Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2020

- 1 Supporting information
- 2

3	Differential kinetics of nitrogen oxides reduction leads to elevated $N_2O$ production by a
4	Denitrifying Polyphosphate Accumulating Organisms (DPAOs) enrichment
5	Han Gao <sup>a</sup> , Xiaotian Zhao <sup>b</sup> , Lei Zhou <sup>b</sup> , Fabrizio Sabba <sup>a</sup> and George F. Wells <sup>a,*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston,
8	Illinois
9	<sup>b</sup> Master of Science in Biotechnology Program, McCormick School of Engineering, Northwestern
10	University, Evanston, Illinois
11	*Corresponding author: George F. Wells, Email: george.wells@northwestern.edu, Ph: +1-847-
12	491-3109, Fax: +1-847-491-4011

13

### 14 Supporting Information - Methods

#### 15 Chemical analyses

16 Acetate and N<sub>2</sub>O were measured using a GC-FID (Fisher-Thermo scientific, USA) with a TR-17 FFAP column. A 1  $\mu$ L sample was injected into the GC with 50:1 split ratio with constant flow 18 rate of 1 ml/min. Helium was used as carrier gas. The following temperature program was used: 19 initial, 70 °C for 1 min, 10 °C/min up to 180°C, and holding at 180 °C for 6 min. Gas phase N<sub>2</sub>O 20 was measured by GC-ECD with a TG-BOND Q column. 100  $\mu$ L of gas sample was injected with 21 a split ratio of 50:1 and column flow of 5 ml/min using helium as carrier gas. An isothermal

22 temperature program was used with oven temperature setpoint of 35°C with backflush started 3

23 min after injection. The detector temperature was 250 °C and  $N_2$  was used as makeup gas.

24

### 25 PCR amplification for amplicon sequencing

26 For each sample, we prepared duplicate DNA extracts. A two-step PCR amplification and 27 barcoding were performed using the Fluidigm Biomark multiplex PCR strategy as previously described (Griffin and Wells 2017). First, forward primer 515f (5'-GTG CCA GCM GCC GCG 28 29 GTA A) and reverse primer 806r (5'-GGA CTA CHV GGG TWT CTA AT) were used to amplify 30 the V4 region of the universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene (Caporaso et al. 2012). A 20  $\mu$ L PCR 31 reaction was performed per DNA extract, using 2× Epicentre Premix F PCR mastermix (Epicentre, 32 USA), 3.5 U/µL Expand HiFidelity Taq (Roche Diagnostics, USA), 200 nM of each primer, and 1 µL gDNA. The following PCR amplification temperature program was used: 95 °C for 5 33 34 minutes, followed by 28 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 45 s, and 68 °C for 30 s with a final elongation step at 68°C for 7 minutes. Amplicons from replicate first PCR runs were pooled before 35 36 the second PCR amplification. Fluidigm primers with sequencing adapters and a sample-specific barcode were used to perform the second PCR step. The PCR reaction was performed using 2× 37 38 Accuprime Supermix (ThermoFisher, USA), 50 µM forward and reverse primers (Fluidigm, USA), and 1 µL of template from the combined first round PCR products using the following 39 40 temperature program: 95 °C for 5 minutes, 95 °C for 30s, 60°C for 30s, and 68 °C for 30s in a total 41 of 8 cycles. The resulting amplicons were then send to the University of Illionis Chicago DNA 42 Service Facility for further purification with a Qiagen PCR purification kit and sequence on the

- 43 Illumina MiSeq V2 platform.
- 44

## 45 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data processing

After removing barcodes, filtering for low-quality sequences (sequences with more than 1 error 46 per 100 bases were removed), and merging reverse and forward paired end sequences, USEARCH 47 48 v8.1.1861 was used to remove singletons and chimeras and to select representative OTUs based 49 on a 97% identity cutoff (Edgar 2013, Edgar et al. 2011). The Greengenes sequence database was employed for phylogenetic inference for representative sequences from each OTU in the 50 Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) platform (Caporaso et al. 2010, Edgar 51 52 2010). Representative OTUs were also aligned via the SILVA Incremental Aligner (SINA) database to identify 'Candidatus Competibacter phasphatis' and Defluviicocus, candidate 53 54 glycogen accumulating organisms (GAOs), since neither is included in the Greengenes database 55 (Pruesse et al. 2012). Samples were rarefied to the lowest sequencing depth for further diversity 56 analysis.

