit} = x'$, the mathematical solution for the equation would be:⁶

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{(b^2 + 6ab + a^2)} - a - b}{2}$$

Inserting eq (38) in the extract datasheet of the MC simulation gives a median critical transformation rate constant of $k_{trans.crit}$ =1 10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ with a 95% confidence interval of 1 10⁻⁹ -1 10⁻³ s⁻¹. The broad 95% confidence interval is largely determined by the variation in hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particles as a consequence of the range inserted for attachment efficiency (main article table 1). For stable ENPs ($\alpha = 0$), there is no removal of free ENPs by hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particles, so that the eq 37 can be simplified to:

$$\frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{transs.crit} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})(k_{trans.crit} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free})} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{burial} + k_{resusp})(k_{out.water} + k_{set.free})}$$
(39)

Then, if $k_{burial} + k_{resusp} = a'$, $k_{out.water} + k_{set.free} = b'$, $k_{set.free} = c'$ and $k_{trans.crit} = x'$, the mathematical solution for the equation would be:6

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{(b^2 + 6ab + a^2)} - a - b}{2}$$

Inserting eq. 40 in the extract datasheet of the MC simulation gives a median critical transformation rate constant for stable ENPs of $k_{trans.crit}$ =1 10⁻⁸ with a 95% confidence interval of 1 10⁻⁹ -5 10⁻⁸

C5.3 Critical attachment efficiency for free concentrations in sediment

The critical attachment efficiency is interpreted as the attachment efficiency at which the impact of removal by hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse via filtration in sediment and collisions in the water column is equal to the removal by other the fate processes. This is the case if the predicted free mass of instable ENPs in sediment is equal to half of the mass if the ENPs would be completely stable ($\alpha = 0$):

$$m_{free \ in \ sed, \alpha_{=}\alpha_{crit}} = \frac{1}{2} m_{free \ in \ sed_{\alpha_{=}0}},$$

(41)

(40)

(38)

Filling eq 33 for both the left and right term in the equation above then yields:

 $\frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp} + \alpha(f_{agg.colloid(sed)} + f_{filtr.sed}))(k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + k_{set.free} + \alpha(f_{agg.colloid(water)} + f_{att.coarse(water)})}$ $=\frac{1}{2}\frac{k_{set.free}}{(k_{dis}+k_{burial}+k_{resusp})(k_{trans}+k_{out.water}+k_{set.free})}$

Then, if ' $k_{settling.free}=a'$, ' $k_{transs}=b'$, ' $k_{burial}+k_{resuspension}=c'$, ' $f_{aggcolloid(sed)}+f_{filtr.sed}=d'$, ' $k_{out.water}+k_{settling.free}=e'$, ' $f_{agg.colloid(water)}+f_{att.coarse(water)}=f'$, and ' $\alpha_{crit}=x'$, the mathematical solution for the equation would be:⁶

$$x = \frac{\left(\sqrt{(c^2 + 2bc + b^2)f^2 + [(6c + 6b)de + (6bc + 6b^2)d]f + d^2e^2 + 2bd^2e + b^2d^2}\right) + ((-c) - b)f - de - bd)}{2df}$$
(43)

Inserting eq. 41 in the extract datasheet of the MC simulation gives a median critical attachment efficiency of = $1.1 \ 10^{-6}$ for all ENPs and a median critical attachment efficiency of $6.0 \ 10^{-7}$ for non-transforming ENPs ($k_{trans} = 0$)

C5.4 Speciation of ENPs in water column and sediment

ENPs enter the sediment compartment via settling from the water column. Hetero-aggregation with natural colloids and attachment to natural coarse particle are found to be the dominant mechanisms for such sedimentation.⁷ The mass flows of as settling ENPs free species, ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids and ENPs attached to natural coarse particles calculated are calculated as:

 $F_{settling.free} = m_{free in water} k_{set.free}$ (44) $F_{settling.agg.colloids} = m_{agg.colloids in water} k_{set.agg-colloids}$ (45) $F_{settling.att.coarse} = m_{att.coarse in water} k_{set.att-coarse}$

(46)

(49)

The free ENP species, ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids and ENPs attached to natural coarse particles as fractions of the total mass flow of ENPs settling to the sediment compartment are then calculated as:

$$FR_{free in setling} = \frac{F_{settling.free}}{F_{settling.free} + F_{settling.agg.colloids} + F_{settling.att.coarse}}$$

$$(47)$$

$$FR_{agg.colloids in setling} = \frac{F_{settling.agg.colloids}}{F_{settling.free} + F_{settling.agg.colloids} + F_{settling.att.coarse}}$$

$$(48)$$

$$FR_{att.coarse in setling} = \frac{F_{settling.free} + F_{settling.agg.colloids} + F_{settling.att.coarse}}{F_{settling.free} + F_{settling.agg.colloids} + F_{settling.att.coarse}}$$

