Supplementary Information

Short-term exposure of positively charged polystyrene nanoparticles causes oxidative stress and membrane destruction in cyanobacteria

Li-Juan Feng^{a#}, Jian-Wei Li^{a#}, Elvis Genbo Xu^b, Xiao-Dong Sun^a, Fan-Ping Zhu^a, Zhao-Jun Ding^c, Hui-Yu Tian^c, Shang-Shang Dong^a, Peng-Fei Xia^a, and Xian-Zheng Yuan^{a*}

a Shandong Key Laboratory of Water Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong Province 266237, P. R. China

b Department of Chemical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 0C5

c The Key Laboratory of Plant Cell Engineering and Germplasm Innovation, Ministry of Education, School of Life Science, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, P. R. China

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Summary

Total 13 pages

7 figures

5 tables



Figure S1 FTIR spectra of PS-SO₃H after exposure to deionized water a for two days. A series of strong peaks at 3026, 2921, 1601, 1493, 1452, 757 and 698 cm⁻¹ correspond to the characteristic peaks of benzene in nanoplastics ¹.



Figure S2 The concentration of PS-NH₂ was detected via UV–Vis spectra. UV–Vis spectra of PS-NH₂ with different concentrations in deionized water (A). The absorbance of PS-NH₂ at 220 nm is fitted to its concentration in deionized water (B).



Figure S3 Effects of different concentrations of PS-SO₃H on the cell density of *S*. *elongatus* PCC 7942.



Figure S4 The hierarchical clustering heat map presents a global view of metabolite changes in *Synechococcus* exposed to different concentrations of PS-NH₂ and control. Significantly inhibited metabolites are circled by a dotted orange box. "C" refers to the control group. "L" and "H" refer to low and high concentrations of PS-NH₂, respectively.



Figure S5 The permutation testing was employed to assess validation of the classification mode. "A" for assessing OPLS-DA model of low concentration group vs control group, "B" for assessing OPLS-DA model of high concentration group vs control group. The intercept of Q_2Y with a threshold less than zero indicates a valid model.



Figure S6 An enhanced volcano plot showed the differential metabolites selected with the multicriteria assessment. The differential metabolites were obtained using univariate statistical analysis, student T-test. "A" for low concentration group vs control group, "B" for high concentration group vs control group. The p-value together with log 1.5 (fold change) are introduced with a cutoff value of 0.05, 0.01 for p value and 1.5 for log1.5 FC, respectively.



Figure S7 Fold changes of differential metabolites in low- (L) and high-concentration (H) group vs control group (C). "C" refers to the control group. "L" and "H" refer to low and high concentrations of PS-NH₂, respectively.

Strain Relevant genotypes		Reference	
PCC7942	Wild-type		
JW11	Same as wild-type, but Δgp	This work	
JW12	Ptrc: pssA integrated at NSI	This work	
Plasmid	Genotypes		
pUC18	Amp ^R ; broad host range vector	Takara	
PSHG299	Kan ^R ; plasmid containing kanamycin resistance	Takara	
pAM2991	Spec ^R ; NSI targeting vector; Ptrc		
pGP1	Amp ^R ; gp knockout vector	This work	
pJW1	Spec ^R ; NSI targeting vector; Ptrc:: <i>pssA</i>	This work	

 Table S1 The strains and plasmids used in this study.

Primers	Sequences (5' - 3')
GP1	GCCTGCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGGATCCAACCACGACTGGCCTGA
	GGGCTACTTCGAA
GP2	GACGTTTCCCGTTGAATATGGCTCATGTAACCGCAGTAGGAGG
	CCACGTTGACAATC
GP3	TCATTTGATGCTCGATGAGTTTTTCTAAGTCTTAGCCCGCTTCA
	AGAGTGGCGTTGC
GP4	GACCATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTCGGTATCAGGTTCGCCAACA
	CGGATTACCGTCGAT
GP5	GATTGTCAACGTGGCCTCCTACTGCGGTTACATGAGCCATATTC
	AACGGGAAACGTC
GP6	GCAACGCCACTCTTGAAGCGGGGCTAAGACTTAGAAAAACTCAT
	CGAGCATCAAATGA
GP7	ATCGACGGTAATCCGTGTTGGCGAACCTGATACCGAGCTCGAA
	TTCGTAATCATGGTC
GP8	TTCGAAGTAGCCCTCAGGCCAGTCGTGGTTGGATCCTCTAGAG
	TCGACCTGCAGGC
YZ05	CGATCGCTTTTACAGCAATGACAT
YZ06	ACCTCCTCAAAATTGTTTGCGCCTATC
JW2	CGGTACCCGGGGATCCATGTTGTCAAAATTTAAGCGTAAT
JW3	CGACTCTAGAGGATCCTTACAGGATGCGGCTAATTA

 Table S2 Primers for construction of the mutant strains used in this study.