57

### 58 Quantitive PCR (qPCR)

59 All qPCR assays except nirK and clade II nosZ were performed on a CFX Connect thermocycler

- 60 (Bio-rad, USA) in a 20 µL reaction volume containing 10 µL of 2× SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR
- 61 Green Supermix (Bio-rad, USA), 0.5 µM of primers and 2 µL of diluted DNA extracts. To quantify
- 62 the abundance of clade II nosZ, the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad, USA) was
- 63 used. For the quantification of nirK, FailSafe Green premix was made by mixing 2× FailSafe
- 64 premix F (Epicentre, USA) with 10,000× SYBR Green 1 nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen, USA). The
- 65 qPCR reaction volume for *nirK* was 20  $\mu$ L with 10  $\mu$ L of FailSafe Green premix, 0.5 U/reaction
- 66 Expand HiFidelity taq enzyme (Roche Diagnostics, USA), 0.5  $\mu$ M of primers and 2  $\mu$ L of diluted
- 67 gDNA. Each qPCR reaction was performed in triplicate.

## **Supporting Information - Tables**

**Table S1.** The relative abundance (%) and standard deviation of the top 15 bacterial taxa at the genus level in the denitrifying EBPR biomass, based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Taxa names are given at the lowest possible assignment based on the Greengenes database.

	Lowest level of	Total biomass (%)		Size fractions (µm) (%)				
Phylum	taxonomic assignment *	Day 0-48	Day 490-600	<150	150-350	350-600	>600	
Proteobacteria	f_Xanthomonadaceae	3.0±1.1	19.9±3.0	24.1±5.5	21.7±1.2	20.9±1.6	6.9±1.9	
Proteobacteria	g_ <i>Candidatus</i> Accumulibacter	2.2±0.9	13.5±4.2	9.6±2.4	19.1±9.2	19.3±4.0	31.2±2.1	
Proteobacteria	g_ <i>Candidatus</i> Competibacter	0.9±0.9	0.126±0.078	22.9±1.2	21.0±2.4	12.9±0.1	2.0±0.5	
Chlorobi	p_Chlorobi	$0.5 \pm 0.6$	6.9±2.0	5.1±1.9	4.0±1.9	5.5±2.5	7.8±1.5	
Proteobacteria	g_Defluviicoccus	0.3±0.1	9.2±6.0	$2.3 \pm 0.9$	1.7±0.2	1.3±0.5	$0.4{\pm}0.0$	
GN02	p_GN02	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.9 \pm 3.0$	$2.6 \pm 3.0$	3.7±4.6	6.9±8.4	$13.0{\pm}11.0$	
Proteobacteria	f_Comamonadaceae	5.4±1.2	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.6\pm0.9$	$1.4\pm0.9$	2.3±1.6	4.6±1.9	
Chloroflexi	g_Caldilinea	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	2.4±0.3	4.9±2.6	3.5±2.5	$1.9\pm0.9$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	
Proteobacteria	f_Comamonadaceae	4.9±1.9	2.2±1.2	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	$0.6\pm0.5$	$0.6\pm0.4$	0.3±0.1	
Proteobacteria	f Rhodocyclaceae	$2.4 \pm 0.9$	1.7±1.3	1.0±0.3	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	1.1±0.7	$1.9 \pm 1.4$	
Bacteroidetes	p Bacteroidetes	$0.0\pm0.0$	1.9±0.4	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	0.5±0.3	$1.2\pm0.0$	5.3±0.9	
Chloroflexi	f Anaerolineae	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	1.3±0.3	$1.4{\pm}0.7$	$1.6 \pm 1.0$	2.2±1.5	$2.2 \pm 0.9$	
Proteobacteria	o Rhizobiales	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.9 \pm 1.0$	2.1±1.5	2.0±1.3	$1.4{\pm}0.8$	
Proteobacteria	f_Phyllobacteriaceae	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.1\pm0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.8$	$1.8 \pm 1.2$	1.6±0.9	$0.7{\pm}0.2$	
Proteobacteria	g_Luteimonas	$0.1 \pm 0.0$	2.2±1.4	0.3±0.2	0.3±0.1	$0.4{\pm}0.0$	$0.2{\pm}0.1$	

\* Lowest level of taxonomic assignment (p: phylum, c: class, o: order, f: family and g: genus).

