Supplementary Information

Local-ordering PtNiPb ternary nano-pompons as efficient bifunctional oxygen

reduction and methanol oxidation catalyst

Na Cheng, Ling Zhang, Hao Jiang, Yingjie Zhou, Shengwei Yu, Liyuan Chen, Haibo Jiang* and

Chunzhong Li*

Key Laboratory for Ultrafine Materials of Ministry of Education, Shanghai Engineering Research

Center of Hierarchical Nanomaterials, School of Materials Science and Engineering, East China

University of Science & Technology, Shanghai 200237, China

*Corresponding author, Tel.: +86-21-64250996, +86-21-64250949

E-mail: jianghaibo@ecust.edu.cn (Prof. H. B. Jiang), czli@ecust.edu.cn (Prof. C. Li)



Figure S1. (a) Low-resolution TEM image (inset is the corresponding particle size distribution histogram), and (b) HAADF-STEM image of PtNiPb NPs.



Figure S2. STEM-EDS spectra of PtNiPb NPs.



Figure S3. a), c) Additional HRTEM images of local superlattice structure, and b), d) the corresponding measured lattice distances. Scale bar: 2 nm.



Figure S4. a) HRTEM image of the local-ordering region. b), c) Intensity line profile analysis of the lattice fringes for a). The lattice contraction is 3.9% and 1.3% for b) and c), respectively, compared with Pt(111) (0.2265 nm).



Figure S5. Typical TEM images of the product a) with the same reaction conditions as that of PtNiPb NPs except the absence of $Pb(acac)_2$, b) obtained when three precursors were added at the same time while kept the same heating-cooling process, c) obtained by directly heating the reaction solution that contained three precursors at 180°C for 5 h, and d) obtained when Pb(acac)₂ were added without cooling step.



Figure S6. TEM images of the products with the same reaction conditions as those of PtNiPb NPs except the use of (a) no capping agent, (b) CTAC, (C) DTAB and (D) DTAC.



Figure S7. (a) TEM image and elemental composition of the products after the reactant solution was maintained at 180 °C for 6h. (b) Comparison of the XRD patterns of the products at 5h and 6h. The peak positions of the product at 6 h show no shift compared with that at 5 h.



Figure S8. Morphology and structural characterizations of PtNi NPs. a), b) lowresolution TEM image and HAADF-STEM image, respectively. Inset of a) is the particle size distribution histogram. c), d), e) HRTEM images. f), g) Intensity line profile analysis of the lattice fringes for d). h)-k) EDS mapping. l) XRD patterns. m), n) High-resolution XPS spectra of Pt 4f and Ni 2p, respectively.



Figure S9. Pt 4f XPS spectra of commercial Pt/C catalyst.



Figure S10. TEM images of carbon supported a) PtNiPb NPs, and b) PtNi NPs catalysts.



Figure S11. ORR polarization curves of PtNiPb NPs products at different reaction stages.



Figure S12. Electrochemical durability towards ORR of PtNi NPs and commercial Pt/C. (a), (d) CVs curves, (b), (e) ORR polarization curves, and (c), (f) the changes in MA and SA after 10 000 potential cycles of (a), (b), (c) PtNi NPs, and (d), (e), (f) commercial Pt/C, respectively.



Figure S13. a), b) TEM images of commercial Pt/C before and after 10000 ADTs, respectively. c), d) TEM images of PtNi NPs and PtNiPb NPs after 10000 ADTs, respectively.



Figure S14. Atomic models for the calculation of $E_{ads}(O)$ at different adsorption sites.



Figure S15. a)-e) Atomic models for the calculation of $E_{ads}(OH)$ at different adsorption sites. f) Comparison of $\Delta E_{ads}(OH)$ on the (111) surface of L1₀-PtNiPb, PtNiPb, and L1₀-PtNi. The optimal $E_{ads}(OH)$ value is 0.1 eV weaker than that of Pt(111). the overall trend of $\Delta E_{ads}(OH)$ is consistent with that of $\Delta E_{ads}(O)$, where the superlattice structure and the embedded Pb atom can increase the adsorption energy of OH. For the adsorption sites b and c of L1₀-PtNiPb, the $\Delta E_{ads}(OH)$ values are 0.017 and -0.005 eV, respectively, very close to the optimal energy. The weaker $E_{ads}(OH)$ of the adsorption site d in L1₀-PtNiPb and L1₀-PtNi compared with PtNiPb can be attributed to the strong coupling between surface Pt and subsurface Ni.



