Supporting Information

Transfer Hydrogenation of Cyclic Carbonates and Polycarbonate to Methanol and Diols by Iron Pincer Catalysts

Xin Liu, Johannes G. de Vries, and Thomas Werner*

Leibniz Institut for Catalysis, Alber-Einstein-Str. 29a, D-18059 Rostock, Germany

Table of Contents

1. General Information	S1
2. Parameter screening for the transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate	S2
2.1 Solvent screening	S2
2.2 Reaction temperature screening	S2
3. Parameter screening for the transfer hydrogenation of poly(propylene carbonate)	S3
4. Complementary and cross experiments for the cyclic carbonate reduction	S3
5. Complementary and cross experiments for the poly(propylene carbonate) reduction	S4
6. One-pot and two steps reaction	S6
7. Catalyst recycling	S6
7. Catalyst recycling8. Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer	S6
 7. Catalyst recycling 8. Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction 	S6 S7
 7. Catalyst recycling 8. Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction 8.1 Calibration curve data for different compounds 	S6 S7 S7
 Catalyst recycling Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction Calibration curve data for different compounds	S6 S7 S7 . S12
 Catalyst recycling Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction Calibration curve data for different compounds	S6 S7 S7 . S12 . S16
 7. Catalyst recycling 8. Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction 8.1 Calibration curve data for different compounds 8.2 GC charts for transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate 7a 9. NMR spectra of the substrates 7 and products 8 9.1 NMR spectra of the substrates 7 	S6 S7 . S12 . S16 . S16

1. General Information

All experiments were performed under inert argon atmosphere by using standard Schlenk technic or glove box, if not stated otherwise. THF, 1,4-dioxane, toluene, CH₃CN, CH₂Cl₂ and heptane were obtained from a solvents purification system. Dry EtOH and Dry iPrOH was purchased from Across Organics, degassed and purged with argon prior to use. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma, Alfa, Strem, abcr, Acros and TCI. HN(CH₂CH₂P(*i*Pr)₂)₂ (10%wt in THF) was purchased from Strem and used without further purification. All cyclic carbonates 7a-7j were synthesized according to literature procedures in our lab.^[1] The products were degassed and purged with argon prior to use. Poly(propylene carbonate), 4-Methyl-1, 3dioxolan-2-one (7c) and 1, 3-dioxan-2-one (7k) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and abcr GmbH. Deuterated solvents were ordered from Deutero GmbH and stored over molecular sieves. NMR spectra were received using Bruker 300 Fourier, Bruker AV 300 and Bruker AV 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the deuterated solvent. Coupling constants are expressed in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations are used: s= singlet, d= doublet, t= triplet and m= multiplet. The molar masses were analyzed employing size exclusion chromatography (SEC) 1100 GPC from Agilent Technologies with a refraction index detector at 40 °C. GC analyses were performed on a Trace 1310 chromatograph with a 29m HP5 column. Starting temperature was 35 °C which was kept for 5 min and then raised with a rate 10°C min⁻¹ to 180 °C, and keeping this temperature for another 0.5 min. The reported gas chromatography (GC) yields and conversions are based on a calibrated area of mesitylene as internal standard.

2. Parameter screening for the transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate

2.1 Solvent screening

0	Å	5 mol% 3 , 5 n	nol% KO <i>t</i> Bu	
Ft	\sim —	140°C , 6 h, sol v	vent / <i>i</i> PrOH Et	CH + MeOH
7	'a			8a
Entr	y solvent	Conv/ % ^b	Yield of MeOH/ % ^b	Yield of 8a / % ^b
1	-	81	60	80
2	dioxane	65	37	54
3	THF	99	91	95
4	Toluene	77	45	61
5	Heptane	21	4	14
6	CH₃CN	7	trace	trace
7	DCM	13	trace	trace

Table S1. Solvent effect on the Fe-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of 4-ethyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (**7a**).^a

^a Reaction conditions: Catalyst **3** (5 mol%), KO*t*Bu (5 mol%), **7a** (1.0 mmol), solvent (1 mL), *i*PrOH (4 mL), 140 °C, 6 h. ^b Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard.