The fraction of free ENP species, ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids and ENPs attached to natural coarse particles as fractions in the sediment compartment are calculated as:

ED –	$m_{free\ in\ sed}$	
$r_{free in sed} - \frac{1}{m_{free}}$	$m_{e~in~sed} + m_{agg.colloid~in~sed} + m_{att.coarse~in~sed}$	
		(50)
FD	m _{agg.colloid} in sed	
r Ragg.colloids in sed	$-\frac{1}{m_{free \ in \ sed} + m_{agg.colloid \ in \ sed} + m_{att.coarse \ in \ sed}}$	
		(51)
FR	m _{att.coarse} in sed	(31)
¹ Natt.coarse in sed	$m_{free\ in\ sed} + m_{agg.colloid\ in\ sed} + m_{att.coarse\ in\ sed}$	
		(=
		(52)

The statement that speciation pattern of ENPs in sediment is largely determined in the water column is then verified by comparing the fractions the different species in the total mass flow of settling ENP with the fractions of the species in sediment and deriving the respective R²'s(ESI Section C1):

$FR_{free\ in\ settling} \approx FR_{free\ in\ sed}$	$R^2 = 0.77$	
		(53)
$FR_{agg.colloids}$ in settling $pprox FR_{agg.colloids}$ in sed	R ² =0.88	
		(54)
$FR_{att.coarse\ in\ settling} \approx FR_{att.coarse\ in\ sed}$	R ² =0.85	
		(55)

C5.5: Critical transformation rate constant for total concentration in sediment

The concentration of ENPs in sediment $(g.kg^{-1})$ is expressed as the steady state mass of ENPs in sediment divided by the volume (m^3) multiplied with the density of the sediment compartment $(kg.m^{-3})$

 $C = \frac{m}{V\rho}$

(56) The steady mass of ENPs in sediment is reached if the ENP mass inflow $(g.s^{-1})$ equals the mass outflow $(g.s^{-1})$.

$$\frac{dm_{total \, in \, sed}}{dt} = F_{in} - F_{out} = 0$$

(57)

ENPs enter the sediment via settling from the water column as free species, ENPs heteroaggregated with natural colloids and ENPs attached to natural coarse particles and are removed from sediment via transformation, resuspension, burial, so that:

 $F_{in} = m_{total in water} \sum k_{set.total}$

(58)

 $F_{out} = m_{total in sed} (k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})$

Inserting eq. 13 for the total mass of ENPs in the water column in the mass balance for ENPs in sediment then yields:

$$\frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total}} \sum k_{set.total} = m_{total in sed} (k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})$$

Hence, the total mass of ENPs in sediment can be derived as:

 $m_{total in sed} \approx e \sum k_{set.total} \frac{1}{(k_{trans} + k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total})(k_{trans} + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})} \quad R^2 = 0.38$

(61)

(60)

The critical transformation rate constant is interpreted as the transformation rate at which the impact of removal by transformation is equal to the removal by other the fate processes, which is the case if the predicted total mass of transforming ENPs in sediment is equal to half of the mass if the ENPs would be not transform at all:

$$\frac{1}{\binom{k_{trans(crit. total in sed) + k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total})(k_{trans(crit.total in sed) + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})}}{1} = \frac{1}{\binom{k_{trans(crit.total in sed) + k_{burial} + k_{resusp})}{1}}$$

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(k_{out.water} + \sum k_{set.total})(k_{burial} + k_{resusp})}$

Then, if $k_{out.water}+\Sigma k_{set.total}=a'$, $k_{burial}+k_{resusp}=b'$ and $k_{trans(crit. total in sed)}=x'$, the mathematical solution would be:⁶

$$x = \frac{(\sqrt{b^2 + 6ab + a^2} - b - a)}{2}$$

(63)

(62)

(59)

22

C 6: verification soil concentrations

The concentration of ENPs in soil (g.kg⁻¹) is expressed as the steady state mass of ENPs in soil divided by product of the volume (m^3) and the density of the soil compartment (kg.m⁻³)

$$C = \frac{m}{V\rho}$$

The steady of mass of ENPs attached to coarse grains is reached if the ENP mass inflow $(g.s^{-1})$ equals the mass outflow $(g.s^{-1})$.