Terms	Counts
Detected	153
Annotated	82
Metabolite Ratios	20
Unknowns	71

 Table S3 Metabolite annotation results for the study samples.

Table S4 Differential metabolites between the low-concentration $PS-NH_2$ group (2.50

Class	Name	HMDBID	KeggID	Р	FC
Alkylamines	Cadaverine	HMDB02322	C01672	7.00E-03	1.522
	Ratio of Ethanolamine/O- Phosphoethanolamine	HMDB00149/HMDB00224	C00189/C00346	2.80E-02	0.369
	Beta-Alanine	HMDB00056	C00099	3.90E-06	0.603
	Ratio of L-Glutamic acid/L- Glutamine	HMDB00148/HMDB00641	C00025/C00064	1.50E-03	0.434
	L-Threonine	HMDB00167	C00188	3.90E-03	0.609
	Ratio of Beta-Alanine/L- Aspartic acid	HMDB00056/HMDB00191	C00099/C00049	5.70E-03	0.752
Amino Acid	L-Glutamine	HMDB00641	C00064	1.10E-02	2.005
	Ratio of L-Glutamine/L- Glutamic acid	HMDB00641/HMDB00148	C00064/C00025	1.20E-02	2.301
	Ratio of Putrescine/Ornithine	HMDB01414/HMDB00214	C00134/C00077	3.80E-02	0.622
	Pyroglutamic acid	HMDB00267	C01879	0.075	0.838
	L-Proline	HMDB00162	C00148	0.091	0.511
	Ornithine	HMDB00214	C00077	0.096	1.589
Carbohydrates	Glucose 6-phosphate	HMDB01401	C00092	2.20E-02	1.827
	Dodecanoic acid	HMDB00638	C02679	2.40E-05	3.306
Fatty Acids	Palmitoleic acid	HMDB03229	C08362	1.50E-03	0.598
Fatty Acids	Myristoleic acid	HMDB02000	C08322	9.20E-03	0.623
	Myristic acid	HMDB00806	C06424	0.085	0.705
Lipids	O-Phosphoethanolamine	HMDB00224	C00346	1.60E-03	3.000
	MG160	HMDB11564	NA	3.40E-02	0.791
	Ratio of Inosine/Adenosine	HMDB00195/HMDB00050	C00294/C00212	7.30E-05	2.220
	Ratio of Guanine/Guanosine	HMDB00132/HMDB00133	C00242/C00387	2.70E-03	0.441
Nucleotide	Inosine	HMDB00195	C00294	3.30E-03	2.062
	Uridine	HMDB00296	C00299	3.30E-02	0.438
	Guanine	HMDB00132	C00242	0.091	0.800
Organic Acids	Petroselinic acid	HMDB02080	C08363	2.40E-03	0.644
		P Fold Change p≤0.05 significantly decreased significant difference between the group. FC<1			
	Р				
	p≼0.05				
	p≤0.05 significantly increased significant difference between the group. FC		etween the group. FC>1		
	UUS <p≤u.1 between="" decreased="" difference="" fcs<="" group.="" significant="" td="" the=""><td>etween the group. $FC < I$</td><td></td></p≤u.1>			etween the group. $FC < I$	
	0.00~p≈0.1	noredseu	significant difference 0	erroen nie group. re>1	

 $\mu g \ m L^{\text{-1}})$ and the control group using univariate statistical analysis.

Table S5 Differential metabolites between the high-concentration $PS-NH_2$ group (4.00)