2.2 Reaction temperature screening

Table S2. Effect of different reaction temperatures on the Fe-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of 4-ethyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one(**7a**).^a

O Et 7a	$7a \qquad \qquad 5 \text{ mol}\% 3, 5 \text{ mol}\% \text{ KO}t\text{Bu} \qquad \qquad OH \qquad \qquad OH \qquad \qquad HeOH \qquad HeOH \qquad \qquad HeOH \qquad HeOH \qquad HeOH \qquad \qquad HeOH \qquad HeOH$				
Entry	T/ °C	Conv./ % ^b	Yield of MeOH/ % ^b	Yield of diol/ % ^b	
1	80	10	trace	7	
2	100	41	28	34	
3	120	70	56	63	
4	140	99	91	95	
5	160	38	21	29	

^a Reaction conditions: Catalyst **3** (5 mol%), KO*t*Bu (5 mol%), **7a** (1.0 mmol), THF (1 mL), *i*PrOH (4 mL), 80–160 °C, 6 h. ^b Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard.

3. Parameter screening for the transfer hydrogenation of poly(propylene carbonate)

		5 mol% 3 , 5 mol% 100–140 °C , 30 h, T	[™] Base OH HF / <i>i</i> PrOH Me	OH + MeOH
Entry	T/°C	Base/ mol%	Yield of MeOH/ $\%^{b}$	Yield of diol/ % ^b
1	100	KO <i>t</i> Bu/5.0	24	53
2	120	KO <i>t</i> Bu/5.0	32	47
3	140	KO <i>t</i> Bu/5.0	58	65
4	150	KO <i>t</i> Bu/5.0	8	34
5	140	K ₂ CO ₃ /5.0	traces	traces
6	140	KHCO₃/5.0	traces	traces
7	140	Na ₂ CO ₃ /5.0	traces	traces

Table S3 Effect of differen reaction conditions on the transfer hydrogenation of poly(propylene carbonate)^a

^a Reaction conditions: Catalyst **3** (5 mol%), Base (5 mol%), poly(propylene carbonate) (1.0 mmol), THF (1 mL), *i*PrOH (4 mL), 100–150 °C, 6 h. ^b Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard.

4. Complementary and cross experiments for the cyclic carbonate reduction

In the absence of the catalyst and catalytic amounts of KO*t*Bu (5 mol%) only small amounts of the diol **8a** (16%) were obtained (Scheme S1). In addition the transesterification product diisopropyl carbonates was observed in 11% yield. Notably, the formation of MeOH was not detected.



Scheme S1. Transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate without complex 3.

In the absence of THF small amounts of the diisopropyl carbonates was observed in 9% yield. In addition the diol **8a** (80%) and methanol (60%) was obtained (Scheme S2). Notably, the isopropyl formate **11** was detected (4%).

Scheme S2. Transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate without THF.

It was attempted to convert the byproduct diisopropyl carbonate under the reaction conditions shown in Scheme S3. However, only 5% yield of methanol was observed indicating that diisopropyl carbonate is unlikely an intermediate of the transfer hydrogenation process.



Scheme S3. Transfer hydrogenation of diisopropy carbonate to methanol.

5. Complementary and cross experiments for the poly(propylene carbonate) reduction

The well-known base free iron pincer complex **6** was tested as transfer hydrogenation catalyst for the reduction of poly(propylene carbonate). Under the previously optimized conditions no methanol and diol was detected after 30 h reaction time.



Scheme S4. Transfer hydrogenation of poly(propylene carbonate) by complex 6

To investigate if KO*t*Bu will cause poly(propylene carbonate) depolymerization or the formation of the respective cyclic carbonate a blind experiment with 5 mol% KO*t*Bu was performed. The molecular weight of the poly(propylene carbonate) drops significantly in the presence of a KO*t*Bu.

Table.S4 KO*t*Bu catalyzed depolymerization of poly(propylene carbonate) under the reaction conditions.

