

**Table** *In situ* U-Pb age and Sm-Nd isotope obtained results of monazite, titanite and perovskite in this work compared with literature data

Minerals (Name)	$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ Age ( $\pm 2\sigma$ ) (Ma)	Analysis number	$^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$	$\pm 2\text{SD}$ (*10 <sup>4</sup> )	$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$	$\pm 2\text{SD}$ (*10 <sup>6</sup> )	$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}t}$	$\pm 2\text{SD}$	Analysis number	Methods	References
Monazite (Jefferson)	381.1 ± 2.3	n=24	0.5074	23	0.513064	48	-6.82	0.95	n=24	Laser	This work
	365.2 ± 2.6	n=32	0.5011	378	0.513057	93	-6.03	2.53	n=39	Laser	<a href="#">Liu et al., 2012</a>
	362.3 ± 4.4	n=12								Laser	<a href="#">Alagna et al., 2008</a>
	363.9 ± 0.5									CA-ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Peterman et al., 2012</a>
	~ 382.1 ± 0.4										
Monazite (Diamantina)	494.3 ± 1.0	n=26	0.1264	40	0.511430	31	-19.15	0.66	n=26	Laser	This work
	495 ± 3	n=19	0.1177	13	0.511427	23	-18.65	0.46	n=60	Laser	<a href="#">Goncalves., 2018</a>
	494 ± 2	n=36								Laser/QMS	<a href="#">Goncalves., 2018</a>
	494 ± 2	n=21								Laser/SF-MS	<a href="#">Goncalves., 2018</a>
	495.26 ± 0.54	n=8								ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Goncalves., 2018</a>
Monazite (Namaqualand)	1048.0 ± 2.1	n=26	0.0996	5	0.511899	31	-1.39	0.61	n=26	Laser	This work
	1046.5 ± 7.1	n=33	0.0977	2	0.511896	39	-1.21	0.77	n=39	Laser	<a href="#">Liu et al., 2012</a>
Monazite (RW-1)	908.9 ± 1.9	n=23	0.1807	86	0.512421	39	-2.38	1.26	n=23	Laser	This work
	909.00 ± 0.60	n=6								ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Ling et al., 2017</a>
	906.6 ± 1.5	n=206								SIMS	<a href="#">Ling et al., 2017</a>
Titanite (OLT-1)	1014.9 ± 4.5	n=20	0.1249	7	0.512207	27	0.92	0.54	n=20	Laser	This work
	1011 ± 6	n=21	0.1237	20	0.512214	41	1.18	0.84	n=184	Laser	<a href="#">Ma et al., 2019</a>
	1014.5 ± 2.4	n=6								ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Kennedy et al., 2010</a>
	1015 ± 5	n=24								Laser	<a href="#">Sun et al., 2012</a>
Titanite (Ontario)	1044.2 ± 4.6	n=20	0.1940	9	0.512813	31	3.78	0.62	n=20	Laser	This work
	1047 ± 6	n=21	0.1916	32	0.512815	41	4.15	0.91	n=145	Laser	<a href="#">Ma et al., 2019</a>
	1053.3 ± 3.1	n=6								ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Spencer et al., 2013</a>
	1056 ± 5	n=28								Laser	<a href="#">Sun et al., 2012</a>

Perovskite	$380.6 \pm 1.9$	n=23	0.0782	47	0.512590	42	4.83	0.85	n=23	Laser	This work
(AFK)	$378.6 \pm 4.1$	n=4	0.0659	22	0.512609	27	5.77	0.54	n=6	ID-TIMS	<a href="#">Wu et al., 2013</a>
	$383.5 \pm 3.5$	n=38								SIMS	<a href="#">Wu et al., 2013</a>
Perovskite	$378.6 \pm 2.0$	n=18	0.0676	80	0.512601	31	5.53	0.72	n=18	Laser	This work
(10AFK-2)	$379.3 \pm 4.9$	n=20	0.0740		0.512612	10	5.44	0.20		Laser	<a href="#">Wu et al., 2013</a>

The U-Pb age of titanite and perovskite reported here means after  $^{207}\text{Pb}$  correction.