$$\frac{dm_{att.coarse\ in\ soil}}{dt} = F_{in} - F_{out} = 0$$

The mass inflow of ENPs coarse attached ENPs in soil is equal to the steady state mass of free ENPs in soil multiplied with the first-order rate constant for filtration

 $F_{in} = m_{free \ in \ soil} k_{filtr.soil}$

(66) The free concentration in soil is equal to the emission volume of ENPs divided by the sum of all rate constants removing free ENPs from soil:

$$m_{free \ in \ soil} = \frac{e}{k_{agg.colloid(soil)+k_{filtr.soil+k_{leach}+k_{run-off}+k_{trans}}} \qquad R^2 = 1$$

The mass outflow of ENPs attached to coarse particles in soil is the equal to the steady state mass of the coarse attached ENPs multiplied with the sum of the rate constants for the processes removing them, which are transformation and erosion:

$$F_{out} = m_{att.coarse\ in\ soil}(k_{trans} + k_{erosion})$$

Inserting equations 66-68 in equation 65 then yields:

$$\frac{e}{k_{agg.colloid(soil)} + k_{filtr.soil} + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{dis}} k_{filtr.soil} = m_{att.coarse in soil}(k_{trans} + k_{erosion})$$

so, that:

 $m_{att.coarse in \, soil} = k_{filtr.soil} \frac{e}{k_{agg.colloid(soil)} + k_{filtr.soil} + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{erosion}}$

$R^{2}=1$

(70)

(71)

The mass inflow and outflow to calculate the steady state mass of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids in soil are:

 $F_{in} = m_{free \ in \ soil} k_{agg.colloid(soil)}$

and

(67)

(64)

(65)

(68)

(69)

 $F_{out} = m_{agg.colloid in soil} (k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach})$

(72) so, that the steady mass of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids in soil can be derived as: $m_{agg.colloids in soil} = k_{agg.colloid(soil)} \frac{e}{k_{agg.colloid(soil)} + k_{filtr.soil} + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$

(73)

(74)

C6.1 Critical attachment efficiency for coarse attached fraction

The fraction of ENPs attached to soil coarse particles is dominant if:

 $m_{att.coarse\ in\ soil} > m_{free\ in\ soil} + m_{agg.colloids\ in\ soil}$

Inserting eq 69 and 71 in equation 73 yields:

$$m_{free in soil} \frac{k_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{trans}} > m_{free in soil} + m_{free in soil} \frac{k_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$$
(75)

which can be simplified to:

 $\frac{k_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{dis}} > 1 + \frac{k_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$

(76) The first-order rate constant is derived by multiplying the attachment efficiency with the filtration frequency,

 $k_{filtr.soil} = \alpha f_{filtr.soil}$

(77) whereas the first-order rate constant for hetero-aggregation with natural colloids is derived by multiplying the attachment efficiency with the frequency of collisions with natural colloids:

 $k_{agg.colloid(soil)} = \alpha f_{agg.colloid(soil)}$

(78)

The critical attachment efficiency at which the ENPs attached to coarse particles in soil are dominant can then be derived by inserting equation 76 and 77 in 75 as:

 $\frac{\alpha_{crit.att\ in\ soil}\ f_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion}+k_{dis}} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{crit.att\ in\ soil}\ f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans}+k_{run-off}+k_{leach}}$

(79)

so that,

$$\alpha_{crit.att in soil} \frac{f_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{trans}} = 1 + \alpha_{crit.att in soil} \frac{f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$$

$$(80)$$

$$\alpha_{crit.att in soil} \frac{f_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{trans}} - \alpha_{crit.att in soil} \frac{f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}} = 1$$

$$(81)$$

$$\alpha_{crit.att in soil} \left(\frac{f_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{trans}} - \frac{f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}} \right) = 1$$

$$(82)$$

$$\alpha_{crit.att in soil} = \left(\frac{f_{filtr.soil}}{k_{erosion} + k_{trans}} - \frac{f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}} \right)^{-1}$$

$$(83)$$

From the extracted data sheet it is derived that the critical attachment efficiency at which coarse attached ENPs are dominant in the soil compartment is by median 1. 10⁻⁶. Once ENPs are attached to coarse particles in soil, transformation and erosion are the only removal processes. Transformation thus already becomes the dominant removal processes for coarse attached ENPs if:

 $k_{trans} > k_{erosion}$

Hence, the critical transformation rate is equal to the erosion rate, which is by median 4 10⁻¹² s⁻¹.

