## **Supplementary information**

## Effect of ultrafiltration membrane material on fouling dynamics in a submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating domestic wastewater

Amit Dan Grossman<sup>1</sup>, Yang Yang<sup>1</sup>, Uri Yogev<sup>1</sup>, Daniela Calero Camarena<sup>1</sup>, Gideon Oron<sup>1</sup>, Roy Bernstein<sup>\*1</sup> <sup>1</sup> The Zuckerberg Institute for Water Research, J. Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Midreshet Ben-Gurion 8499000, Israel

Parameter	Value
Reactor effective volume	15 L
Hydraulic retention time (HRT)	12 h
Sludge retention time (SRT)	50 days
Mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS)	8±3 gr/L
Temperature	25±0.3 °C
pH	$7{\pm}0.2$
Oxidation-reduction potential (ORP)	(-400) to (-500) mV
Total organic loading rate (OLR)	1.9 g COD/L day
Membrane flux	6 L/m <sup>2</sup> h
Sparging rate	60 (L/m <sup>2</sup> min)
Filtration mode/backwash	10 min/60 s

Table S1 AnMBR operational conditions

Table S2 Contact angles and mean surface tensions\free energies (in mJ/m<sup>2</sup>)

Mem-	C 1 D *	(	Contact ang	gle (°)	LW	··	··+	AB	ACLW	ACAB	A.C.
brane SAD*	Water	Glycerol	Diiodomethane	γ2	γ	γ.	γ····	$\Delta G^{=n}$	$\Delta G^{nn}$	$\Delta G_{SL}$	
PAN	1.075	40.2±5.2	48.1±2.2	43.1±2.8	36.2	37.3	0.4	8.1	-3.6	18.6	15.0±7.7
PES	1.036	66.4±2.2	61.3±2.2	22.3±2.3	44.5	14.6	3E-5	0.04	-8.0	-24.8	-32.8±5.0
PVDF	1.062	80.3±1.8	69.4±6.1	56.2±2.3	29.5	6.5	0.6	4	-1.2	-42.7	-43.9±3.2

\* Surface area difference (sometimes termed "surface area ratio") =  $\frac{\text{Actual surface area}}{\text{Projected surface area}}$ 

Table S3\* Multiple regression coefficients and p values of the effect of membrane properties on fouling

Membrane property	Coefficient	Std. error	p value
Roughness	1.5282	3.9846	0.71 <sup>ns</sup>
Surface free energy	-5.5124	0.6742	1.86E-05
ζ potential	2.5779	3.1916	0.44 <sup>ns</sup>
Pore size	-4.0888	3.9561	0.328 <sup>ns</sup>
Permeability	4.8366	18.9415	0.804 <sup>ns</sup>
1 officiality	4.0500	10.9415	0.004

ns - not significant

.

EPS fouling parameter	Fouling type	Correlation direction	p value
Absolute concentration	Proteins	(-)	0.0278*
	Polysaccharides	(-)	0.0132*
	DOC	ns	0.1812 <sup>ns</sup>
Dalativa	Proteins	(-)	0.0470*
abundance	Polysaccharides	(-)	0.0468*
	Other	(+)	0.0152*

Table S4 One-way ANCOVA p values – the effect of surface free energy on the EPS fouling composition (absolute concentration and relative abundance of each component in the foulant)

ns - not significant; \* p < 0.05; (+) / (-) - positive / negative correlation to surface free energy



Image S1 Images of clean (top) and of the fouled membranes (bottom) removed from the AnMBR after 8 days of operation.



Figure S1 ATR-FTIR spectra of pristine and fouled PAN, PES, and PVDF membranes after 1-, 4-, 8-, and 30-day



Figure S2 SEM images of the three membranes, pristine and following a 1-day, 4-day, 8-day and 30-day run.



Figure S3 Relative abundance of proteins, polysaccharides, and 'other' substances (which generally refers to biopolymers) on the each of the three membranes after four runs. The white line represents the PN/PS ratio. The protein and polysaccharide concentrations were normalized to the carbon concentrations (0.53 and 0.44 gr C/gr, respectively), and are presented as the fraction of the total carbon concentration in the EPS.