Electronic Supplementary Information to:

Affinity of fentanyl and its derivatives for σ_1 -receptor.

by

Piotr F.J. Lipiński,* Edina Szűcs, Małgorzata Jarończyk, Piotr Kosson, Sandor Benyhe, Aleksandra Misicka, Jan Cz. Dobrowolski, Joanna Sadlej.

Corresponding author: Piotr F. J. Lipiński: plipinski@imdik.pan.pl.

Table of contents

ESI-CHEM: chemicals

Chart ESI-CHEM-1. Structures of all studied compounds.

ESI-BIND: binding affinity determinations

Figure ESI-BIND-1. σ 1R binding affinity of fentanyl analogues represented graphically. **Table ESI-BIND-1**. Displacement of σ 1R by SKF10047, fentanyl and fentanyl analogues in membranes of guinea pig brain.

ESI-SIM: simulations

Figure ESI-SIM-1. Root mean square deviation of protein backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-2. Root mean square deviation of α2 helix backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-3. Root mean square deviation of α 3 helix backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-4. Root mean square deviation of α4 helix backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-5. Root mean square deviation of α5 helix backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-6. Root mean square deviation of β 1 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-7. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 2$ strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-8. Root mean square deviation of β 3 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-9. Root mean square deviation of β 4 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-10. Root mean square deviation of β 5 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-11. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 6$ strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-12. Root mean square deviation of β 7 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-13. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 8$ strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-14. Root mean square deviation of β 9 strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-15. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 10$ strand backbone over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-16. Root mean square deviation of ligands' atoms over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-17. Root mean square deviation of ligands' piperidine atoms over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-18. Root mean square deviation of ligands' N-substituent atoms over time.

Figure ESI-SIM-19. Time evolution of the distance between C δ of E172 and protonable amine of ligands' piperidine.

Figure ESI-SIM-20. Superimposition of p-fluorofentanyl and 4-IBP.

Figure ESI-SIM-21. Superimposition of p-fluorofentanyl and benzylfentanyl.

Figure ESI-SIM-22. Superimposition of fentanyl and benzylfentanyl.

Figure ESI-SIM-23. Superimposition of fentanyl from simulation I and simulation III.

Figure ESI-SIM-24. Superimposition of fentanyl from simulation I and simulation III in another projection.

Figure ESI-SIM-25. Interactions of fentanyl (1) with $\sigma_1 R$ – the 'classical' binding mode.

Figure ESI-SIM-26. Interactions of fentanyl (1) with $\sigma_1 R$ – another binding mode.

Figure ESI-SIM-27. Interactions of *p*-fluorofentanyl (7) with $\sigma_1 R$.

Chart ESI-CHEM-1. Structures of all studied compounds.									
N H		N N N H	HONNH	N N H O O H					
1 fentanyl	2 benzylfentanyl	3 thenylfentanyl	4 β-hydroxyfentanyl	5 ω-hydroxyfentanyl					
N N O H O H	F N H	S N H O							
6 ω-1-hvdroxyfentapyl	7 <i>p</i> -fluorofentanyl	8 3-methylthiofentanyl	9 10 sufentanil norcarfentanil						
~ i njutokjionunji	<i>P</i> intoioiointuirji	5 meni jimorentun yi	Juivinuiiii	norearrentaini					



ESI-BIND. Binding affinity determinations.

Figure ESI-BIND-1. σ 1R binding affinity of fentanyl analogues represented graphically.

Figure 1 represents the binding affinity of fentanyl analogues to SKF10047 in $[^{3}H]$ -(+)-pentazocine competition binding assay in guinea pig brain membrane homogenate. Figure 1 A shows binding affinity of all fentanyl analogues in one concentration (10⁻⁵ M). Values represents mean values \pm S.E.M. for at least three experiments performed in duplicate.

Α



Figure ESI-BIND-1. (*continuation*) σ 1R binding affinity of fentanyl analogues.

Figure 1 B shows fentanyl and its five analogues in increasing concentration $(10^{-10}-10^{-5} M)$. Values represents mean values \pm S.E.M. for at least three experiments performed in duplicate.



Table ESI-BIND-1. Displacement of σ 1R by SKF10047, fentanyl and fentanyl analogues in membranes of guinea pig brain. *Inhibition of specific radioligand binding (SB) at 10µM is calculated: inhibition = 100 % - SB.*

No	Name	Inhibition of specific radioligand binding at 10μM (%) <u>+</u> S.E.M.	Log IC ₅₀ <u>+</u> S.E.M.	IC ₅₀ <u>+</u> S.E.M. [nM]	K _{i ±} S.E.M. [nM]
1	fentanyl	71.40 <u>+</u> 2.40	-5.30 <u>+</u> 0.13	4973.48 <u>+</u> 1.33	3718.06 <u>+</u> 0.96
2	benzylfentanyl	96.95 <u>+</u> 1.35	-6.49 <u>+</u> 0.04	322.12 <u>+</u> 1.09	240.81 <u>+</u> 0.78
3	thenylfentanyl HCl	87.25 <u>+</u> 0.55	-5.93 <u>+</u> 0.05	1185.49 <u>+</u> 1.13	886.24 <u>+</u> 0.81
4	β-hydroxyfentanyl HCl	30.25 <u>+</u> 9.25	< -5.00	>10 000	>10 000
5	ω-hydroxyfentanyl	34.65 <u>+</u> 4.45	< -5.00	>10 000	>10 000
6	ω-1-hydroxyfentanyl	49.85 <u>+</u> 5.75	< -5.00	>10 000	>10 000
7	p-fluorofentanyl	87.45 <u>+</u> 2.85	-6.31 <u>+</u> 0.06	495.33 <u>+</u> 1.15	370.30 <u>+</u> 0.83
8	3-methylthiofentanyl	98.00 <u>+</u> 0.50	-6.33 <u>+</u> 0.07	465.00 <u>+</u> 1.18	347.62 <u>+</u> 0.85
9	sufentanil citrate	87.80 <u>+</u> 0.30	-5.68 <u>+</u> 0.08	2077.22 <u>+</u> 1.19	1552.88 <u>+</u> 0.86
10	norcarfentanyl	14.90 <u>+</u> 7.90	< -5.00	>10 000	>10 000
11	remifentanil	30.45 <u>+</u> 3.55	< -5.00	>10 000	>10 000
12	alfentanil HCl	35.70 ± 0.40	<-5.00	>10 000	>10 000
13	SKF10047	-	-7.16 + 0.07	69.38 <u>+</u> 1.19	51.87 ± 0.85