Figure S16. a), b) The periodic supercells of PtNiPb and L1₀-PtNiPb, respectively. Comparison of atomic distances on (111) surfaces of c) L1₀-PtNiPb, d) PtNiPb, and e) L1₀-PtNi along the vectors shown in f). It is shown that the chemically ordered L1₀ structure can slightly release the strong lattice compression along (0, 1, -1) direction, and the doping of Pb can further moderate the surface strain.

		Pt/C	PtNi NPs	PtNiPb NPs
Pt ⁰ /Pt ²⁺		1.54	1.39	1.86
Peak	Pt 4f _{7/2}	71.65	70.82	71.01
(eV)	Pt 4f _{5/2}	75.00	74.16	74.34

Table S1. XPS data of Pt/C, PtNi NPs and PtNiPb NPs.

Table S2. ECSAs, half-wave potentials, and ORR activities of different catalysts.

	ECSA (m ² g ⁻¹ _{pt})	Half-wave potential (V _{RHE})	SA (mA cm ⁻²)	MA (A mg ⁻¹ Pt)
PtNiPb NPs	48.32	0.917	0.976	0.449
PtNi NPs	38.42	0.883	0.427	0.164
Pt/C	73.74	0.868	0.132	0.098

Table S3. ORR durability of PtNiPb NPs, PtNi NPs, and Pt/C.

		ECSA (m²/g _{pt})	SA (mAcm ⁻²)	MA (Amg ⁻¹ Pt)	loss in MA
PtNiPb	initial	48.32	0.976	0.449	
NPs	10k	38.98	0.827	0.322	28.3%
PtNi	initial	38.42	0.427	0.164	
NPs	10k	34.68	0.234	0.081	50.6%
Pt/C	initial	73.74	0.132	0.098	
100	10k	31.08	0.100	0.031	68.4%

		d-band center
I 1 D4NGDL	A1	-2.82
	A2	-2.74
I 1 D+N;	A1	-2.84
	A2	-2.82
PtNiPh	A1	-2.94
	A2	-2.78
Pt (111)	A1	-2.55
	A2	-2.55

 Table S4. Calculated d-band center of representative atoms.

	a _[100] /a _{Pt}	lattice contraction (%)	a _[010] /a _{Pt}	lattice contraction (%)	a _[001] /a _{Pt}	lattice contraction (%)
PtNiPb	0.966	3.4	0.949	5.1	0.968	3.2
L1 ₀ - PtNiPb	0.987	1.3	0.987	1.3	0.922	7.8

Table S5. Crystalline lattice constants and lattice contraction (%) of PtNiPb and L1₀-PtNiPb based on DFT calculations.

 a_{Pt} is the lattice constant of fcc-Pt. $a_{[100]}$, $a_{[010]}$, $a_{[001]}$ are the calculated interplanar distances along [100], [010] and [001] directions of PtNiPb and L1₀-PtNiPb.

	Nonadjacent to Pb			Adjacent to Pb		
	PtNiPb	L1 ₀ -PtNi	L1 ₀ -PtNiPb	PtNiPb	L1 ₀ -PtNi	L1 ₀ -PtNiPb
E _(0, 1, -1)	4.3	1.2	1.3	3.5	1.6	-0.2
E _(1, -1, 0)	3.7	4.2	4.6	2.4	5.0	3.3
E _(1, 0, -1)	3.9	4.5	4.5	2.8	5.0	3.3

Table S6. Surface strain (ϵ , in %) on (111) surfaces from DFT Calculations.

Compressive strain is calculated by $\varepsilon_i = (a_{Pt} - a_i)/a_{Pt}$, where i indicates directions along (0, 1, -1), (1, -1, 0), and (1, 0, -1) vectors as shown in Figure S16.

	I_{f}/I_{b}	SA (mAcm ⁻²)	MA (Amg ⁻¹ Pt)
PtNiPb NPs	1.25	2.40	1.16
PtNi NPs	0.85	1.21	0.46
Pt/C	0.93	0.31	0.27

 Table S7. MOR performance of different catalysts.