Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Urea recovery from fresh human urine by forward osmosis and membrane distillation (FO-MD)

Hannah Raya*, Francois Perreaulta, and Treavor H. Boyera

^aSchool of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment (SSEBE) Arizona State University P.O. Box 873005, Tempe, Arizona, 85287-3005, USA

> *Corresponding author Tel.: 1-418-6899 E-mail addresses: hgray3@asu.edu (H. Ray).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

8 pages

4 tables

3 figures

MATERIALS

Materials

Forward osmosis and membrane distillation set-ups. Cole-Parmer Acrylic In-Line Flowmeter, 1 GPM Water, 3/8" NPT (F) were used to monitor the flow of the solution in the FO and MD systems. Cole-Parmer console drive, 115 VAC, 50/60 Hz pumps were used to circulate the solutions in the system. Cole-Parmer Masterflex platinum-cured silicone tubing, L/S 17, was used throughout the FO and MD setup. A Cole-Parmer Polystat recirculator, 17 L/min, 250W cooling capacity, 115V 60 Hz chiller was used for the FO and MD experiments, and a Cole-Parmer Polystat Standard 6.5 L heated bath, 150 °C, 115VAC/60Hz was used for MD experiments. A Sartorius microbalance was used to track the increase in weight during the experiment to determine the flux of the FO and MD systems. WinWedge, a computer software, connected the balance to Microsoft Excel to log the data. pH and conductivity readings were taken for all samples using an Orion Dual Star Multiparameter Meter, an Orion 9156BNWP Combination pH probe, and Orion Star A212 conductivity probe.

Analytical methods. Urea was analyzed using a urea assay kit (Bioassay Systems, DUR-100) and a BioTek Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Reader plate reader following the procedure detailed in the assay manual. However, a 1000 mg/L standard was used to increase the calibration curve from 500 to 1000 mg/L. Three check standards were used for every plate reading: 800, 500, and 100 mg/L in duplicate to ensure accuracy. Total organic carbon (TOC) and TN were both analyzed using a Shimadzu Total Organic Carbon/Nitrogen Analyzer. Four check standards were used for each TOC/TN run: TN 5, TN 1, TOC 10, and TOC 5 mg/L.

TABLES

Table S1: The composition of the synthetic urine used for all synthetic urine experiments

Synthetic fresh urine composition					
Compound	Concentration (g/L)				
Urea	15.0075				
NaCl	2.5715				
Na_2SO_4	2.1305				
KCI	2.982				
MgCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.813				
NaH ₂ PO ₄	2.3995				
CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	0.588				
рН	6				

Table S2: The saturation indices for magnesium minerals in synthetic urine at pH 12.5

Visual MINTEQ Saturation Indices						
Mineral	Saturation index					
Mg(OH) ₂	4.05					
Mg(OH) ₂ active	2.35					
Mg ₂ (OH) ₃ Cl:4H ₂ O	2.57					
Mg ₃ (PO ₄) ₂	3.89					

Table S3: The TOC content in the FO draw solution at t = 24 h that is not accounted by the ureaconcentration. All units are mg/L C.

Forward osmosis TOC content							
Urine Condition	TOC in Draw at t = 24 h minus urea content	Duplicate Run	Average % Permeation of TOC				
Fresh	391	43	6				
Fresh with acid	100	85	3				
Fresh with base (Ca(OH) ₂)	107	70	2				
Fresh with base (NaOH)	103	106	4				
Synthetic fresh with base (NaOH)	24	35	5				

Table S4: Urea concentrations and mass balance for forward osmosis and membrane distillation

Table S4 - Urea Recovery for FO and MD

Urine Condition	FO Initial Feed (mg/L urea)	FO Initial Feed (mg urea)	FO Product (mg/L urea)	FO Product (mg urea)	FO %Recovery	MD Initial Feed (mg urea)	MD Product (mg/L urea)	MD Product (mg urea)	MD %Recovery	Concentration Factor	Final MD Concentration Compared to Urine (%)
Fresh	9027	36108	2930	8204	23	6711	5327	6179	92	1.8	59
Duplicate	6427	25710	1670	4459	17	4421	4004	4084	92	2.4	64
Fresh with acetic acid	8826	35304	1815	4792	14	4002	2711	3362	84	1.5	31
Duplicate	6170	24680	1471	4008	16	4101	3474	3682	90	2.4	60
Fresh with base (Ca(OH) ₂)	10601	42404	2179	5230	12	5306	5877	3802	72	2.7	55
Duplicate	10834	43336	2036	4744	11	4467	3832	3698	83	1.9	35
Fresh with base (NaOH)	8137	32548	1571	3737	11	3483	5958	2985	86	3.8	73
Duplicate	7894	31576	1544	3629	11	3279	4466	3037	93	2.9	57
Synthetic fresh with base (NaOH)	13732	54928	4379	11385	21	8730	9786	6968	80	2.2	71
Duplicate	13108	52432	4277	11098	21	9506	8433	7421	78	2.0	64

FIGURES





Figure S1. Duplicate membrane comparisons for each urine condition. The left column is comparisons of FO duplicate experiments. The right column is comparisons of MD duplicate experiments.



Figure S2. Urea separation percentages by forward osmosis for each urine pre-treatment condition. The graph includes the statistical grouping coming from a One-Way ANOVA test on the separation percentages. The graphed data is mean values \pm one standard deviation for duplicate runs for time 24 h.



1:100,000 = 8.26e9 CFU



1:10,000 = 5.28e9 CFU

Figure S3. Spread plates for bacteria counts from the forward osmosis membrane surface for the real fresh urine condition after 30 hours of operation. Two different dilutions were performed. CFU stands for colony-forming unit.