# Single molybdenum atom anchored on 2D Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> MXene as a promising electrocatalyst for N<sub>2</sub> fixation

Yuwen Cheng,<sup>a,b</sup> Jianhong Dai,<sup>a</sup> Yan Song,<sup>a,\*</sup> Yumin Zhang<sup>b,\*</sup>

**a** School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology at Weihai, 2 West Wenhua Road, Weihai, 264209, PR China

**b** National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology for National Defence on Advanced Composites in Special Environments, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, PR China

# \* Corresponding Authors

E-mail: sy@hitwh.edu.cn (Y.S). E-mail: zhym@hit.edu.cn (Y.M.Z).

Abstract: Electrocatalysis synthesis of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) under ambient temperature is an attractive and challenging subject in chemical industry. The synthesis of NH<sub>3</sub> at ambient conditions requires efficient and stable eletrocatalysts with ultralow overpotential to ensure the low energy consumption and high yield of NH<sub>3</sub>. Herein, the single transition metals (TM) atom (TM=Mo, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, or Cu) anchored on 2D M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> MXenes (M=Ti, V, and Cr), labeled as TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are designed as electrocatalysts for N2 reduction reaction (NRR) by density functional theory calculations. Results show that the bonding strength between Mo and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is strong. The overpotential ( $\eta_{NRR}$ ) of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface catalyzed NRR is estimated as low as 0.16 V via enzymatic mechanism, which is lower than that of up-to-date works. For Mo/V2NO2 and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, the NRR belongs to the consecutive mechanism and enzymatic mechanism, with the corresponding  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of 0.38V and 0.22 V, respectively. In addition, the reaction Gibbs free energy of NH<sub>3</sub> desorption from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface is only 0.12 eV. Electronic structure analysis indicates that Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> shows metallic characteristics, which ensures the efficiently transferring of electrons between Mo and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulations indicated that Mo atom can be stably immobilized on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> substrate to prevent its aggregation into Mo clusters. Further analysis illustrates that hydrogen adsorption is not favor on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. It should be avoided mixing with the extra gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, and O<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> source for NRR on Mo/Ti2NO2 surface. These predictions offer a new opportunity for electrocatalysis synthesizing of NH<sub>3</sub> by N<sub>2</sub> reduction in the future.

# Introduction

NH<sub>3</sub> is an important carbon-free energy carrier due to it large hydrogen capacity and higher energy density.<sup>1-4</sup> It has been widely applied in various fields, such as fertilizers, resins, textile and dyes.<sup>5-8</sup> To date, the large-scale production of NH<sub>3</sub> mainly rely on Haber-Bosch process. However, the high reaction temperature (300~550 °C) and pressure (100~300 atm) make this process requiring heavy energy consumption.<sup>9-10</sup> Recent years, encouraging by the biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation (N<sub>2</sub>+6H<sup>+</sup>+6e<sup>-</sup>=2NH<sub>3</sub>) via nitrogenase under ambient conditions through multiple proton and electron transfer steps, electrochemical N2 reduction reaction (NRR) method has appeared, which just requires atmospheric pressure and room temperature for NRR.11-13 NRR through electrochemical makes energy consumption very low and the yield of NH<sub>3</sub> can be high by utilizing the N<sub>2</sub> in air as nitrogen source and controlling the applied voltage and the reaction conditions.<sup>14-17</sup> Till now, there are remain lots of challenges for electrochemical process of NRR due to the lack of efficient NRR electrocatalysts. Therefore, searching for high NRR electrocatalysts is important for electrochemical NRR industry.<sup>15,18-23</sup> Transition metal-based eletrocatalysts, including pure metals,<sup>24-26</sup> metal oxides,<sup>27-28</sup> polymers,<sup>29</sup> play an important role in eletrocatalysis and have been reported both experimentally and theoretically for electrochemical NRR. Recent years, the single atom catalysts (SACs) with efficient substrates have draw much attention where the single atom was served as active center and the substrate served as the electrical conductor.<sup>30-32</sup> Noble metalbased materials are efficient electrocatalysts for NRR, but the high price and the scarcity limit their large-scale applications in ammonia industry. It is desirable to find alternatives to noble metal-based materials for NRR. For example, Ling and coauthors found that single Mo atom supported on the graphitic-carbon nitride possesses highly activity for NRR (with the overpotential of 0.20 V),<sup>33</sup> Ji et al. reported that the overpotential of boron-interstitial (B<sub>int</sub>)-doped  $C_2N$  for NRR is as low as 0.15 V. <sup>34</sup>