Class	Name	HMDBID	KeggID	р	FC
Alkylamines	Putrescine	HMDB01414	C00134	8.90E-06	0.505
	Ratio of Ethanolamine/O-	HMDB00149/HMDB00224	C00189/C00346	9.20E-03	0.153
	Phosphoethanolamine Ratio of Spermidine/Putrescine	HMDB01257/HMDB01414	C00315/C00134	2 70F-02	1 846
	L-Aspartic acid	HMDB00191	C00049	6.30E-06	0.466
	L-Tyrosine	HMDB00158	C00082	2.50E-05	0.502
	L-Threonine	HMDB00167	C00188	1.30E-04	0.546
	L-Phenylalanine	HMDB00159	C00079	2.00E-04	0.486
	Ratio of L-Glutamic acid/L-	HMDB00148/HMDB00641	C00025/C00064	5 10F-04	0.332
	Glutamine		C00020, C00001	7.005.04	0.002
	L-Methionine	HMDB00696	C00033	1.00E-03	0.098
	Pyroglutamic acid	HMDB00267	C01879	1.90E-03	0.689
	L-Glutamic acid	HMDB00148	C00025	3.00E-03	0.692
	L-Lysine	HMDB00182	C00047	3.00E-03	0.649
	L-Alanine	HMDB00161	C00041	3.10E-03	0.720
Amino Acid	Glycine	HMDB00123	C00037	3.70E-03	0.757
7 thinle 7 telu	L-Alloisoleucine	HMDB00557	NA	4.60E-03	0.420
	Ratio of L-Glutamine/L-Glutamic	HMDB00641/HMDB00148	C00064/C00025	5.40E-03	3.122
	acid	HMDB00214	C00077	2 40F-02	0 740
	Ratio of Beta-Alanine/L-		00000/0000	2.402.02	0.740
	Aspartic acid	HMDB00056/HMDB00191	C00099/C00049	2.60E-02	1.433
	L-Valine	HMDB00883	C00183	3.40E-02	0.480
	L-Arginine	HMDB00517	C00062	4.00E-02	0.581
	L-Proline	HMDB00162	C00148	4.20E-02	0.395
	L-Glutamine	HMDB00641	C00064	4.50E-02	2.151
	L-Serine	HMDB00187	C00065	0.057	0.778
	L-Leucine	HMDB00687	C00123	0.08	0.521
	Galactonic acid	HMDB00565	C00132	1 30F-03	0.339
	Gluconolactone	HMDB00150	C00198	6.30E-03	0.591
	Sorbitol	HMDB00247	C00794	9.20E-03	0.758
	3-Phosphoglyceric acid	HMDB00807	C00597	1.80E-02	0.527
Carbohydrates	D-Glucose	HMDB00122	C00031	3.90E-02	0.432
	D-Galactose	HMDB00143	C00984	0.051	0.795
	Ratio of D-Glucose/Sucrose	HMDB00122/HMDB00258	C00031/C00089	0.054	0.372
	Ratio of Glucose 6-	HMDB01401/HMDB00122	C00092/C00031	0.095	1.991
Esters	glycerol 1-octadecanoate	HMDB31075	NA	1.80E-02	0.571
	Palmitoleic acid	HMDB03229	C08362	4.60E-05	0.325
	Dodecanoic acid	HMDB00638	C02679	1.10E-04	3.959
	Myristoleic acid	HMDB02000	C08322	1.30E-04	0.215
Fatty Acids	Palmitic acid	HMDB00220	C00249	1.30E-03	0.785
	Myristic acid	HMDB00806	C06424	1.30E-03	0.606
	Caproic acid	HMDB00535	C01585	2.20E-02	1.375
	Stearic acid	HMDB00827	C01530	0.099	0.834
Hydrocarbons	Pentadecane	HMDB59886	C08388	1.30E-04	0.210
	O-Phosphoethanolamine	HMDB00224	C00346	3.70E-04	6.500
Lipids	Glycerol 3-phosphate	HMDB00126	C00093	3.90E-02	0.820
	Adenosine	HMDB00050	C00212	4 50F 03	0.619
	Guanine	HMDB00132	C00242	1.10F-02	0.427
	Xanthosine	HMDB00299	C01762	1.20E-02	0.485
Nucleotide	Ratio of Inosine/Adenosine	HMDB00195/HMDB00050	C00294/C00212	1.20E-02	2.266
Truckonde	Uridine	HMDB00296	C00299	1.30E-02	0.306
	Ratio of Guanine/Guanosine	HMDB00132/HMDB00133	C00242/C00387	3.90E-02	0.427
	Adenine	HMDB00034	C00147	3.90E-02	0.623
Organic Acids	Quinic acid	HMDB03072	C06746	2.20E-02	0.500
	Glyceric acid	HMDB00139	C00258	4.40E-02	0.512
	Phosphoenolpyruvic acid	HMDB00263	C00074	0.062	0.952
	P Fold Change				
	p≤0.05	significantly decreased	significant difference between the group. FC<1 significant difference between the group. FC>1		
	0.05 <p≼0.1< td=""><td>decreased</td><td>significant difference be</td><td>tween the group. FC<1</td><td></td></p≼0.1<>	decreased	significant difference be	tween the group. FC<1	
	0.05 <p≼0.1< td=""><td>increased</td><td>significant difference be</td><td>tween the group. FC>1</td><td></td></p≼0.1<>	increased	significant difference be	tween the group. FC>1	
1					

 $\mu g \ m L^{\text{-1}})$ and the control group using univariate statistical analysis.

Reference

1. Z. Dong, W. Zhang, Y. Qiu, Z. Yang, J. Wang and Y. Zhang, Cotransport of nanoplastics (NPs) with fullerene (C60) in saturated sand: Effect of NPs/C60 ratio and seawater salinity, *Water Res.*, 2018, **148**, 469-478.