**Table S2.** qPCR primers and thermal cycling conditions used in this study.

Gene	Primers	Size	Step	Temperature	Time	Reference	
	519f: CAG CMG CCG CGG TAA NWC 907r: CCG TCA ATT CMT TTR AGT T	393bp	Initial Denaturation	95°C	5min	5min	
Universel 16S				95°C	30s	(Burgmann et al. 2011)	
Universal 105			30 cycles	60°C	30s		
				68°C	30s		
			Initial Denaturation	95°C	3min		
Accumulibacter 16S	518f: CCA GCA GCC GCG GTA AT	351bn		95°C	30s	(He et al.	
Accumunoacter 105	846r: GTT AGC TAC GGC ACT AAA AGG	5510p	35 cycles	59°C	60s	2007)	
				72°C	30s		
			Initial Denaturation	95°C	15min		
	parG FTCG CCS ATV CCG GC S ATG TC			95°C	30s	(Bru et al.	
navG	narG-r GAG TTG TAC CAG TCR GCS GAY TCS G		5 cycles	63°C Decrease 1°C	30s		
nuro				per cycle		2007)	
			35 cycles	95°C	30s		
			55 Cycles	63°C	30s		
	cd3aF: GT(C/G) AAC GT(C/G) AAG		Initial Denaturation	95°C	10min	_	
nirS	GA(A/G) AC(C/G) GG R3cd: GA(C/G) TTC GG(A/G) TG(C/G) GTC TTG A	387bp	35 cycles	95°C	30s	(Throback et al. 2004)	
nu s				56°C	30s		
				72°C	30s		
	nirK 5R: GCC TCG ATC AGR TTR TGG	~430bp	Initial Denaturation	94°C	2min	(Braker et al.	
nirK	nirK583FdegCF: TCA TGG TGC TGC CGC GYG ANG G			94°C	30s	1998)	
10011			35 cycles	60°C	60s	(Santoro et	
				72°C	60s	al. 2006)	
	de I <i>nosZ</i> NosZ1840f: CGC RAC GGC AAS AAG GTS MSS GT NosZ2090r: CAK RTG CAK SGC RTG GCA GAA		Initial Denaturation	95°C	15min	_	
				95°C	15s		
			6 cycles	65°C Decrease 1°C	30s		
Clade LnosZ		267hn		per cycle		(Henry et al.	
Clude Those		2070		95°C	30s	2006)	
			35 cycles	59°C	15s		
				72°C	30s		
				80°C	15s		

Gene	Primers	Size	Step	Temperature	Time	Reference
	nosZ-II-f: CTI GGI CCI YTK CAY AC nosZ-II-r: GCI GAR CAR AAI TCB GTR C	745bp	Initial Denaturation	95°C	5min	
				95°C	30s	(Jones et al. 2013)
Clade II nosZ			35cycles	55°C	60s	
				72°C	45s	
				80°C	30s	

Gene	Efficiency	R <sup>2</sup>
Universal 16S rRNA	92.2%	0.999
Accumulibacter 16S rRNA	100.3%	0.997
narG	88.6%	0.992
nirS	96.2%	0.999
nirK	97.6%	0.997
Clade I nosZ	92.0%	0.998
Clade II nosZ	96.2%	0.993

