Supporting Information to

A multifunctional supramolecular self-assembly system for colorimetric detection of Fg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cu²⁺ and continuous sensing of volatile acids and organic amine gases

Xinhua Cao*, Yiran Li, Yicheng Yu, Shiying Fu, Aiping Gao, Xueping Chang*

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering & Henan Province Key laboratory of Utilization of Non-metallic Mineral in the South of Henan, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, China

* Corresponding Authors: E-mail: caoxhchem@163.com; xuepingchang@xynu.edu.cn



Compound 2 was synthesized according to literature 1.

Synthesis of 1: 4-(dimethylamino)-4'-isothiocyanatoazobenzene (1.0 g, 3.54 mmol) and compound **2** (2.54 g, 3.54 mmol) were mixed in anhydrous THF (100 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred and refluxed for 12 h. After the reaction was over, THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was subjected to column chromatography (methanol/dichloromethane: 1/200, v/v as eluent) on silica gel to give **1** as a yellow powder. Yield 70.5 %; ¹HNMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 9.70 (s, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 3.91 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H) 3.74 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (s, 6H), 1.72-1.62 (m, 6H), 1.43-1.39 (m, 6H), 1.30-1.23 (m, 48H), 0.84 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 9H); ¹³CNMR (150 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 181.3, 166.7, 152.9, 152.8, 149.4, 143.4, 141.1, 140.7, 129.8, 1249., 123.4, 122.8, 112.1, 106.8, 73.1, 69.3, 44.2, 31.8, 30.3, 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 26.1, 22.5, 14.3. HRMS calculated for C₆₀H₉₉N₆O₄S [M+H]⁺ 999.7449, found: 999.7474.



Figure S1 ¹HNMR and ¹³CNMR of compound 1 in DMSO-d₆ at 60^oC

Solvent	1	Solvent	1
DMF	S	1,4-dioxane	S
hexane	G(1.9)	acetonitrile	G (12.5)
methanol	Р	ethanol	G(25.0)
acetone	Р	acetate ether	Р
pertroleum ether	G(1.8)	toluene	S
DMSO	G(12.5)	THF	S
CH_2Cl_2	S	CHCl ₃	S
P = precipitate; S = solu	ition; G = gel; the val	ues in the brackets denote the CG	C with the unit of mg mL ⁻¹ .

 Table S1 Gelation ability of compound 1 in fourteen frequently-used organic solvents.



Figure S2 ¹HNMR of 1 before and after addition of 1.0 eq. Hg²⁺ in CD₃CN. Inset showing assigned protons of 1 for convenience.



Figure S3 HRMS change of compound 1 before and after of Hg $^{2+}\!\!.$



Figure S4 Plots of $[A_{541}/A_{423}]$, $[A_{549}/A_{423}]$ and $[A_{600}/A_{423}]$ v/s increasing concentration of Hg²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺.



Figure S5 ¹HNMR of 1 before and after addition of 1.0 eq. Fe³⁺ in CD₃CN. Inset showing assigned protons of 1 for convenience.



Figure S6 ¹HNMR of 1 before and after addition of 1.0 eq. Cu²⁺ in CD₃CN. Inset showing assigned protons of 1 for convenience.

Limit of detection calculation of solution 1 with the concentration of 10⁻⁴ M for Hg²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺

Table S2 Detection limit of	f compound 1 t	toward metal	ions in acetoni	trile bv	absorbance of	changes at 4	422 nm
				/			

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Absorbance (Xn)	3.244	3.23	3.222	3.224	3.222	3.234	3.236	3.222	3.233	3.229	3.232

 $X_{average}$ = 3.230 σ_{wb} = sqrt($\sum (X_n - X_{average})^2/n$) = 4.454 × 10⁻⁵

The limit of detection (LOD) was determined with the following equation: $LOD = 3\sigma/b$ [1]: where σ was the standard deviation (SD) of 10 blank samples(100 µmol/L of solution 1) measurement and *b* was the slope of the linear fitusing the ratio of absorbance intensity versus Hg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cu²⁺, TFA or TEA concentration, respectively.

Limit of detection calculation of solution 1 with the concentration of 10⁻⁵ M for TFA and TEA

Table S3 Detection limit of compound 1 toward TFA and TEA in acetonitrile by absorbance changes at 422 nm

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Absorbance (Xn)	0.329	0.330	0.329	0.329	0.329	0.328	0.329	0.330	0.327	0.328	0.327

 $X_{average} = 0.3286$ $\sigma_{wb} = sqrt(\sum (X_n - X_{average})^2/n) = 9.636 \times 10^{-7}$



Figure S7 ¹HNMR of 1 before and after addition of 1.0 eq. TFA in CD₃CN. Inset showing assigned protons of 1 for convenience.



Figure S8 Plots of $[A_{548}/A_{423}]$, $[A_{423}/A_{548}]$ v/s increasing concentration of TFA and TEA.



Figure S11 Images of film 1 with sensing TFA and TEA in turn for seven cycle times.

Limit of detection of film 1 towards TFA

 Table S4 Detection limit of film 1 toward TFA gas by absorbance changes at 422 nm

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Absorbance (Xn)	1.638	1.636	1.634	1.633	1.634	1.636	1.636	1.637	1.639	1.637	1.640

 $X_{average} = 1.636 \qquad \sigma_{wb} = sqrt(\sum (X_n - X_{average})^2/n) = 4.36 \times 10^{-6}$



Figure S12 Images of gels 1 with addition of different pH buffer onto the top of gel surface.



Figure S13 Photographs of color change of gels 1 in acetonitrile under addition of different metal ions (1.0 eq.).



Figure S14 FTIR spectra of xerogels 1 formed in acetonitrile solution and the changes in the gel state of 1 upon addition of Hg^{2+} ion, Fe^{3+} ion, Cu^{2+} ion and TFA in acetonitrile solution.



Figure S15 Rheological tests of gel 1, gels 1 with 1.0 eq. Cu²⁺ and TFA at the CGC at 20°C. (a) Strain sweep at 6.28 rad s⁻¹, (b)

frequency sweep at 0.1% amplitude within the linear regime.



Figure S16 XRD patterns of xerogel 1 from acetonitrile (a) and xerogels 1 upon addition of 1.0 eq. (b) Hg²⁺, (c) Fe³⁺, (d) Cu²⁺ and (e) TFA.



Figure S17 Water contact angle of xerogel 1 formed in acetonitrile (a) and xerogels 1 upon addition of 1.0 eq. (b) Hg²⁺, (c) Fe³⁺, (d) Cu²⁺ and (e) TFA.