C 6.2 Predicted free concentration

 $C_{free \ in \ soil} = \frac{m_{free \ in \ soil}}{V_{soil} \rho_{soil}}$

so that,

 $C_{free in soil} \sim m_{free in soil}$

(86) Based on eq 66, 76, 77 and 84 it is derived that the free concentration in soil is proportional to the inverse of the rate constants for the fate processes responsible for the removal of free ENPs in soil:

 $C_{free \ in \ soil} \sim \frac{1}{k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{filtr.soil} + k_{agg.colloid(soil)}} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha \left(f_{filtr.soil} + f_{agg.colloid(soil)}\right) + k_{trans} + k_{leach} + k_{run-off}}$

(84)

(85)

(87)

C 6.3 Predicted bioavailable concentration in soil

$$C_{bioavailable in soil} = \frac{m_{bioavailable in soil}}{V_{soil}\rho_{soil}}$$
(88)

 $m_{bioavailable\ in\ soil} = m_{free\ in\ soil} + m_{agg.colloids\ in\ soil}$

$$m_{agg.colloids in soil} = m_{free in soil} \frac{k_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$$
(89)

Inserting eq 90 in eq 89 then yields:

 $m_{bioavailable in soil} = m_{free in soil} + m_{free in soil} \frac{k_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}$

(91)

(90)

so that:

$$m_{bioavailable in soil} = m_{free in soil} \left(1 + \frac{k_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}} \right)$$

Inserting eg 68, 78 and 79 in eq 89 then yields:

$$m_{bioavailable in soil} = \frac{e}{\alpha(f_{agg.colloids} + f_{filtration}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha f_{agg.colloids}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}\right)$$
(92)

$$\begin{split} m_{bioavailable in soil} \\ = e \frac{1}{\alpha(f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + f_{filtr.soil}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \bigg(\frac{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach} + \alpha f_{agg.colloid(soil)}}{k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}} \bigg) \end{split}$$

(93)

$$m_{bioavailable in soil} = e \frac{\alpha f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}{(\alpha (f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + f_{filtr.soil}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans})(k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach})}$$

$$(94)$$
Hence, inserting eq 94 in 88 proves that:

$$C_{bioavailable in soil} \sim \frac{\alpha f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach}}{(\alpha (f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + f_{filtr.soil}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans})(k_{trans} + k_{run-off} + k_{leach})}$$

$$(95)$$

C6.4: Non-bioavailable coarse attached concentrations in soil

$$C_{att.coarse\ in\ soil} = \frac{m_{att.coarse\ in\ soil}}{V_{soil}\rho_{soil}}$$

(96)

Inserting eq 76 and 77 in eq 68 then yields:

$$m_{att.coarse\ in\ soil} = e \ \frac{\alpha f_{filtr.soil}}{\alpha (f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + f_{filtr.soil}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{erosion}}$$
(97)

Hence, inserting eq 96 in 97 proves that:

$$C_{att.coarse\ in\ soil} \sim \frac{\alpha f_{filtr.soil}}{\alpha (f_{agg.colloid(soil)} + f_{filtr.soil}) + k_{leach} + k_{run-off} + k_{trans}} \frac{1}{k_{trans} + k_{erosion}}$$
(98)

C7: References

- 1. J. A. Meesters, A. A. Koelmans, J. T. K. Quik, A. J. Hendriks and D. v. d. Meent, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2014, 48, 5726–5736.
- 2. D. Mackay, *Multimedia Environmental Models The Fugacity Approach*, Lewis Publishers, Inc., Amherst, Massachusetts, 2001.
- 3. L. J. Brandes, H. d. Hollander and D. v. d. Meent, *Simplebox 2.0: a nested multimedia fate model for evaluating the environmental fate of chemicals*, Report 719101029, 1996.
- 4. H. Den Hollander and D. van de Meent, *Model parameters and equations used in SimpleBox 3.0*, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM):, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, 2004.
- 5. D. Van de Meent, *SimpleBox: a generic multimedia fate evaluation model*, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands, 1993.

QuickMath.com, <u>https://quickmath.com/webMathematica3/quickmath/equations/solve/basic.jsp</u>, Accessed 22-01-2019, 2019.

7. J. T. K. Quik, M. C. Stuart, M. Wouterse, W. Peijnenburg, A. J. Hendriks and D. V. D. Meent, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 2012, 31, 1019-1022.