**Table S3.** Quality control parameters for qPCR assays.

	<b>Operation days</b>	Accumulibacter	narG	nirS	nirK	<i>nosZ</i> clade I	nosZ clade II
	Day 458	6.1±0.5	1.1±0.2	7.5±1.0	0.6±0.2	3.0±0.4	0.5±0.2
	Day 472	8.0±2.1	$1.9\pm0.0$	13.3±5.7	1.1±0.3	4.4±0.7	$1.2\pm0.1$
	Day 486	7.5±1.9	2.9±1.1	11.5±4.6	0.5±0.3	4.8±3.3	$0.7 \pm 0.2$
Total	Day 507	8.7±2.4	1.7±0.7	12.5±3.2	$0.5 \pm 0.0$	$2.2 \pm 0.8$	2.2±1.7
biomass	Day 521	8.2±2.1	1.2±0.1	7.5±6.6	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	3.1±1.1	2.1±1.2
	Day 535	5.5±1.3	$1.4 \pm 0.8$	5.2±0.9	$0.7 \pm 0.0$	$2.6 \pm 0.4$	1.8±0.3
	Day 549	4.2±1.2	2.3±0.5	9.7±1.7	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	4.2±1.7	2.1±0.4
	Day 563	8.1±2.5	2.9±0.6	8.7±1.5	$0.7 \pm 0.0$	$1.9{\pm}0.4$	2.4±1.3
	Day 591 <150	5.2±0.6	3.1±0.8	9.0±4.8	0.5±0.2	3.6±0.1	1.4±0.4
	Day 591 150-350	6.6±4.7	2.9±0.7	26.4±17.5	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	7.1±5.4	2.6±1.3
Different	Day 591 350-600	16.3±7.1	$3.5 \pm 2.0$	$18.2 \pm 8.2$	2.9±0.6	$4.7 \pm 0.4$	$11.8 \pm 8$
fraction of	Day 591 >600	27.5±14.4	1.5±0.6	17.0±5.3	1.6±1.1	12.9±4.5	8.7±6.4
biomass	Day 626 <150	7.1±0.6	3.9±0.1	6.4±0.9	0.6±0.1	4.7±0.1	1.9±0.3
(µm)	Day 626 150-350	14.9±2.5	$4.5 \pm 0.8$	21.5±1.7	0.9±0.1	$4.0\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm0.0$
	Day 626 350-600	21.5±4.5	4.5±2.4	16.6±8.3	1.5±0.7	$5.8 \pm 0.4$	6.7±0.2
	Day 626 >600	26.4±4.2	1.7±0.1	15.6±7.5	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$4.9 \pm 0.4$	6.7±5.7

**Table S4**. Relative abundance (%) of Accumulibacter 16S rRNA (Accumulibacter) and five denitrification genes (*narG*, *nirS*, *nirK* and clade I and II *nosZ*) normalized to universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene abundance quantified by qPCR assays.

# **Supporting Information - Figures**



**Figure S1.** A typical SBR cycle profiling the transformation of key C, N and P components (acetate as COD,  $NO_2^-$ , dissolved  $N_2O$  and  $PO_4^{3-}$ ).



**Figure S2.** a) N removal rate (mg-N/h-gVSS) and b) denitrifying P uptake rate (mg-P/h-gVSS) by DPAO-enriched biomass with different dosing schemes in coupled feeding mode (simultaneous addition of COD [acetate] and nitrogen oxide(s) with 40 mg-N/L of nitrogen oxides). x-axis labels refer to following conditions: single nitrogen oxide: COD/N=8 (320mg COD/L, C\_a), COD/N=5 (200 mg COD/L, C\_b), and COD/N=3 (120mg COD/L, C\_c); combination: COD/N=3 (39 mg-N/L, combination of 13mg-N/L of each nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O), C\_a\_NO<sub>3</sub>+NO<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O). The NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> driven P uptake rate is unavailable (when COD/N = 8 and 5) due to the rapid reduction rate of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and lack of electron acceptor after COD was completely consumed. The P uptake rate for N<sub>2</sub>O when COD/N=3 is not shown due to the large standard deviation for the duplicate experiments.



**Figure S3.** Electron distribution for different denitrification enzymes (NAR: nitrate reductase, NIR: nitrite reductase, NOR: nitric oxide reductase, NOS: nitrous oxide reductase) under (**a**) decoupled and (**b**) coupled feeding modes. Dosing schemes in x-axes in the figure correspond to batch test scenario in Table 1, and vary based on concentration and type of nitrogen oxides supplied as electron acceptor(s) (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, and/or N<sub>2</sub>O). Dosing scheme: a,b,c\_NOx: varying concentrations of NOx (10 mg-N/L, 20 mg-N/L and 40 mg-N/L); a\_NO<sub>3</sub>+NO<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O: 13 mg-N/L each of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O; a,b,c\_NO<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O: different combinations of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O; a\_NO<sub>3</sub>+NO<sub>2</sub>: 20 mg-N/L NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>; D\_d\_NO<sub>2</sub>: 60 mg N/L NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Complete details of nitrogen oxide dosing concentrations can be found in Table 1.



