Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2019

Electronic Supporting Information

One-pot Synthesis of Benzotripyrrole Derivatives from 1H-pyrroles

Pandeeti Obaiah, B. Sathish Kumar and Pradeepta K. Panda*

Table of Contents

1.	Instrumentation and reagents	S2
2.	Synthesis	S3-S10
3.	¹ H, ¹³ C NMR and HRMS spectra	S11-S34
4.	Reaction monitoring of BTP 3a	S35
5.	X-ray crystal structures and refinement data	S36-S38
6.	Absorption Spectrum and Electrochemical study	S39
7.	Computational study	S40-S48
8.	TGA-DTA profiles	S49
9.	References	S50

1. Instrumentation and reagents:

Melting points were determined by MR-Vis⁺ visual melting point range apparatus from LABINDIA instruments private limited. IR spectra were recorded on NICOLET iS5. LCMS analysis were carried out by Shimadzu-LCMS-2010 mass spectrometer and HRMS data were obtained with Bruker Maxis spectrometer and XEVO-G2XSQTOF#YEA1155. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 400 and 500 MHz FT-NMR spectrometers operating at ambient temperature. TMS was used as internal standard for ¹H NMR spectra. UV-Visible spectrum was recorded on Perkin Elmer Lambda-35 spectrometer. Spectroscopic grade solvent was used for absorbance measurement. Cyclic voltammetric (CV) and differential pulse voltammetric (DPV) measurements were done using CH Instruments Electrochemical analyzer and electrodes were purchased from CH Instruments Inc. All measurements were performed in dichloromethane under flow of nitrogen and 0.1M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) used as a supporting electrolyte. Glassy carbon as working electrode, platinum wire as counter electrode and Ag/AgCl in (1M) KCl as reference electrode were used. The redox potentials were referenced vs. sat. calomel electrode, SCE (0.48V for Fc⁺/Fc couple vs SCE). All cyclic voltammetric data were recorded at 100 mV/s scan rate.

Crystallographic data for **3a** and **8** were collected on BRUKER APEX-II CCD microfocus diffractometer, Mo-K_{α} ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation was used to collect X-ray reflections from their single crystals. Data reduction was performed using Bruker SAINT software.^{S1} Intensities for absorption were corrected using and SADABS 2014/5,^{S2} refined using SHELXL-2014/7^{S3} with anisotropic displacement parameters for non-H atoms. Hydrogen atoms on O and N were experimentally located in difference electron density maps. All C–H atoms were fixed geometrically using HFIX command in SHELX-TL. A check of the final CIF file using PLATON^{S4} did not show any missed symmetry. DTA-TG analysis was done on Perkin Elmer STA-6000.

Crystallographic data (including the structure factor) for structures **3a** and **8** in this paper have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 1935235-1935236. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44(0)-1223-336033 or e-mail: <u>deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk</u>).

Commercially available solvents were distilled before use. Reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Merck and Spectrochem and used as received without further purification unless otherwise stated. Solvents for the reactions were dried according to literature methods.

2. Synthesis:

2.1) Synthesis of Triethyl 2,5,8-trimethyl-4,7-dihyro-1H-dipyrrolo[2,3-e:2',3'-g]indole-3,6,9-tricarboxylate (3a):

Protocol 1:



To ethyl 2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (**1a**)^{S5a,S5d} (100 mg, 0.65 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), NBS (128 mg, 0.72 mmol) solution in DCM (10 mL) was added under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature and was refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched by addition of aq. NaHCO₃ solution. Then the organic layer was separated and the aq. layer was extracted with DCM (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhyd. Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Finally the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc: hexane (5:95) as an eluent to obtain the desired product **3a** as white color solid after drying (12 mg, 12 %).

Melting point: 260-262 °C; IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3320, 2956, 1659, 1431; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 11.36 (s, 3H), 4.42 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 2.77 (s, 9H), 1.46 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 168.1, 137.8, 124.5, 109.1, 103.4, 15.3, 14.6; HRMS- (ESI+) m/z: calculated for C₂₄H₂₈N₃O₆ [M+H]⁺: 454.1978; found: 454.1978.

