Electronic Supplementary Information

A new disulfide Schiff base as versatile "OFF-ON-OFF" fluorescent-

colorimetric chemosensor for sequential detection of CN- and Fe3+ ions:

Combined experimental and theoretical studies

Fariba Nouri Moghadam, a Mehdi Amirnasr, * a Kiamars Eskandari, a Soraia Meghdadi a

^a Department of Chemistry, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 8415683111, Iran

*Corresponding author Tel.: +98-31-3391-3264; Fax.: +98-31-3391-2350. *E-mail address*: amirnasr@cc.iut.ac.ir (M. Amirnasr)



Fig. S1. Unit cell structure of L. The molecule crystallizes in a centro-symmetric triclinic space group, $P_{\overline{1}}$ with Z = 2.



Fig. S2. The comparison of the experimental (red) and calculated (blue) absorption spectra of L in DMSO solution.



Fig. S3. Changes in the UV-vis spectrum of L (30 μ M) upon gradual increase in the concentration of CN⁻ (0-160 μ M) in a DMSO/H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution.



Fig. S4. Changes in the fluorescence spectrum of L (30 μ M) upon gradual increase in the concentration of CN⁻ (0–350 μ M) in a DMSO/H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution.



Fig. S5. Job's plot of the complexation between the L and CN^- ($\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm). The total concentration of L and CN^- was 100 μ M in DMSO solution.



Fig. S6. Changes in the fluorescence spectrum of L-CN⁻ system upon addition of different metal ions in DMSO solution.



Fig. S7. Stern–Volmer plot of fluorescence quenching of the L- CN^{-} by Fe³⁺ in DMSO solution.



Fig. S8. The fluorescence spectrum linear range of L-CN⁻ at 400 nm upon addition of CN⁻ in DMSO solution.

Linear Equation: y = 6.5215x + 79.117 R = 0.986

m = 6.5215
$$S_b = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(F - \overline{F})2}{(N - 1)}} = 0.$$

LOD = 3S_b/m
= 2.5 × 10⁻⁷ M



Fig. S9. The fluorescence spectrum linear range of L-CN-Fe at 400 nm upon addition of Fe^{3+} in DMSO solution.



Fig. S10. Changes in the fluorescence intensity of L-CN⁻ in the presence of other interfering anions (150 μ M) in DMSO solution.



Fig. S11. Changes in the fluorescence intensity of L-CN⁻ in the presence of other interfering anions (350 μ M) in DMSO/H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution.



Fig. S12. Competitive selectivity of L (5×10⁻⁵ M) toward CN⁻ (7 equiv.) in the presence of anions (7 equiv.) with $\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm, slits = 5 nm in DMSO/H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution.



Fig. S13. Changes in the UV-vis spectrum of L-CN⁻ ([L] = 3×10^{-5} M) system upon gradual increase in the concentration of Fe³⁺ (0-300 μ M) in DMSO solution.



Fig. S14. ESI-MS spectrum for L_1 -Fe³⁺ and L_2 -Fe³⁺ in DMSO solution diluted by CH₃CN in positive ionization mode.



Fig. S15. The optimized structure of a) L, b) L_1 , c) L_2 and d) $[L_2-Fe^{3+}]$ complex in DMSO solution with atom labelling scheme.



Fig. S16. Changes in the fluorescence intensity of chemosensor L (50 μ M) upon gradual addition CN⁻ (0–300 μ M) in aqueous solution ($\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm, slits = 5). Inset shows the calibration curve using the fluorescence intensity at 400 nm.



Fig. S17. Changes in the fluorescence intensity of chemosensor L (50 μ M) upon addition of zinc electroplating wastewater sample, 0-60 μ L (λ_{ex} = 340 nm, slits = 5).



Fig. S18. FT-IR spectrum of the L as KBr pellet.



Fig. S19. ¹H NMR spectrum of the chemosensor L in DMSO-d₆ at room temperature.



Fig. S20. ESI-MS spectrum of L in DMSO solution diluted by CH_3CN in positive ionization mode.

Bond lengths				
S(1)-S(2)	2.0317(11)	N(1)-C(11)	1.276(3)	1.276(3)
S(1)-C(17)	1.778(2)	N(1)-C(12)		1.421(3)
S(2)-C(18)	1.783(2)	N(2)-C(24)		1.252(3)
N(2)-C(23)	1.418(3)			
Bond angles				
C(17)-S(1)-S(2)	105.84(8)	C(28)-C(29)-C(34)		119.4(3)
C(18)-S(2)-S(1)	105.37(8)	C(30)-C(29)-C(34)		119.6(3)
C(11)-N(1)-C(12)	120.2(2)	C(9)-C(10)-C(1)	119.3(2)	119.3(2)
C(24)-N(2)-C(23)	121.1(2)	C(9)-C(10)-C(11)		116.0(2)
C(8)-C(7)-C(6)	121.1(3)	C(1)-C(10)-C(11)		124.8(2)
C(8)-C(7)-H(7)	119.5	N(1)-C(11)-C(10)		126.4(2)
C(6)-C(7)-H(7)	119.5	N(1)-C(11)-H(11)		116.8
C(28)-C(29)-C(30)	121.0(3)	С(10)-С(11)-Н(11)		116.8
C(17)-S(1)-S(2)-C(18)	92.62(12)			

Table S1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for chemosensor L.