ESI-SIM. Simulations.

Figure ESI-SIM-1. Root mean square deviation of protein backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-2. Root mean square deviation of α 2 helix backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-3. Root mean square deviation of α 3 helix backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-4. Root mean square deviation of α 4 helix backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-5. Root mean square deviation of α 5 helix backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-6. Root mean square deviation of β 1 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-7. Root mean square deviation of β 2 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-8. Root mean square deviation of β 3 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-9. Root mean square deviation of β 4 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-10. Root mean square deviation of β 5 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-11. Root mean square deviation of β 6 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-12. Root mean square deviation of β 7 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-13. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 8$ strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-14. Root mean square deviation of β 9 strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-15. Root mean square deviation of $\beta 10$ strand backbone over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-16. Root mean square deviation of ligands' atoms over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-17. Root mean square deviation of ligands' piperidine atoms over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-18. Root mean square deviation of ligands' N-substituent atoms over time.



Figure ESI-SIM-19. Time evolution of the distance between C δ of E172 and protonable amine of ligands' piperidine. *Y-values are given in angstroms.*



Figure ESI-SIM-20. Superimposition of p-fluorofentanyl (compound 7, colour: hotpink) and 4-IBP (colour: aquamarine). Binding mode of compound 7 is taken from simulation I, t =300.0 ns. Binding mode of 4-IBP is taken from chain A of crystallographic structure 5HK2, (H. R. Schmidt, S. Zheng, E. Gurpinar, A. Koehl, A. Manglik and A. C. Kruse, Nature, 2016, 532, 527–530). Only several residues of the binding site are shown for clarity. The receptor structures are given as ribbons representing secondary structure. Heteroatoms are coloured according to convention: red – oxygen, dark blue – nitrogen, light blue – fluorine, dark pink – iodine.



Figure ESI-SIM-21. Superimposition of p-fluorofentanyl (compound 7, colour: hotpink) and benzylfentanyl (compound 2, colour: purpleblue). *Binding mode of compound* 7 *is taken from simulation I,* t = 300.0 *ns. Binding mode of compound* 2 *is taken from simulation II,* t = 300.0 *ns. Only several residues of the binding site are shown for clarity. The receptor structures are given as ribbons representing secondary structure. Heteroatoms are coloured according to convention: red – oxygen, dark blue – nitrogen, light blue – fluorine, dark pink – iodine.*



Figure ESI-SIM-22. Superimposition of fentanyl (compound 1, colour: yellow) and benzylfentanyl (compound 2, colour: purpleblue). Binding mode of compound 1 is taken from simulation I, t = 300.0 ns. Binding mode of compound 2 is taken from simulation II, t = 300.0 ns. Only several residues of the binding site are shown for clarity. The receptor structures are given as ribbons representing secondary structure. Heteroatoms are coloured according to convention: red – oxygen, dark blue – nitrogen, light blue – fluorine, dark pink – iodine.



Figure ESI-SIM-23. Superimposition of fentanyl (compound 1) from simulation I (t = 300.0 ns, colour: yellow) and simulation III (t = 300.0 ns, colour: firebrick). This represents two binding modes found for fentanyl. In the simulation I the compound keeps a 'classical' positioning, while in simulations III, IV and V, it migrates towards the pose presented here, at the very beginning of the simulation. *Cf* **Figure ESI-SIM-24** for another projection. *Only several residues of the binding site are shown for clarity. The receptor structures are given as ribbons representing secondary structure. Heteroatoms are coloured according to convention: red – oxygen, dark blue – nitrogen, light blue – fluorine, dark pink – iodine.*



Figure ESI-SIM-24. Superimposition of fentanyl (compound 1) from simulation I (t = 300.0 ns, colour: yellow) and simulation III (t = 300.0 ns, colour: firebrick) in another projection. This represents two binding modes found for fentanyl. In the simulation I the compound keeps a 'classical' positioning, while in simulations III, IV and V, it migrates towards the pose presented here, at the very beginning of the simulation. *The receptor structures are given as ribbons representing secondary structure. Heteroatoms are coloured according to convention: red – oxygen, dark blue – nitrogen, light blue – fluorine, dark pink – iodine.*



Figure ESI-SIM-25. Interactions of fentanyl (1) with $\sigma_1 R$ – the 'classical' binding mode.



Figure ESI-SIM-26. Interactions of fentanyl (1) with $\sigma_1 R$ – another binding mode.



