SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Super/subcritical Fluid Chromatography: Replacing Methanol as the Co-

solvent for Chiral Separations

Daipayan Roy, M. Farooq Wahab, Mohsen Talebi, and D.W. Armstrong*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX

Correspondence to Daniel W. Armstrong

Email: sec4dwa@uta.edu





Teicoplanin



Larihc-P (Cyclofructan based stationary phase)

Figure S1: Structures of chiral selectors

Figure S2



Figure S2: Effect of methanol (a), absolute ethanol (b), and "190 proof" ethanol (c) on the separation of hydrobenzoin with ChiralPak IA stationary phase respectively. Mobile Phase: 75/25 CO₂/modifier. All separations were performed using **Flow rate**: 4 mL/min, **Temperature**: Ambient, **Backpressure**: 8 MPa



Figure S3: Representative chromatograms: Analyte: Fenoprofen Conditions: Stationary phase: Whelk O1 Mobile Phase: (a) $80/20 \text{ CO}_2/\text{MeOH-0.1\% TEA-TFA}$ (v/v) and (b) $80/20 \text{ CO}_2/\text{Ethanol} -0.1\%$ TEA-TFA (v/v).

Figure S4



Figure S4: Representative chromatograms: Analyte: Tetramisole Conditions: Stationary phase: ChiralPak IC Mobile Phase: (a) 70/30 $CO_2/MeOH-0.1\%$ TEA-TFA (v/v) and (b) 70/30 $CO_2/EtOH-0.1\%$ TEA-TFA (v/v).