Protocol 2:



To ethyl 4,5-diiodo-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (**11**) ^{S5b} (100 mg, 0.25 mmol) in toulene (15 mL), Cu (157 mg, 2.47 mmol) was added under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature and was refluxed for 24 h. After complete consumption of starting material, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the residue was washed with DCM (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were concentrated in rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Finally the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography by using EtOAc: hexane (5:95) as an eluent, which resulted the product as white solid (2 mg, 2 %).

Protocol 3:



To ethyl 4,5-dibromo-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (12) S5c (100 mg, 0.25 mmol) in DMF (1 mL), Cu (255 mg, 4.02 mmol) was added under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature and was heated for 30 min at 300 °C . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and TLC confirmed the complete consumption of starting material, but there was no desired BTP **3a**.

2.2) Attempted synthesis of Triethyl 3,6,9-tributyl-4,7-dihydro-1H-dipyrrolo[2,3-e:2',3'-g]indole-2,5,8-tricarboxylate (10):



To ethyl 3-butyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylate (9)^{S6} (100 mg, 0.51 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), NBS (128 mg, 0.72 mmol) solution in DCM (10 mL) was added under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature and was refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched by addition of aq.NaHCO₃. TLC showed complete consumption of the starting material but there was no formation of desired BTP (10).

2.3) Synthesis of Tribenzyl 2,5,8-trimethyl-4,7-dihydrodipyrrolo[2,3-e:2',3'-g]indole-3,6,9-tricarboxylate (3b):

Protocol 1:



The same synthetic procedure for **3a** was followed; 5 mg product (**3b**) was obtained from 100 mg starting material (**1b**) $^{[S5a]}$ with 5% yield (white solid).

Melting point: 201-203 °C; IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3345, 2929, 1671, 1424; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm):11.25 (s, 3H), 7.49 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 7.42(t, J = 7 Hz, 3H), 7.37 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H) 5.43 (s, 6H), 2.67 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm):167.60, 138.7, 136.6, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 103.2, 66.2, 15.4; HRMS (ESI+) : m/z : calculated for C₃₉H₃₄N₃O₆ [M+H]⁺: 640.2447; found: 640.2430.

Protocol 2:



To sodium metal (25 mg) in benzyl alcohol (2 mL), BTP **3a** (100 mg, 0.22 mmol) in benzyl alcohol (3 mL) was added under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature and was stirred at 100 °C for 2 h under -10 mmHg pressure. Subsequently benzyl alcohol was removed under reduced pressure and residue was dissolved in DCM. The DCM layer was washed with water, passed through anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Crude product was purified by silicagel column chromatography by using EtOAc: hexane (3:7) as an eluent, which resulted the desired product as white solid (85 mg, 60 %).

2.4) Synthesis of Tri-tert-butyl 2,5,8-trimethyl-4,7-dihydro-1H-dipyrrolo[2,3-e:2', 3;-g]indole-3,6,9-tricarboxylate (3c):



The same synthetic procedure for **3a** was followed; 2 mg product (**3c**) was obtained from 100 mg of starting material $1c^{55a}$ with 2% yield (white solid).

IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3312, 2921, 1666, 1462; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm):11.39 (s, 3H), 2.75 (s, 9H), 1.67 (s, 27H); HRMS (ESI+) : m/z : calculated for C₃₀H₄₀N₃O₆ [M+H]⁺: 538.2917; found: 538.2918.

2.5) Synthesis of Ethyl 5-bromo-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5a):^{S7}

 $(^{87}$ = The product **5a** was reported recently by different procedure).



To ethyl 2-methyl-1Hpyrrole-3-carboxylate **1a** (100 mg, 0.65 mmol) in THF (50 mL), NBS (116 mg, 0.65 mmol) was added under N₂ atmosphere at 0 °C and stirred for 1 h. After complete consumption of starting material, the reaction was quenched by addition of aq.NaHCO₃ solution. Then the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 10 mL). Then organic layers were combined, dried over anhyd. Na₂SO₄, and concentrated using the rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Finally, the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography by using EtOAc: hexane (15:85) as an eluent, which resulted the desired product as white solid (110 mg, 73 %).

IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3256, 2980, 1673, 1445; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN δ in ppm): 9.68 (s, 1H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 4.18 (q, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃CN, δ in ppm): 164.0, 137.7, 114.1, 112.1, 96.9, 60.2, 14.7, 12.8; HRMS (ESI+) : m/z : calculated for C₈H₁₁BrNO₂ [M+H]⁺: 231.9973; found: 231.9984.