D: Nomenclature

Symbol	Description	Unit
С	concentration	g.m ⁻³ ; g.kg ⁻¹
\mathcal{C} bioavailable in air	Predicted concentration of bioavailable ENPs in air	g.m ⁻³
Cbioavailable in sed	Predicted concentration of bioavailable ENPs in sediment	g.kg ⁻¹
Cbioavailable in soil	Predicted concentration of bioavailable ENPs in soil	g.kg ⁻¹
Cbioavailable in water	Predicted concentration of bioavailable ENPs in water	g.m ⁻³
Cfree in air	Predicted concentration of free ENPs in air	g.m ⁻³
Cfree in sed	Predicted concentration of free ENPs in sediment	g.kg ⁻¹
Cfree in soil	Predicted concentration of free ENPs in soil	g.kg ⁻¹
$C_{free in water}$	Predicted concentration of free ENPs in water	g.m⁻³
C _{total} in air	Predicted concentration of total ENPs in air	g.m ⁻³
Ctotal in sed	Predicted concentration of total ENPs in sediment	g.kg ⁻¹
Ctotal in soil	Predicted concentration of total ENPs in soil	g.kg ⁻¹
Ctotal in water	Predicted concentration of total ENPs in water	g.m⁻³
Diffenp	Diffusion coefficient of ENP	m ² .s ⁻¹
Diff _{fine.aerosol}	Diffusion coefficient of fine aerosol	m ² .s ⁻¹
е	emission volume	g.s ⁻¹
f_i	fit for iteration with newly derived equation	-
$f_{agg.colloids}$	collision frequency between ENP and natural colloids prior to	S ⁻¹
	aggregation	
f _{att.coarse}	collision frequency between ENP and natural coarse particles prior to	S ⁻¹
	attachment	
$f_{filtr.}$	Filtration frequency between ENP and natural coarse particles prior to	S ⁻¹
	attachment	
Fin	Chemical mass inflow	g.s ⁻¹
Fin. free in sed	Mass inflow of free ENPs in sediment	g.s ⁻¹
Fout	Chemical mass outflow	g.s ⁻¹
Fout. free in sed	Mass outflow of free ENPs in sediment	g.s ⁻¹
<i>F</i> settling.agg.colloids	Mass flow of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids settling to	g.s⁻¹
	sediment	1
Fsettling.att.coarse	Mass flow of ENPs attached to natural coarse particles settling to	g.s ⁻¹
	sediment	-1
Fsettling.free	Mass flow of free ENPs settling to sediment	g.s ⁺
FRagg.colloids in sed	The fraction of ENPs in sediment that are hetero-aggregated with	(-)
	natural colloids	()
ΓK att.coarse in sed	ne traction of ENPS in sediment that are attached to natural coarse	(-)
ED a series	particles	()
FR model	The fraction of ENPs in sediment that are hetere aggregated	(-)
Fhagg.colloids in	with patural colloids	(-)
	The fraction of ENIPs settling to sediment that are attached to natural (-)	
• Matt.course in settling	coarse particles	(-)
FRfree in settling	The fraction of ENPs settling to sediment that are free	(-)
· · · J. cc // Setting		111

k	first-order rate constant for environmental fate process	S ⁻¹	
h _{water}	Water depth	m	
k _{agg.colloid}	first-order rate constant for hetero-aggregation with natural colloids	S ⁻¹	
k _{att.coarse}	first-order rate constant for attachment to natural coarse particles	S ⁻¹	
<i>k</i> _{burial}	first-order rate constant for burial of sediments	S ⁻¹	
kcoag.fine	first-order rate constant for coagulation with fine aerosols	S ⁻¹	
kcoag.coarse	first-order rate constant for coagulation with coarse aerosols	s ⁻¹	
ktrans	first-order rate constant for transformation	S ⁻¹	
ktrans.crit	critical transformation rate constant	S ⁻¹	
kdry depostion	first-order rate constant transport via dry deposition	S ⁻¹	
kerosion	first-order rate constant erosion	S ⁻¹	
k filtration	first-order rate constant for filtration	S ⁻¹	
kleach	first-order rate constant for leaching from soil to deeper groundwater	s ⁻¹	
	layers		
koutflow air	first-order rate constant for transport by outflow of air to continental	S ⁻¹	
-	scale		
$k_{outflow water}$	first-order rate constant for transport by outflow of water to	s ⁻¹	
-	continental scale		
kresusp	first-order rate constant for resuspension of sediments	S ⁻¹	
krun-off	first-order rate constant soil run-off	S ⁻¹	
kset.att-coarse	first-order rate constant for setting of ENPs attached to natural coarse	S ⁻¹	
	particles		
$k_{set.agg-colloids}$	first-order rate constant for setting of ENPs hetero-aggregated with	S ⁻¹	
	natural colloids		
kset.free	first-order rate constant for setting of free ENPs	s ⁻¹	
kwet depostion	first-order rate constant transport via wet deposition	S ⁻¹	
т	steady state chemical mass	g	
$m_{agg.colloids}$ in sed	Steady state mass of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids in	g	
	sediment		
<i>m_{agg.colloids} in soil</i>	Steady state mass of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids in	g	
	soil		
<i>m</i> agg.colloids in water	Steady state mass of ENPs hetero-aggregated with natural colloids in	g	
	water	0	
<i>m</i> att.coarse in sed	Steady state mass of ENPs attached to natural coarse particles in	g	
	sediment		
<i>m</i> att.coarse in soil	Steady state mass of ENPs attached to natural coarse particles in soil	g	
<i>m</i> att.coarse in water	Steady state mass of ENPs attached to natural coarse particles in	g	
	water		
$m_{bioavailable}$ in soil	Steady state mass of bioavailable ENPs in soil	g	
<i>m</i> free in sed	Steady state mass of free ENPs in sediment	g	
<i>m</i> free in sed,	Steady state mass of free ENPs in sediment for ENPs with a	g	
ktrans=crit	transformation rate constant equal to the critical transformation rate		
	constant		
<i>m</i> free in sed, ktrans=0	Steady state mass of free ENPs in sediment for non-transformable	g	
	ENPs	_	