**Figure S4.** Relative abundance for the 15-most abundant microbial taxa at the genus level in the mother SBR via 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. Left: Overall microbial community structure in biomass over time range when batch assays were conducted (day 460 to day 600) and within two months of SBR inoculation (day 0 to day 50); Right: Microbial community structure in different size fractions (<150 um, 150-350 um, 350-600 um, and >600 um) of biomass in two selected days (day 591 and 626). Taxonomy is shown at the phylum level (left label) and at the lowest level of taxonomic assignment (p: phylum, c: class, o: order, f: family, and g: genus; right label).



**Figure S5.** Correlation network between the top 15 most abundant bacterial taxa at the genus level detected in the nitrite-fed denitrifying EBPR bioreactor via 16S rRNA gene sequencing (colored circles) and the abundance of denitrification and Accumulibacter 16S rRNA (gray circles; *nirK*, *narG*, Accumulibacter 16S rRNA, and clade II *nosZ*) measured via qPCR. Spearman correlation analysis between the top 15 bacterial genera was conducted based on the relative abundance of these bacterial genera in different biomass size fractions. Taxa names are given at the lowest level of taxonomic assignment (p: phylum, c: class, o: order, f: family, and g: genus). *nirS* and clade I *nosZ* are not shown in the figure because they were not correlated with any bacterial taxon or denitrification genes. A positive correlation (Pearson correlation  $\rho$ <-0.8, p<0.05) between nodes is indicated by a green edge, and negative correlation (Pearson correlation  $\rho$ <-0.8, p<0.05) is indicated by an orange edge. The size for each colored circle represents the average relative abundance (%) of the bacterial genus in reactor biomass between day 486 and day 625 (time frame when batch assays occurred).



**Figure S6**. Average differences in functional gene relative abundance (%) between each biomass size fraction calculated based on post-hoc test. Error bar represents the 95% confidence interval. A positive number on the y-axis indicates higher abundance in the larger size fraction.

#### References

Braker, G., Fesefeldt, A. and Witzel, K.-P. (1998) Development of PCR Primer Systems for Amplification of Nitrite Reductase Genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) to detect denitrifying bacteria in environmental samples. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64(10), 3769-3775.

Bru, D., Sarr, A. and Philippot, L. (2007) Relative Abundances of Proteobacterial Membrane-Bound and Periplasmic Nitrate Reductases in Selected Environments. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73(18), 5971-5974.

Burgmann, H., Jenni, S., Vazquez, F. and Udert, K.M. (2011) Regime shift and microbial dynamics in a sequencing batch reactor for nitrification and anammox treatment of urine. Applied Environmental Microbiology 77(17), 5897-5907.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zaneveld, J. and Knight, R. (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature Publishing Group 7(5), 335-336.

Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., Owens, S.M., Betley, J., Fraser, L., Bauer, M., Gormley, N., Gilbert, J.A., Smith, G. and Knight, R. (2012) Ultrahigh-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. The ISME Journal 6(8), 1621-1624.

Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26(19), 2460-2461.

Edgar, R.C. (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nature Method 10(10), 996-998.

Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C. and Knight, R. (2011) UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27(16), 2194-2200.

Griffin, J.S. and Wells, G.F. (2017) Regional synchrony in full-scale activated sludge bioreactors due to deterministic microbial community assembly. ISME J 11(2), 500-511.

He, S., Gall, D.L. and McMahon, K.D. (2007) "*Candidatus* Accumulibacter" population structure in enhanced biological phosphorus removal sludges as revealed by polyphosphate kinase genes. Applied Environmental Microbiology 73(18), 5865-5874.

Henry, S., Bru, D., Stres, B., Hallet, S. and Philippot, L. (2006) Quantitative detection of the *nosZ* gene, encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, *narG*, *nirK*, and *nosZ* genes in soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72(8), 5181-5189.

Jones, C.M., Graf, D.R., Bru, D., Philippot, L. and Hallin, S. (2013) The unaccounted yet abundant nitrous oxide-reducing microbial community: a potential nitrous oxide sink. ISME J 7(2), 417-426. Pruesse, E., Peplies, J. and Glockner, F.O. (2012) SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 28(14), 1823-1829.

Santoro, A.E., Boehm, A.B. and Francis, C.A. (2006) Denitrifier community composition along a nitrate and salinity gradient in a coastal aquifer. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72(3), 2102-2109.

Throback, I.N., Enwall, K., Jarvis, A. and Hallin, S. (2004) Reassessing PCR primers targeting *nirS*, *nirK* and *nosZ* genes for community surveys of denitrifying bacteria with DGGE. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 49(3), 401-417.