2.6) Synthesis of Benzyl 5-bromo-2-methyl-1Hpyrrole-3-carboxylate (5b):



The same synthetic procedure for **5a** was followed; 95 mg product (**5b**) was obtained from 100 mg starting material (**1b**) with 70% yield (white solid).

IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3269, 3030, 1667, 1431; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 8.34 (s, 1H), 7.4 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.4 (t, *J* = 7 Hz, 3H), 7.3 (d, *J* = 7 Hz, 2H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 2.5 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 164.4, 136.8, 136.7, 128.6, 128.1, 128.0, 113.4, 112.3, 96.5, 65.6, 13.2; HRMS (ESI+): m/z: calculated for C₁₃H₁₃BrNO₂ [M+H]⁺: 294.0129; found: 294.0129.

2.7) Synthesis of tert-butyl 5-bromo-2-methyl-1Hpyrrole-3-carboxylate (5c):



The same synthetic procedure for **5a** was followed; 90 mg product (**5c**) was obtained from 100 mg starting material (**1c**) with 70% yield (white solid).

IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3176, 2969, 1649, 1453; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 8.35 (s, 1H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 164.2, 135.8, 115.3, 112.3, 86.0, 79.9, 28.6, 13.4; HRMS (ESI+): m/z: calculated for C₁₀H₁₄BrNNaO₂ [M+Na]⁺: 282.0105; found: 282.0100.

2.8) Attempted synthesis of bipyrrole 2:



To ethyl 2-methyl-1Hpyrrole- 3-carboxylate **1a** (100 mg, 0.65 mmol) in DCM (20 mL), PIFA (200 mg, 1.30 mmol) and TMSBr (0.11 mL, 0.87 mmol) were added under N₂ atmosphere at low temperature i.e. -40 °C and was stirred overnight. After complete consumption of starting material, the reaction was stopped by addition of aq.NaHCO₃ solution. Then the organic layer was separated and the remaining crude compound was extracted with DCM (2 x 10 mL). Then the organic layers

were combined, dried over anhyd. Na₂SO₄, and concentrated using rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Finally the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc: hexane (1:9) as an eluent, which resulted the 1st fraction as BTP **3a** 5 mg (5 %), along with the 2nd fraction as compound **4**, a white solid 60 mg (15 %).

Compound **4**: Melting point 266-267 °C; IR (KBr), (cm⁻¹): 3213, 2979, 1652, 1441; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 11.51 (s, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 2.15Hz, 1H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 4.33 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.6 (s, 3H), 2.5 (s, 3H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.35 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 167.6, 166.2, 136.4, 133.9, 125, 118.8, 113.7, 111.9, 105.8, 60.5, 59.3, 15.5, 14.7, 14.6, 13.7; HRMS (ESI+) : m/z : calculated for C₁₆H₂₁N₂O₄ [M+H]⁺ : 305.1501; found 305.1502.

2.9) Synthesis of 5-bromo-4-iodo-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (8):



To ethyl 2-methyl-1Hpyrrole-3-carboxylate **1a** (100 mg, 0.65 mmol), NBS (116 mg, 0.65 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added at 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere and then stirred for 1 h. Subsequently, to the same reaction mixture NIS (146 mg, 0.65 mmol) was added, and stirred for additional 2 h. Then the reaction was quenched with aq. NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was separated and the aq. layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 10 mL). Then combined organic layer was dried over anhyd. Na₂SO₄, and concentrated using rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Finally the crude product was purified on silica gel column using EtOAc : Hexane (1:9) as an eluent, which resulted the product as white solid (150 mg, 60 %).

IR (cm⁻¹): 3213, 2984, 1671, 1436; ¹H NMR data (500 MHz , CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 8.54 (s, 1H), 4.3 (q, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 2.5 (s, 3H), 1.37 (t, *J* = 9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR Data (500 MHz , CDCl₃, δ in ppm): 163.3, 137.8, 114.9, 105.8, 68.5, 60.2, 14.6, 14.6, 14.4; HRMS (ESI+) m/z: calculated for C₈H₁₀BrINO₂[M+H]⁺: 357.8939; found: 357.8938.