<i>m</i> free in soil	Steady state mass of free ENPs in soil	g
m free in water	Steady state mass of free ENPs in water	g
m total in sed	Total steady state mass of ENPs in sediment	g
$m_{total \ in \ water}$	Total steady state mass of ENPs in water	g
N _{fine.aerosol}	Number concentration of fine aerosols in air	m ⁻³
R ²	Coefficient of determination	-
r _{ENP}	radius of ENP	nm
$r_{fine.aerosols}$	radius of fine aerosols	nm
SS _{res}	sum of all squares for the residuals	-
SStot	total sum of all squares	-
Vstokes	Stokes settling velocity	m.s ⁻¹
V	Volume of environmental compartment	m ³
Vair	Volume of air compartment	m ³
Vsoil	Volume of soil compartment	m ³
Vwater	Volume of water compartment	m ³
y_i	data point per iteration	-
\overline{y}	average of iteration data points	-
α	attachment efficiency	-
α_{crit}	critical attachment efficiency	-
ρ	Density	kg.m⁻³
$ ho_{soil}$	Soil density	kg.m ⁻³
$\sum k_{free \ in \ air}$	sum of first-order processes of environmental processes removing	S ⁻¹
	free ENPs from air	
$\sum k_{set.total}$	sum of first-order processes for removal of ENPs by settling	S ⁻¹

E: Natural variability of environmental system

	Distri-	Default, Mean (L), Mode (T), Value (C), Scale		Max (T,P)	
Deremeter name	bution	(W)	Min (T,P)	Shape (W)	Deference
Parameter name	snape		Location (L, W)	St. Dev. (L)	Reference
System	-	10	-	20	Bakker et al.,
temperature C	1	10	-5	30	2003
aerosols (#.cm ⁻³)	L	1.4 10°	-3.2 10°	4.0 10-	al 2002*1
Diameter	L	2 10-2	(-)	1.6 10-1	Jaenicke et
nucleation					al., 1993
aerosols (µm)					,
Density nucleation	Т	1.3 10 ³	5.0 10 ²	1.5 10 ³	Kannosto et
, aerosols (kg.m⁻³)					al., 2008
N accumulation	L	3.6 10 ³	1.2 10 ³	4.0 10 ³	Neususs et
aerosols(#.cm ⁻³)					al., 2002
Diameter	L	1.2 10 ⁻¹	(-)	2.2 10 ⁻¹	Jaenicke et
accumulation					al., 1993
aerosols(µm)					
Density	Т	1.5 10 ³	1.1 10 ³	2.0 10 ³	Kannosto et
accumulation					al., 2008
aerosols (kg.m ⁻³)					
N coarse aerosols	L	2.1	-1.3 10 ⁻¹	3.4	Neususs et
(#.cm⁻³)					al., 2002
Diameter coarse	L	1.8	(-)	0.43	Jaenicke et
aerosols (µm)					al., 1993
Density coarse	Т	1.6 10 ³	1.6 10 ³	1.9 10 ³	Neususs et
aerosols*1 (kg.m⁻³)					al., 2002
Friction velocity	Т	2.5 10 ⁻¹	1.1 10 ⁻¹	4.0 10 ⁻¹	Nho-Kim et
(m.s⁻¹)					al., 2004
Viscous : Total	U	0.27	1/4	1/3	Slinn, 1982
Drag ratio					
Fraction of	U	5.5	1	10	Slinn, 1982
interception by					
large collectors (%)					
Small vegetation	U	5	0	10	Slinn, 1982
hair width (μm)					
Large vegetation	U	0.75	0.5	1	Slinn, 1982
collector radius					
(mm)					

Table E 1. Model parameter distribution reflecting the natural variability for system dimensions, advective flows, and natural particles in the compartments atmosphere, fresh water, soil, and sediment. The shape of the distribution are lognormal (L), triangular (T), uniform (U), Pareto (P), and Weibull (W).

