## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

Preparation of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  nanoparticles with enhanced NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition activity under visible light irradiation by nitridation of Zn<sub>2</sub>GeO<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles designed precisely

Jingwen Wang, Yusuke Asakura,\* Shu Yin

Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials

Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

E-mail: asa@tohoku.ac.jp



**Fig. S1** XRD pattern of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  synthesized by nitridation of a mixture containing ZnO and GeO<sub>2</sub> (ZnGeNO\_bulk) (insets: (a) appearance and (b) TEM image of ZnGeNO\_bulk).



**Fig. S2** UV/Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of  $Zn_2GeO_4_0.15_4$  and ZnGeNO\_bulk (inset: plots of  $(F(R\infty)hv)^2$  versus (hv) for obtaining the band gaps of above samples.

The band gap energy  $(E_g)$  of each sample was estimated based on the theory of optical absorption with following equation (1) proposed by Tauc, Davis, and Mott:

$$(\alpha hv)^{1/n} = A(hv - E_g) \tag{1}$$

where A, h, v and  $E_g$  are a proportional constant, the Plank constant, the frequency of vibration(1/ $\lambda$ ) and the band gap, respectively. The value of the exponent n is either

1/2 for a direct allowed transition or 2 for an indirect allowed transition. Since both  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  and  $Zn_2GeO_4$  exhibit direct allowed transitions, and n = 1/2 is used for these samples.  $F(R\infty)$  is proportional to the value of the absorption coefficient  $\alpha$ , therefore the  $\alpha$  in the Tauc equation is substituted by  $F(R\infty)$  with Kubelka-Munk function for estimation of the optical absorption edge energy. So, the above relational expression becomes:

$$(F(R\infty)hv)^2 = A(hv - E_g)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Thus, the curve that plots the value of  $(hv - (F(R\infty)hv)^2)$  on the horizontal axis hv and vertical axis  $(F(R\infty)hv)^2$  is drawn. By the extrapolation of the straight portion of the plot of  $(F(R\infty)hv)^2$  versus (hv) to the  $F(R\infty) = 0$  point, the  $E_g$  values of the prepared samples can be estimated. The band gaps of  $Zn_2GeO_4_0.15_4$  and  $ZnGeNO_bulk$  are estimated to be 4.8 eV and 2.8 eV, respectively.



Fig. S3 High magnification TEM image of ZnGeNO\_4\_4 sample.

Sample	Precursor	Volume of	Specific surface
(ZnGeNO_X_1) <sup>a</sup>	$(Zn_2GeO_4\_A\_B)^b$	TEA (mL)	area (m²/g)
ZnGeNO_0_1	$Zn_2GeO_4_0.15_0$	0	20.1
ZnGeNO_2_1	Zn <sub>2</sub> GeO <sub>4</sub> _0.15_2	2	35.5
ZnGeNO_4_1	Zn <sub>2</sub> GeO <sub>4</sub> _0.15_4	4	39.7
ZnGeNO_6_1	Zn <sub>2</sub> GeO <sub>4</sub> _0.15_6	6	29.4
ZnGeNO 8 1	Zn <sub>2</sub> GeO <sub>4</sub> 0.15 8	8	25.7

**Table S1.** The abbreviated names of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  samples nitrided for 1 h and the corresponding precursors synthesized with different volume of TEA.

<sup>a</sup> ZnGeON\_X\_1: X is the volume of TEA used in the preparation of  $Zn_2GeO_4$  precursors during solvothermal reaction; 1: 1 h nitridation; <sup>b</sup> Zn\_2GeO\_4\_A\_B: A is the molar concentration of Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and Na<sub>2</sub>GeO<sub>3</sub>; B is the volume of TEA (mL).



**Fig. S4** XRD patterns of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  samples nitrided for 1 h with different TEA volume: (a) ZnGeNO\_0\_1, (b) ZnGeNO\_2\_1, (c) ZnGeNO\_4\_1, (c) ZnGeNO\_6\_1 and (d) ZnGeNO\_8\_1.