Scheme S1:



Scheme S2:



Scheme S3:



Scheme S4:



entry	substrate	Reagent (equiv)	solvent	Time (h)	Temp	compound	Yield (%)
1	1 a	NBS (1.1)	DCM	24	reflux	3 a	12
2	1 a	NBS (1.1)	CHCl ₃	24	reflux	3 a	10
3	1 a	NBS (1.1)	DCE	24	reflux	3 a	10
4	1 a	NBS (1.1)	CCl ₄	24	reflux	3 a	2
5	1b	NBS (1.1)	DCM	24	reflux	3 b	5
6	1c	NBS (1.1)	DCM	24	reflux	3c	2
7	5a	-	DCM	24	reflux	3 a	10
8	5b	-	DCM	24	reflux	3 b	5
9	5c	-	DCM	24	reflux	3c	trace

 Table S1: Optimization of reaction conditions for BTPs formation.

3. ¹H, ¹³C NMR and HRMS spectra:



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a in CDCl₃.



Fig. S2 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3a in CDCl₃.

Analysis Info

Analysis Info		Acquisition Date	2/6/2013 1	1:54:19 AM
Analysis Name	D:\Data\2013\Dr.PK.PANDA\FEB\POH-71-35-1R.d			
Method	tune_low_Pos-R2.m	Operator	Rajesh Var	shisth
Sample Name Comment	POH-71-35-1R-CHCL3-ACN	Instrument	maXis	10138

Acquisition Parameter



Fig. S3 HRMS spectrum of **3a** (M+H)⁺; Calculated for C₂₄H₂₈N₃O₆: 454.1978; found: 454.1978.



Fig. S4 HRMS spectrum of crude reaction mixture: Calculated mass $[M+H]^+$ for trimer BTP (**3a**), C₂₄H₂₈N₃O₆: 454.1978; found: 454.1978; calculated mass $[M+H]^+$ for Tetramer, C₃₂H₃₇N₄O₈: 605.2611; found: 605.2610; calculated mass $[M+H]^+$ for Pentamer, C₄₀H₄₆N₅O₁₀: 756.3245; found: 756.3232.



Fig. S5 ¹H NMR spectrum of 3b in CDCl₃.



Fig. S6 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3b in CDCl₃.



Fig. S7 HRMS spectrum of **3b** $(M+H)^+$; Calculated for $C_{39}H_{34}N_3O_6 [M+H]^+$: 640.2447; found: 640.2430.



Fig. S8 ¹H NMR spectrum of **3c** in CDCl₃ (¹³C NMR data could not be recorded due to lack of stability).

School of Chemistry University of Hyderabad



Fig. S9 HRMS spectrum of $3c (M+H)^+$; Calculated for $C_{30}H_{40}N_3O_6 [M+H]^+$: 538.2917; found: 538.2918.



Fig. S10 ¹H NMR spectrum of 5a in CD₃CN.



Fig. S11 13 C NMR spectrum of **5a** in CD₃CN.



Fig. S12 HRMS spectrum of **5a** (M+H)⁺; Calculated for C₈H₁₁BrNO₂ [M+H]⁺: 231.9973; found: 231.9984.



Fig. S13 ¹H NMR spectrum of 5b in CDCl₃.



Fig. S14 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5b in CDCl₃.



Fig. S15 HRMS spectrum of **5b** (M+H)⁺; Calculated for C₁₃H₁₃BrNO₂ [M+H]⁺: 294.0129; found: 294.0129.



Fig. S16 ¹H NMR spectrum of 5c in CDCl₃.



Fig. S17 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 5c in CDCl₃.



Fig. S18 HRMS spectrum of **5c** [M+Na]⁺; Calculated for C₁₀H₁₄BrNaNO₂ [M+Na]⁺: 282.0105; found: 282.0100.



Fig. S19 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S20 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S21 HRMS spectrum of 4 $(M+H)^+$; Calculated for $C_{16}H_{21}N_2O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 305.1501; found: 305.1502.



Fig. S22 ¹H NMR spectrum of 8 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S23 ¹³C NMR spectrum of 8 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S24 HRMS spectrum of **8** (M+H)⁺; Calculated for C₈H₁₀BrINO₂ [M+H]⁺: 357.8939; found: 357.8938.