Structure L		9-9 ⁰ 9-9 ³ 9 9-9
	NBO	Percent%
	BD*(2) N 6- C 8*	21.2
	BD*(2) C23- C28*	18
LUMO+1	BD*(2) C24- C25*	13.5
	BD*(2) C31- C32*	6.6
	BD*(2) C29- C30*	5.5
	BD*(2) N 5- C 7*	22
	BD*(2) C35- C36*	16.5
	BD*(2) C33- C34*	11.2
LUMO	BD*(2) S61- S62*	8.3
	BD*(2) C 1- C 2*	6.2
	BD*(2) C 9- C12*	5
НОМО-2	LP (2) S61(lp)	29.5
	LP (2) S62(lp)	24
LP: Laon pair ,	BD: Bonding , 1	BD*: Antibonding

 Table S2. The CMO analysis data of L

Table S3. The CMO analysis data of L_1

Structure L ₁		
	NBO	Percent%
LUMO	BD*(2) C11- C13*	24
	BD*(2) C 1- C 2*	22.2
	BD*(2) C 5- C 6*	20.7
	BD*(2) C10- C14*	20.2
НОМО-1	BD (2) C 1- C 2	25
	BD (2) C 5- C 6	24.6
	BD (2) C11- C13	22.3
	BD (2) C10- C14	21.2

Structure L ₂		
	NBO	Percent%
	BD*(2) C12- C13*	25
LUMO+1	BD*(2) C14- C15*	20.8
	BD*(2) N 4- C 5*	12.4
	BD*(2) C16- C17*	6.9
	BD*(2) C 8- C 9*	6.8
	BD*(2) N 4- C 5*	28
LUMO	BD*(2) C18- C19*	20.5
	BD*(2) C16- C17*	13.4
	BD*(2) C12- C13*	6
	BD*(2) C 8- C 9*	6
	BD*(2) C14- C15*	5.4
	LP (3) S3 (lp)	60.9
НОМО	BD (2) C 1- C 2	10.8
	BD (2) C 6- C 7	6.3
	BD*(2) C 8- C 9*	5.3

Table S4. The CMO analysis data of L_2

Table S5. The CMO analysis data of L_2 -Fe³⁺

Structure L_2 -Fe ³⁺		
	NBO	Percent%
	BD*(1) S73-Fe94*	29.6
LUMO+3	BD*(1) S11-Fe94*	9.6
	BD*(2) C15- C17*	6.5
	BD*(1) S42-Fe94*	5.5
	BD*(2) N74- C75*	24.8
LUMO	BD*(2) C77- C79*	11
	BD*(1) S73-Fe94*	8
	BD*(2) C82- C84*	7.4
	BD*(2) N12- C13*	6.3
НОМО	LP (2) S42(lp)	32.4
	LP (2) S11(lp)	22
	BD (2) C32- C33	6
	LP (2) S73(lp)	5

X-ray crystal structure determination

Yellow crystals of the chemosensor L suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethylacetate solution of L at room temperature. X-ray data for single crystal of L was collected on a STOE IPDS-II diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data were collected at 298(2) K in a series of ω scans in 1° oscillations and integrated using the Stöe X-AREA [1] software package. The data were corrected for Lorentz and Polarizing effects. The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR2004 [2]. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by the full-matrix leastsquares method on F^2 using the SHELXL program [3]. All the hydrogen (H) atoms were introduced in calculated positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms. Crystallographic data for L are listed in Table S6. CCDC 1884337 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

- [1] X-AREA, version 1.30, program for the acquisition and analysis of data, Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany, 2005.
- [2] M.C. Burla, R. Caliandro, M. Camalli, B. Carrozzini, G.L. Cascarano, L. De Caro, C. Giacovazzo, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2005, 38, 381.
- [3] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 2008, 64,112.

Chemosensor L	
Chemical formula	$C_{34} H_{24} N_2 S_2$
M _r	524.67
T(K)	298(2)
λ (Å)	0.71073
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, Pī
<i>a</i> (Å)	7.8343(16)
b (Å)	12.119(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	14.315(3)
α (°)	85.66(3)
β(°)	78.01(3)
γ (°)	79.57(3)
$V(Å^3)$	1306.5(5)
Z, Calculated density	2, 1.334 Mg/m ³
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	0.231
F(000)	548
Radiation type	Μο Κα
Crystal size (mm)	$0.30 \times 0.27 \times 0.09$
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS-II
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	10372, 4500, 2714
R _{int}	0.062
$(\sin \theta / \lambda) \max (\text{\AA} - 1)$	0.595
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
$R[F2 > 2\sigma(F2)], wR(F2), S$	0.040, 0.092, 0.81
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.812
Final R indices [I>2 σ (I)]	R1 = 0.0397, wR2 = 0.0865
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0713, wR2 = 0.0923
No. of reflections	4500
No. of parameters	343
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.161, -0.193

Table S6. Crystal data and structure refinement for chemosensor L