**Fig. S5** UV/Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of ZnGeON\_X\_1 (X: 0, 2, 4, 6 or 8 mL of TEA used in the preparation of  $Zn_2GeO_4$  precursors during solvothermal reaction; 1: 1 h nitridation).



**Fig. S6** Conversion ratios of photocatalytic  $NO_x$  decomposition activity under irradiation above 510, 400 and 290 nm using different photocatalysts: (a) ZnGeNO\_0\_1, (b) ZnGeNO\_2\_1, (c) ZnGeNO\_4\_1, (d) ZnGeNO\_6\_1 and (e) ZnGeNO\_8\_1.



**Fig. S7** TEM images of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  samples synthesized by nitridation for 1 h of  $Zn_2GeO_4$  synthesized under a presence of different volumes of TEA (0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 mL): (a) ZnGeNO\_0\_1, (b) ZnGeNO\_2\_1, (c) ZnGeNO\_4\_1, (d) ZnGeNO\_6\_1 and (e) ZnGeNO\_8\_1.

The photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition activity of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  obtained by nitridation of different precursors for 1 h are shown in Fig. S6 (referred as ZnGeNO\_X\_1: X means the volume of TEA (mL) used in solvothermal reaction for the synthesis of Zn<sub>2</sub>GeO<sub>4</sub>; 1 means nitridation of 1 h). The precursors are synthesized with different TEA volume under same hydrothermal conditions (100 °C, 12 h). The photocatalytic activity increased at first and decreased subsequently with increase of TEA additive due to the alteration of particle sizes (Fig. S7). As shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. S6, ZnGeNO\_4\_1 possessed the best performance on photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition activity among all samples. These results indicate that the amount of TEA is critical for morphology control as well as the enhancement of photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition activity.



Fig. S8 (a) The three cycles of deNO<sub>x</sub> ability of ZnGeNO\_4\_1 and (b) XRD patterns of ZnGeNO\_4\_1 sample before and after photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition test.



Fig. S9 The magnified UV-vis diffuse reflectance absorption spectra of  $(Zn_{1+x}Ge)(N_2O_x)$  samples with different nitridation time.

In the photocatalytic test, the wavelength of Hg lamp was cut by filters, and the samples were irradiated under a range of wavelength. Therefore, the calculated apparent quantum efficiencies are photon conversion between a range of wavelength. In this research, the apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) under irradiation of various light wavelength was calculated according to the following equation according to our previous repor<sup>1</sup>:

$$AQE(\%) = \frac{F_{NO} \cdot \alpha_{\lambda}}{P_{\lambda} \cdot S} \times 100\%$$

 $F_{NO}$ : the flow quantity of NO molecules in the reaction gas ((1 ppm, 200 ml/min,  $1.488\times 10^{-4}\,\mu mol\;s^{-1});$ 

 $\alpha_{\lambda}$ : the photocatalytic NO<sub>x</sub> decomposition ability;

 $P_{\lambda}$ : the light intensity on the surface of the sample (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and the different light intensity are shown in 2.5 section.

S: the surface area of the samples (20 mm  $\times$  16 mm) (m<sup>2</sup>);

**Table. S2** The AQE (%) of samples prepared by different conditions in various wavelength ranges.

Sample	AQE (%)		
	290-400 nm	400-510 nm	>510 nm
ZnGeNO_4_1	0.49	0.04	0.05
ZnGeNO_4_4	0.69	0.04	0.02
ZnGeNO_4_8	0.74	0.05	0.004
ZnGeNO_4_12	0.74	0.03	0.007
ZnGeNO_0_1	0.38	0.04	0.02
ZnGeNO_2_1	0.36	0.05	0.04
ZnGeNO_6_1	0.36	0.03	0.04
ZnGeNO_8_1	0.51	0.05	0.02
ZnGeNO_bulk	0.71	0.04	0.005

## Reference

 S. Yin, B. Liu, P. Zhang, T. Morikawa, K.-i. Yamanaka and T. Sato, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 12425-12431.