Fig. S25 Reaction monitoring of BTP 3a in CDCl₃.

4. X-ray crystal structures and refinement data:



Fig. S26 ORTEP Pov-ray diagram of compound **8**; Thermal ellipsoids are scaled upto 35% probability level; Color code: grey: C, Blue: N, Red: O, Brown: Br, Violet: I and White: H.



Fig. S27 ORTEP Pov-ray diagram of BTP **3a**; Thermal ellipsoids are scaled upto 50% probability level; Color code: grey: C, Blue: N, Red: O and White: H.

Table S2: Crystallographic data of compound 8:

Identification code	8			
Empirical formula	C ₈ H ₉ Br I N O ₂			
Formula weight	357.97			
Temperature	299(2) K			
Wavelength	0.71073 Å			
Crystal system	Monoclinic			
Space group	Рс			
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.9046(5) Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$.		
	b = 8.2466(4) Å	$\beta = 105.4160(10)^{\circ}.$		
	c = 14.0533(6) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.		
Volume	1106.56(9) Å ³			
Z	4			
Density (calculated)	2.149 Mg/m ³			
Absorption coefficient	6.475 mm ⁻¹			
F(000)	672			
Crystal size	0.18 x 0.12 x 0.10 mm ³			
Theta range for data collection	3.825 to 25.108°.			
Index ranges	-11<=h<=11, -9<=k<=9, -15<=	=l<=16		
Reflections collected	18333			
Independent reflections	3616 [R(int) = 0.0455]			
Completeness to theta = 25.108°	96.2 %			
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalen	ts		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7459 and 0.7158			
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²			
Data / restraints / parameters	3616 / 2 / 239			
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.185			
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0424, wR2 = 0.1360			
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0432, wR2 = 0.1371			
Absolute structure parameter	0.5			
Extinction coefficient	n/a			
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.015 and -0.914 e.Å ⁻³			

Table S3: Crystallographic data of compound 3a:

Identification code	3 a			
Empirical formula	$C_{24} \ H_{27} \ N_3 \ O_6$			
Formula weight	453.48			
Temperature	100(2) K			
Wavelength	0.71073 Å			
Crystal system	Triclinic			
Space group	<i>P</i> -1			
Unit cell dimensions	a = 7.5562(3) Å	$\alpha = 80.335(2)^{\circ}$.		
	b = 7.5770(3) Å	$\beta = 85.586(2)^{\circ}.$		
	c = 19.0345(8) Å	$\gamma = 86.0240(10)^{\circ}.$		
Volume	1069.37(8) Å ³			
Z	2			
Density (calculated)	1.408 Mg/m ³			
Absorption coefficient	0.102 mm ⁻¹			
F(000)	480			
Crystal size	0.28 x 0.24 x 0.18 mm ³			
Theta range for data collection	2.708 to 27.565°.			
Index ranges	-9<=h<=9, -9<=k<=9, -24<=l<	=24		
Reflections collected	53415			
Independent reflections	4943 [R(int) = 0.0471]			
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.9 %			
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalen	ts		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7459 and 0.7158			
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²			
Data / restraints / parameters	4943 / 0 / 316			
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.810			
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0419, wR2 = 0.1150			
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0535, wR2 = 0.1259			
Extinction coefficient	n/a			
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.409 and -0.317 e.Å ⁻³			

5. Absortion Spectrum :



Fig. S28 UV -Vis absorption spectrum of BTP 3a in DCM.

6. Electrochemical study:



Fig. S29 CV and DPV of BTP 3a vs Ag/AgCl at scan rate of 0.1 V/sec in DCM.

