Assisting anti-PD-1 antibody treatment with a liposomal system capable of

recruiting immune cells

Supplementary Data, including: Supplementary Table; Supplementary Figures.

Target	Forword primer (5'-3')	Reverse promer (3'-5')
β-actin	GGGAAATCGTGCGTGAC	AGGCTGGAAAAGAGCCT
CD8	CAAACACGCTTTCGGCTCCTG	CGGATTGGACTTCGCCTGTGA
Foxp3	GGCTCCTCTTCTTGCGAAACTC	TCACCTATGCCACCCTTATCCG
IL-4	CTTGGAAGCCCTACAGACGAG	TTGAACGAGGTCACAGGAGAA
TNF-α	CTCCTCCACTTGGTGGTTTGT	CTCAAGTGGCATAGATGTGGA
IFN-γ	GACGCTTATGTTGTTGCTGAT	CTCAAGTGGCATAGATGTGGA
Hsp-70	GGCTGATCGGCCGCAAGTT	GGAAGGGCCAGTGCTTCAT

Supplementary table 1. Primers for real time PCR

Nanoparticles	Diameter (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)
P-nps	127.6 ± 0.9	-4.28 ± 1.18
N-nps	123.5 ± 0.5	-8.77 ± 1.49
FN-nps	134.6 ± 1.6	-7.13 ± 2.25

Supplementary table 2. The mean diameter and zeta potential of different nanoparticles 9 month after



Figure S1. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging of the FN-nps.



Figure S2. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging of the FN-nps 9 months after.



Figure S3. Cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of P-nps of H22, 4T1, CT26 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines.



Figure S4. Cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of N-nps of H22, 4T1, CT26 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines.



Figure S5. Gas-generating ability of FN-nps *in vitro*. The black arrows are pointing at the bubbles. Scale bar: $10 \mu m$.



Figure S6. Gas-generating ability of N-nps and P-nps *in vitro***.** (A) Gas-generating ability of N-nps. (B) Gas-generating ability of P-nps. Scale bar: 10 μm.



Figure S7. CLSM images of H22, 4T1, CT26 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines after incubated with N-nps for 1h, then incubated with PI for 10min.



Figure S8. Capability of generating debris of FN-nps, N-nps and P-nps in H22, 4T1, CT26 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (n=3).



Figure S9. Cell viability of FN-nps, N-nps and P-nps in H22, 4T1, CT26 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (n=3).



Figure S10. Ultrasound imaging of the P-nps, N-nps and FN-nps *in vivo*.



Figure S11. The fluorescence minus one (FMO) controls of CTLs and Tregs in H22, 4T1 and CT26 tumor models.



Figure S12. The peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets of H22 tumor model after different administrations (n=3).



Figure S13. The peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets of 4T1 tumor model after different administrations (n=3).



Figure S14. The peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets of CT26 tumor model after different administrations (n=3).



Figure S15. The expression of PD-1 of CTLs. (A) The expression of PD-1 of CD3+ CD8+ CTLs in PB. (B) The expression of PD-1 of CD8+ CTLs in tumor site.



Figure S16. The activation of DC in tumor site. Data are expressed as the mean ± sd (n=3).



Figure S17. The tumor microenvironment in the anti-PD-1, FN-nps, antiPD-1+FN-nps and saline groups. (A) The desmoplastic structure of tumor. (B) The hypoxia level of tumor. (C) The mean fluorescence intensity data of hypoxia level. Data are expressed as the mean \pm sd (n=3).



Figure S18. Safety evaluation of anti-PD-1 antibody, the FN-nps and saline *in vivo* **after 1st, 2nd and 3rd administration.** Each organ was sectioned for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. Scale bar, 250 μm.