Rain dry air ratio	U	(-)	1 10 ⁻⁷	3 10-7	Franco and
					Trapp, 2010
Wind speed (m.s ⁻¹)	Т	5.0	1.7	14	Bakker et al.,
					2003
Rainrate (mm.d-1)	Т	2.0	2 10-2	6.6	Bakker et al.,
NCasarahan	–	1 7 1014	4.0.4.013	4 4 0 14	2003
NC number	1	1.7 10-7	4.8 10-3	4 10-	Gallego-Urrea
fresh water (# m ⁻³)					et al., 2010
NC size in	D	()	50	2000	Desse and
freshwater (nm)	Р	(-) Defaulty 60	50	2000	Rosse and
NC density in fresh	–	1 2 1 0 ³	1 1 1 03	2 5 103	Loizeau, 2003
NC density in fresh	1	1.3 10	1.1 10	2.5 10	veizeboer et
CD number		2 C 10 ¹⁰	0.2.109	C 2 10 ¹⁰	al., 2014
SP number	U	3.6 10-3	9.2 10°	6.3 10-3	Praetorius et
concentration in					al., 2012
fresh water (#.m°)		-		0.0	Design in the
SP size in	L	5	(-)	0.6	Praetorius et
freshwater (µm)		4 0 4 0 3	4.4.403	2 5 4 0 3	al., 2012
SP density in fresh	U	1.8 103	1.110^3	$2.5 10^3$	Praetorius et
water (kg.m ⁻³)					al., 2012
Water depth (m)	W	3	2	15	Bakker et al., 2003
Water Flow (m ³ .s ⁻¹)	L	2.3 10 ³		8.9 10 ²	Bakker et al.,
					2003
Fresh water shear	U	5	0	10	Praetorius et
stress (s ⁻)	-	- 1 0 ¹²	a 10 ¹²	4.4.014	al., 2012
NC number	I	/ 1015	3 1012	4 1014	Rani et al.,
concentration in					2011
soll pore water					
(#.m [*])	<u>т</u>	1 2 10 ²	20	4 1 0 2	Citagu at al
water (nm)	1	1.2 10	20	4 10	
NC density in soil		()	2000	2700	2000 Citoqui et el
nc density in soli	0	(-)	2000	2700	2006
Soil grain radius	т	1 2 10 ²	62	2 Q 10 ²	Corpelis et
(um)	1	1.5 10	02	2.5 10	al 2013
Soil nore water	т	7 10 ⁻⁶	1 10 ⁻⁴	2 10 ⁻³	Schwartz et
filtration velocity	1	/ 10	1 10	2 10	
$(m s^{-1})$					Tufenkii
(11.5)					andFlimelech
					2004
Soil Frosion (mm v-	т	3 10 ⁻²	7.5 10 ^{-4*2}	6 10 ⁻²	Bakker et al
1)		510	7.5 10	010	2003
FR rainwater run-	Т	0.25	6 3 10 ⁻³ * ²	0.5	Bakker et al
off (-)	'	0.20		0.0	2003
FR rainwater	Т	0.25	6.3 10 ^{-3*2}	0.5	Bakker et al.
infiltration (-)	.			0.0	2003

Soil porosity	Т	0.20	3 10 ⁻³	0.67	Bakker et al.,
					2003
Density of soil	Т	2.5 10 ³	2.0 10 ³	3.0 10 ³	Bakker et al.,
solids (kg.m ⁻³)					2003
Sediment grain	Т	1.3 10 ²	6	2.0 10 ²	Velzeboer et
radius(µm)					al., 2014;
					Jones and Su,
					2012
Sediment water	U	(-)	10 ⁻⁹	10 ⁻⁶	Higashino et
filtration velocity		Default:			al., 2009
(m.s ⁻¹)*2		5 10 ⁻⁷			
Sediment depth	Т	3 10 ⁻²	1 10 ⁻²	0.1	Bakker et al.,
(m)					2003
Sediment porosity	Т	0.8	0.5	0.99	Bakker et al.,
					2003
Sediment	Т	1.1 10 ⁻⁸	0	2.3 10 ^{-8*3}	Praetoriues et
resuspension (m.h ⁻					al., 2012
¹)					
Sediment burial	Т	2.7 10 ⁻³	1 10 ⁻³	5 10 ⁻²	Bakker et al.,
rate (m.y ⁻¹)					2003;
					Koelmans et
					al., 2009