7. Computational study:

Quantum mechanical calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 program^{S8} provided by CMSD facility of University of Hyderabad. All calculations were carried out by density functional theory (DFT) with Becke's three-parameter hybrid exchange functional and the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) and the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set was used. The molecular orbitals were visualized using Gauss view 4.1 software. The theoretical excitation energies are obtained for the compound BTP **3a** by applying the TD-SCF method, which are visualized and tabulated by using GaussSum 3.0 software. Fukui function^{S9} and dual descriptor study^{S10} have been carried out by Multiwfn program,^{S11} to generate electron density surfaces to understand the electrophilic and nucleophilic centers, and the corresponding electron density cubes were visualized by using the GaussView 4.1 software. The nucleus independent chemical shift, NICS(1) values were obtained with gauge independent atomic orbital (GIAO) method based on the optimized geometries.^{S12} HOMA (Harmonic Oscillator Model of Aromaticity) was calculated by using Ropt (C-C) = 1.388 Å and Ropt (C-N) = 1.334 Å.^{S13}

7.1 Visualization of iso-surface of dual descriptors of the compounds: where the cyan colored mesh (negative), which indicates about the more electron density (favorable for the electrophilic attack) and the violet colored mesh (positive) indicates the less electron density (favorable for nucleophilic attack).

7.1.1 Exact evaluation of dual descriptor based on electron density:^{S11}

Electron densities have calculated for the electron systems N, N+1 and N-1; f^- = for electrophilic attack, f^+ = for nucleophilic attack;



1. Compound 1a:

Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	1	0.019486	0.132634	-0.093563	0.113148	0.113049	-0.00010
С	2	-0.002637	0.013405	-0.12303	0.016042	0.120393	0.10435

2. Compound 5a:



Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{\cdot}	f^+	Δf
С	1	0.106572	0.109011	-0.033854	0.002439	0.140426	0.13799
С	17	0.027139	0.004871	-0.112539	-0.022268	0.139678	0.16195
Br	22	-0.101502	0.2037	-0.151827	0.305202	0.050325	-0.25488

3. Compound 9:

Electron density surfaces Mesh color (cyan = - ve; violet = + ve)	Corresponding atomic labels

Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	1	0.054083	0.135465	-0.114745	0.081382	0.168828	0.08745
С	16	-0.032076	-0.016716	-0.142837	0.015360	0.110761	0.09540

4. Compound 9a:



Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	1	0.136565	0.114857	-0.051147	-0.021708	0.187712	0.20942
С	16	0.00299	-0.022647	-0.133547	-0.025637	0.136537	0.16217
Br	31	-0.096586	0.198358	-0.152781	0.294944	0.056195	-0.23875

5. Compound 9b:

Electron density surfaces Mesh color (cyan = - ve; violet = + ve)	Corresponding atomic labels

Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	1	0.08926	0.126615	-0.106996	0.037355	0.196256	0.15890
С	15	0.059488	0.021465	-0.076607	-0.038023	0.136095	0.17412
Br	31	-0.098893	0.175836	-0.131365	0.274729	0.032472	-0.24226

6. Compound 6:



Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	1	0.104927	0.067824	-0.019533	-0.037103	0.124460	0.16156
С	21	-0.003191	-0.018304	-0.121349	-0.015113	0.118158	0.13327
Br	41	-0.07848	0.113943	-0.076482	0.192423	-0.001998	-0.19442

7. Compound 7:

Electron density surfaces Mesh color (cyan = - ve; violet = + ve)	Corresponding atomic labels

Symbol	Label	Ν	N-1	N+1	f^{-}	$f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	Δf
С	21	-0.013466	-0.029369	-0.102527	-0.015903	0.089061	0.10496
С	39	0.125477	0.038207	-0.005638	-0.087270	0.131115	0.21839
Br	58	-0.081544	0.043173	-0.060569	0.124717	-0.020975	-0.14569



Fig. S 30 Selected molecular orbital diagram of the optimized structure of BTP 3a.



Fig. S31 Theoretical absorption spectrum (by TD-DFT) of BTP 3a.

Table S4:	Summary	of theoretical	excitation	energies	of BTP	3a
	J			0		

SI. No.	Wavelength (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Major contributions
1	312.87	0.2631	H-1 —> L+1 (22%), H-1 —> L+2 (16%), HOMO —> LUMO (21%), HOMO —> L+2 (20%)
2	312.84	0.2636	H-1 —> LUMO (22%), H-1 —> L+2 (20%), HOMO —> L+1 (22%), HOMO —> L+2 (16%)
3	305.27	0.0932	H-1 —> LUMO (10%), H-1 —> L+2 (25%), HOMO —> L+1 (10%), HOMO —> L+2 (38%)
4	305.25	0.0935	H-1 —> L+1 (10%), H-1 —> L+2 (38%), HOMO —> LUMO (10%), HOMO —> L+2 (25%)
5	243.22	0.1063	H-2 —> LUMO (91%), H-1 —> L+10 (2%), HOMO —> L+9 (2%)
6	243.21	0.1064	H-2 -> L+1 (91%), H-1 -> L+9 (2%), HOMO -> L+10 (2%)