0 0 105 mg	→ → → 5 mol% K Me 140 °C, 24 h, T	OtBu ► THF/iPrOH
	Poly(prop	oylene carbonate)
	Before the reaction ^a	After the reaction KOtBu ^a
M _w	33.000 g⋅mol ⁻¹	<1000 g⋅mol ⁻¹
M _n	120.000 g⋅mol ⁻¹	<1000 g·mol ^{−1}

^a Determined by GPC

Moreover the formation the cyclic carbonate 7c as well as the diol 8c could be observed by ¹H NMR. The latter might be formed by transesterification of 7c with *i*PrOH.



Figure S1. a) Segment of the ¹H NMR of neat cyclic carbonate **7c**. b) Segment of the ¹H NMR of neat diol **8c**. c) Segment of the ¹H NMR of the reaction mixture of poly(propylene carbonate) and KO*t*Bu (5 mol%) after 24 h at 140°C in THF/*i*PrOH.

6. One-pot and two steps reaction

Conversion of butylene oxide and CO₂ to diol **8a** and methanol in a one-pot and two steps:

$$Et \xrightarrow{O} + CO_{2} \xrightarrow{2 \mod \% \left[Bu_{3}P \xrightarrow{O} H \right] I} \xrightarrow{O} \underbrace{5 \mod \% 3, 5 \mod \% KOtBu}_{140 \degree C, 6 h, THF/iPrOH} \xrightarrow{HO} \underbrace{O}_{Et} + MeOH \xrightarrow{O} \underbrace{1.0 \text{ MPa}}_{59 \%} \xrightarrow{47 \%}$$

Scheme S5. One-pot and two steps reaction for transfer hydrogenation.

In a 45 cm³ stainless-steel autoclave was charged with bifunctional phosphorus-based organocatalyst (24.7 mg, 2 mol%) and butylene oxide (460 mg, 5.0 mmol). The reactor was purged once with CO₂ and an initial pressure of 1.0 MPa was set. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at 90 °C while the CO₂ pressure was kept constant at 1.0 MPa. Subsequently, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and CO₂ was released slowly. Simultaneously, complex **3** (120 mg, 5 mol %) and KO*t*Bu (28.0 mg, 5 mol%) were dissolved in THF (3.0 mL) under argon. The mixture was stirred for 5 min at 23 °C. Then, add the complex mixture and *i*PrOH (8.0 mL) into the reactor under argon. After 6 h at 140 °C, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23°C and the residual H₂ was released carefully. The mixture was analyzed by GC with mesitylene as the internal standard to determine the MeOH and diol yield. The MeOH (47 %) and diol (59 %) were detected.

7. Catalyst recycling

In a Schlenk vessel, complex **3** (24 mg, 5 mol%) and KO*t*Bu (5.6 mg, 5 mol%) were dissolved in THF (1.0 mL) under argon. The mixture was stirred for 5 min at 23 °C. Subsequently, the cyclic carbonate **7a** (1.0 mmol) and *i*PrOH (4.0 mL) were added in one portion. The Schlenk vessel was placed in a pre-heated oil bath (140 °C) and the mixture was stirred for 6 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 23°C and subsequently cooled to 0°C in an ice bath for 1 h. The residual H₂ was released carefully and the mixture was analyzed by GC with mesitylene as the internal standard to determine the yield on **8a** and MeOH. All volatiles were removed from the reaction mixture in vaccuo at 100°C for 2 h. Subsequently, the catalyst was redesolved in THF (1.0 mL) under argon and fresh cyclic carbonate **7a** (1.0 mmol) and *i*PrOH (4.0 mL) were added in one portion. The reaction was repeated as described above. The results of the recycling experiments can be found below (Figure S1).



Figure S2. Results of 4 recycling experiments for catalyst 3 under standard reaction conditons.

8. Determination of the quantatie of substrate 7a and reaction products in the transfer hydrogenation reaction

8.1 Calibration curve data for different compounds

Entry	MeOH/ mmol	Relative Area ^a			
		A(MeOH)/ %	A(Mes)/ %	A(MeOH)/A(Mes)	
1	0.50	10.19	89.81	0.1135	
2	0.75	15.02	84.98	0.1767	
3	1.00	19.84	80.16	0.2475	
4	1.25	24.60	75.40	0.3262	
[a] Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard. Mes: Mesetylene.					