*1 Calculated from raw data of Neususs et al., 2002

*2 Minimal value assumed to be 2.5% of median

*3 Maximum value assumed to be 2 times larger than median

Literature

Bakker, J.; Brandes, L.J.; den Hollander, H.A.; van de Meent, D.; Struijs, J. *Validating SimpleBox-Computed Steady-state Concentration Ratios*; RIVM report no 607220010/2003; National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM): Bilthoven, The Netherlands, 2003
Citeau, L.; Gaboriaud, F.; Elsass ,F.; Thomasc, F.; Lamya, F.I. Investigation of physico-chemical features of soil colloidal suspensions. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* 2006, *287*, 94–105
Cornelis G.; Pang L.; Doolette C.; Kirby J.K.; McLaughlin, M.J. Transport of silver nanoparticles in saturated columns of natural soils. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 2013, *463–464*, 120–130

Franco, A.; Trapp, S. A multimedia activity model for ionizable compounds: validation study with 2,4dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, aniline, and trimethoprim. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2010**, *29*, 789–799

Gallego-Urrea, J.A.; Tuoriniemi, J.; Pallander, T.; Hassellov, M. Measurements of nanoparticle number concentrations and size distributions in contrasting aquatic environments using nanoparticle tracking analysis. *Environ. Chem.* **2010**,*7*, 67-81.

Higashino et al., 2009. Pore water flow due to near-bed turbulence and associated solute transfer in a stream or lake sediment bed. *Water Resour. Res.* **2009**, *45*, W12414

Jones, E. H.; Su, C. Fate and transport of elemental copper (Cu0) nanoparticles through saturated porous media in the presence of organic materials. *Water. Res.* **2012**, *46*, 2445–2456.

Kannosto, J.; Virtanen, A.; Lemmetty, M.; Makela, J.M.; Keskinen, J.; Junninen, H.; Hussein, T.; Aalto, P.; Kulmala, M. Mode resolved density of atmospheric aerosol particles. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *8*, 5327– 5337

Koelmans, A.A.; Nowack, B.; Wiesner, M.R. 2009. Comparison of manufactured and black carbon nanoparticle concentrations in aquatic sediments. *Environ. Pollut.* **2009**, *157*, 1110–1116

Jaenicke, R. Aerosol Cloud-Climate Interactions; Academic Press, Inc.: San Diego, 1993.

Neususs, C.; Wex, H.; Birmili, W.; Wiedensohler, A.; Koziar, C.; Busch, B.; Bruggeman, E.; Gnauk, T.; Ebert, M.; Covert, D.S. 2002. Characterization and parameterization of atmospheric particle number-, mass-, and chemical-size distributions in central Europe during LACE 98 and MINT. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2002**, *107*, 8127-8140

Nho-Kim, E.-Y.; Michou, M.; Peuch, V.-H. Parameterization of size-dependent particle dry deposition velocities for global modeling. *Atmos. Environ.* **2004**, *38*, 1933–1942

Praetorius, A.; Scheringer, M.; Hungerbuhler, K. Development of environmental fate models for engineered nanoparticles: A case study of TiO2 nanoparticles in the Rhine River. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 6705–6713.

Rani, R.D.; Sasidhar, P. Stability assessment and characterization of colloids in coastal groundwater aquifer system at Kalpakkam. *Environ. Earth. Sci.* **2011**, *62*, 233–243

35

Rosse, P.; Loizeau J.-L. Use of single particle counters for the determination of the number and size distribution of colloids in natural surface waters. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* **2003**, *217*, 109-120

Schwarz, R.C.; Juo, A.S.R.; McInnes, K.J. Estimating parameters for a dual-porosity model to describe non-equilibrium, reactive transport in a fine-textured soil. *J. Hydrol.* **2000**, *229*, 149–167

Slinn, W.G.N. Predictions for particle deposition to vegetative surfaces. *Atmos. Environ.* **1982**, *16*, 1785–1794

Tufenkji, N.; Elimelech, M. Correlation equation for predicting single-collector efficiency in physicochemical filtration in saturated porous media. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 529–536.

Velzeboer I., Quik, J.T.K., Van de Meent, D., Koelmans, A.A. 2014. Rapid settling of nanoparticles due to heteroaggregation with suspended sediment. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2014**, *33*, 1766 -1773