Atom Label	Symbol	X	У	Z	Atom Label	Symbol	X	У	Z
1	0	-1.29239	4.988344	-6.6E-05	31	Н	-5.52449	-3.29128	0.881703
2	0	-3.67434	-3.61336	-0.0001	32	С	-5.39367	-5.27444	0.00058
3	0	4.966564	-1.37532	-9.3E-05	33	Н	-4.97304	-5.7553	-0.88561
4	0	3.19861	-2.76723	-0.0004	34	Η	-6.47408	-5.44259	0.000271
5	0	-3.99509	-1.38629	-8.3E-05	35	Η	-4.97366	-5.75446	0.887515
6	0	0.797036	4.153454	0.000216	36	С	-3.62164	3.252689	-7.1E-05
7	Ν	2.159736	1.713534	0.000154	37	Н	-4.53542	2.654622	0.000798
8	Ν	-2.56389	1.01332	0.000001	38	Η	-3.63157	3.902942	-0.87753
9	Ν	0.404338	-2.72743	-9.7E-05	39	Η	-3.63072	3.904293	0.87637
10	С	-1.02532	-0.99568	-0.00005	40	С	-0.72446	6.318279	-0.00013
11	С	0.335994	-1.34813	-6.1E-05	41	Η	-0.08977	6.430621	-0.8822
12	С	-3.21827	-2.3361	-5.4E-05	42	Η	-0.08971	6.430702	0.88188
13	С	3.257675	0.913265	0.000076	43	С	5.834565	-2.5319	-0.00031
14	С	-2.41978	2.364294	0.000024	44	Η	5.615043	-3.13742	-0.88272
15	С	-1.04794	2.653268	0.000066	45	Н	5.61444	-3.13826	0.881355
16	С	2.822079	-0.41929	-4.6E-05	46	С	-1.00654	-4.76308	-0.00015
17	С	1.37494	-0.39044	0.000069	47	Н	-0.03189	-5.25575	-0.0013
18	С	-1.33522	0.38293	0.000033	48	Н	-1.56666	-5.09643	-0.87648
19	С	3.632501	-1.6195	-0.00016	49	Н	-1.56462	-5.09665	0.877429
20	С	0.99948	0.964715	0.000151	50	С	-1.87265	7.307642	-0.00014
21	С	-0.41384	3.955197	0.000083	51	Н	-2.49874	7.183821	-0.8868
22	С	-0.34935	1.385655	0.0001	52	Н	-1.47845	8.327546	0.00001
23	С	-1.77376	-2.23449	-0.00004	53	Н	-2.49887	7.183628	0.88641
24	С	-0.83771	-3.27807	-0.00015	54	С	7.265209	-2.03133	0.000401
25	С	4.627933	1.510014	0.00023	55	Н	7.470597	-1.42641	-0.8858
26	Н	5.19648	1.19238	-0.87648	56	Н	7.952133	-2.88204	0.000336
27	Н	5.195949	1.193069	0.87757	57	Н	7.469919	-1.42709	0.887219
28	Н	4.566653	2.600381	-0.00019	58	Н	1.302794	-3.20466	-0.00014
29	С	-5.11018	-3.78556	-5.9E-05	59	Н	2.123769	2.730242	0.000242
30	Н	-5.52443	-3.29209	-0.88232	60	Н	-3.42631	0.473648	-0.00018