Figure S3. Calibration curve for MeOH.

Table S6.	Calibration	curve	data for	r 2-butv	ylene	carbonate.
-----------	-------------	-------	----------	----------	-------	------------

Entry	2-butylene carbonate	Relative Area ^a			
/ mmol		A(Carbonate)/ %	A(Mes)/ %	A(Carbonate)/ A(Mes)	
1	0.40	12.46	87.54	0.1423	
2	0.60	21.89	78.11	0.2802	
3	0.80	30.86	69.14	0.4463	
4	1.00	35.75	64.25	0.5564	

[a] Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard. Carbonate: 2-butylene carbonate, Mes: Mesetylene



Figure S4. Calibration curve for 2-butylene carbonate.

Table.S7 C	Calibration	curve	data for	1,2-butanediol.
------------	-------------	-------	----------	-----------------

Entry	1,2-butanediol	Relative Area ^a			
	/ mmol	A(Diol)/ %	A(Mes)/ %	A(diol)/ A(Mes)	
1	0.60	18.79	81.21	0.2313	
2	0.80	34.70	65.30	0.5313	
3	1.00	43.33	56.67	0.7646	
4	1.20	50.92	49.08	1.0374	
[a] Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard. Diol: 1,2-butanediol, Mes: Mesetylene					



Figure S5. Calibration curve for 1,2-butanediol.

Entry	Isopropyl formate /	Relative Area ^a			
	mmol	A(Iso)/ %	A(Mes)/ %	A(Iso)/ A(Mes)	
1	0.40	8.23	91.77	0.0897	
2	0.60	15.64	84.36	0.1854	
3	0.80	22.97	77.03	0.2982	
4	1.00	30.70	69.30	0.4430	

 Table S8. Calibration curve data for isopropyl formate.

[a] Determined by GC using mesitylene as the internal standard. Iso: Isopropyl formate, Mes: Mesitylene



Figure S6. Calibration curve for isopropyl formate.

Entry	Substrate	Actual amount/ mmol	GC/ mmol ^a	
1	MeOH	0.38	0.40	
2	MeOH	0.48	0.46	
3	MeOH	1.43	1.47	
4	2-butylene carbonate	0.51	0.48	
5	2-butylene carbonate	0.73	0.70	
6	2-butylene carbonate	0.81	0.77	
7	1,2-butanediol	0.42	0.44	
8	1,2-butanediol	0.57	0.58	
9	1,2-butanediol	0.63	0.65	
10	Isopropyl formate	0.38	0.34	
11	Isopropyl formate	0.66	0.61	
12	Isopropyl formate	1.03	0.99	

Table S9. Different concentrations of samples for verification.

8.2 GC charts for transfer hydrogenation of cyclic carbonate 7a.



15 min



integr	ation Results						
No.	Peak Name	Retention Time	Area	Height	Relative Area	Relative Height	Amount
		min	pA*min	pA	%	%	n.a.
1	The second	3,782	4,601	34,845	7,63	3,35	n.a.
2		13,580	55,739	1005,561	92,37	96,65	n.a.
Total:			60,340	1040,406	100,00	100,00	









180 min





9. NMR spectra of the substrates 7 and products 8

9.1 NMR spectra of the substrates 7

4-ethyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (7a) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃





4-Methyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (7c) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃

80 70 60 50 40 30

0 -10

20 10

270 260 250 240 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90



4-butyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (7d) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃





4-Phenyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (7f) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



4-Vinyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (7g) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



4-(3-butenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (7h) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



4, 5-dimethyl-1, 3-dioxolan-2-one (7i) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



S24

9.2 NMR spectra of the products 8

1, 2-butanediol (8a) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



1, 2-propanediol (8c) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



1, 2-Hexanediol (8d) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



270 260 250 240 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 Ó

1, 2-Octanediol (8e) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCI₃







1-phenyl-1, 2-ethanediol (8f) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃

3,4-butenediol (8g) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃





5-hexene-1, 2-diol (8h) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



2, 3-butanediol (8i) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃



2-Methyl-1, 2-propanediol (8j) ¹H-NMR spectrum in CDCl₃