 Table S5: Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structure BTP 3a

Atom Label	Symbol	x	У	Z
1	Ν	-0.95449	-2.58909	-0.00047
2	Ν	2.719318	0.468068	0.000166
3	Ν	-1.76502	2.121101	0.000007
4	С	0.354683	1.388403	0.000308
5	С	-0.99462	0.978997	0.000526
6	С	-2.32791	-2.43689	-0.00013
7	С	3.274451	-0.79733	0.000286
8	С	2.263801	-1.72491	0.00004
9	С	-2.62564	-1.09782	0.000456
10	С	-1.37974	-0.38707	0.000288
11	С	1.345045	0.371751	-0.00016
12	С	-0.35049	-1.35093	-0.0001
13	С	1.025131	-1.0016	-0.00035
14	С	0.362009	2.822795	-0.00039
15	С	-0.94655	3.234407	-0.00038
16	Н	-2.77031	2.142882	0.00005
17	Н	-0.47073	-3.47058	-0.00076
18	Н	3.240594	1.327962	0.000568
19	Н	-1.36495	4.227908	-0.00029
20	Н	1.219853	3.477522	-0.00057
21	Н	4.344081	-0.9315	0.000266
22	Н	2.402442	-2.79513	-0.00026
23	Н	-2.97906	-3.29604	0.000004
24	Н	-3.62161	-0.6824	0.000713

Table S6: Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structure BTP (free)

(Compounds	NICS(1)	HOMA
BTP (3a)	EtO ₂ C N HN CO ₂ Et N H EtO ₂ C	A = - 10.45 B = - 9.21	A = 0.847 B = 0.484
BTP free		C = -9.85 D = -9.38	C = 0.767 D = 0.534
Benzene	E	E = -10.20 *	E = 0.972
Pyrrole	N H	F = -10.09 *	F = 0.693
Indole	G H N H	G = -10.83 * H = -10.18 *	G = 0.894 H = 0.422

Table S7: Summary of calculated NICS (1) and HOMA values.

NICS values calculated by using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) by NMR GIAO method in Gaussian 09. '*' = from ref S13.

8. TGA-DTA profiles: DTA-TG was measured at the scanning rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere.



Fig. S32 TGA profile for BTP 3a.



Fig. S33 TGA profile for BTP 3b.

9. References:

S1 SAINT, version 6.45 /8/6/03 and version 8.34A, Bruker AXS, 2003, 2014.

S2 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS and SADABS 2014/5, *Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997, 2014.

S3 (a) SHELXL -Version 2014/7; *Program for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1993-2014; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* 2008, **A64**, 112.

S4 (a) A. L. Spek, *PLATON*, *A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool*, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2002. (b) A. L. Spek, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2003, **36**, 7.

S5 (a) M. W. Roomi and S. F. MacDonald, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1970, **48**, 1689-1697; (b) A. Treibs and H. G. Kolm, *Justus Liebigs Annalen der Chemie.*, 1958, **614**, 199-205; (c) H. Fischer, H. Beller and A. Stern, *Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft.*, 1928, **61B**, 1074-1083; (d) M. B. Skaddan, *J. Label Compd. Radiopharm*, 2010, **53**, 73-77.

S6 A. V. Lygin, O. V. Larionov, V. S. Korotkov and A. de Meijere, Chem. -Eur. J., 2009, 15, 227-236.

S7 J. Carpenter, Y. Wang, G. Wu, J. Feng, X.-Y. Ye, C. L. Morales, M. Broekema, K. A. Rossi, K. J. Miller, B. J. Murphy, G. Wu, S. E. Malmstrom, A. V. Azzara, P. M. Sher, J. M. Fevig, A. Alt, R. L. Bertekap, M. J. Cullen, T. M. Harper, K. Foster, E. Luk, Q. Xiang, M. F. Grubb, J. A. Robl and D. A. Wacker, *J. Med. Chem.* 2017, 14, 6166-6190.
S8 Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, Jr., J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian, Inc.*, Wallingford CT, 2010.

S9 R. G. Parr and W. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 4049-4050.

S10 C. Morrell, A. Grand and A. Toro-Labbe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 205-212.

S11 (a) T. Lu, Multiwfn 3.6 A Multifunctional Wavefunction Analyzer; <u>http://sobereva.com-/multiwfn/index.html</u>;
(b) T. Lu and F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2012, 33, 580-592.

S12 (a) P. von R. Schleyer, C. Maerker, A. Dransfeld, H. Jiao and N. J. R. van Eikema Hommes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 6317-6318; (b) Z. Chen, C. S. Wannere, C. Corminboeuf, R. Puchta and P. von R. Schleyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 3842-3888.

S13 (a) T. M. Krygowski and M. Cryanski, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 1713-1722; (b) T. M. Krygowski and M. Cryanski, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 10255-10264; (c) T. M. Krygowski and M. Cryanski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1385-1419.