For SACs, the substrate is one of key factors for NRR performance.<sup>35,36</sup> Ideal substrate should be able fix single atom, possess superior stability and excellent conductivity. 2D MXenes, with a general formula of  $M_{n+1}X_nT_x$ , where M stands for early transition metal, X stands for C or N,  $T_x$  is the surface functional groups, O\*, OH\* or F\*, and n=1 to 3,<sup>37,42</sup> could be as potential as substrates in electro-chemistry due to their superior chemical stability.<sup>43,44</sup> For example, Pandey et al. have successfully synthesized Ag anchor on Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>x</sub> and found that the binding strength between Ag atom and Ti<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>x</sub> MXene is strong.<sup>45</sup> Besides, Pt<sup>46</sup> and Pd<sup>47</sup> anchored on MXenes have been investigated in electrocatalysis. Transition metals (TMs), such as Mo is an important element in N<sub>2</sub> fixation at ambient conditions in biological systems.<sup>48,49</sup> Mo based electrocatalysts have exhibited excellent performances for NRR.<sup>33,50-54</sup> Gao et al. investigated TM (TM=Sc, Ti, Fe, Co or Ni) anchored on  $Ti_3C_2O_2$  MXenes as single metal electrocatalyst for NRR and revealed that different TM atoms delivered different overpotentials, ranging from 0.68 to 2.33 V.<sup>51</sup>

These literatures show that TM (including Mo) dispersed on MXenes surface possesses excellent NRR performances. However there are still lacks of reports about the TM (especially Mo) dispersed on  $M_2NO_2$  MXenes for NRR. Therefore, in this work, we investigated catalytic performances of single TM (TM=Mo, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni or Cu) atom anchored on the 2D M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M=Ti, V or Cr) as electrocatalysts for NRR based on the density functional theory calculations (DFT). Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> standing out among studied TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> due to the strong binding strength between Mo and adsorbed N2 (-1.05 eV) by side-on adsorption. Furthermore, Mo/Ti2NO2 exhibits an ultrahigh catalytic activity with low overpotential of NRR ( $\eta_{NRR}$ ) of 0.16 V via enzymatic mechanism, indicating that NRR can efficiently proceed on the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. Moreover, the reaction Gibbs free energy of NH<sub>3</sub> desorption from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface is 0.12 eV, ensuring the rapid removal of NH<sub>3</sub> from the catalyst surface. In addition, the metallic characteristics of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> guarantee the efficiency of transferring of electrons between Mo and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulations (AIMD) suggested that Mo atom can be stably anchored on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> substrate to prevent its aggregation into Mo clusters. Furthermore, hydrogen adsorption is not favor on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. In addition, it should be avoided mixing with the extra gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, and O<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> source for NRR on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. In a word, this work provides an opportunity for electrocatalysis synthesizing NH<sub>3</sub> through N<sub>2</sub> reduction in the future.

#### **Computational details**

All calculations were performed by using Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP)<sup>55,56</sup> based on DFT. The generalized-gradient approximation potential<sup>57</sup> in the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof<sup>58</sup> was used. The projector-augmented wave<sup>59</sup> method was applied to describe the pseudopotentials. The electronic configurations of Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Mo, Ti, V, Cr, O, N, F and H are [Ar]3*p*<sup>6</sup>3*d*<sup>5</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, [Ar]3*d*<sup>6</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, [Ar]3*d*<sup>7</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, [Ar]3*d*<sup>8</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, [Ar]3*d*<sup>10</sup>4*s*<sup>1</sup>, [Kr]5*p*<sup>5</sup>4*d*<sup>5</sup>4*s*<sup>2</sup>, [Ar]3*d*<sup>3</sup>4*s*<sup>1</sup>, [Ar]3*p*<sup>6</sup>3*d*<sup>4</sup>4*s*<sup>1</sup>, [Ar]3*p*<sup>6</sup>3*d*<sup>5</sup>4*s*<sup>1</sup>, 2*s*<sup>2</sup>2*p*<sup>4</sup>, 2*s*<sup>2</sup>2*p*<sup>3</sup>, 2*s*<sup>2</sup>2*p*<sup>5</sup>, and 1*s*<sup>2</sup>, respectively. In all calculations, the van der Waals interaction was considered by using the empirical correction in Grimme's scheme, that is, DFT+D3.<sup>60</sup> The energy cutoff is set to 500 eV for all calculation cases, and gammacentered *k* mesh is 9×9×2 for the unit cell of M<sub>2</sub>N and M<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>x</sub> (M=Ti, V, Cr), and is set as 4×4×1 for N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and intermediate configurations. The convergence tolerance for the residual force on each atom during structural relaxation was set to 0.01 eV/Å and the energy difference between two consecutive self-consistent calculations is less than 10<sup>-4</sup> eV, respectively. The

vacuum space in the z-direction (perpendicular to the surface) was larger than 20 Å to avoid the interaction for the periodic condition. The calculations of Gibbs free energy of each elemental step ( $\Delta G$ ) of NRR are adopted following the works of Nørskov et al.<sup>61, 62</sup> For each elemental step,  $\Delta G$  is computed by eq (1):

$$\Delta G = \Delta E_{\rm DFT} + \Delta E_{\rm ZPE} - T\Delta S + eU + \Delta G_{\rm pH}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta E_{\text{DFT}}$  is the adsorption energy of a specific step.  $\Delta E_{\text{ZPE}}$  and  $\Delta S$  are the difference of zero point energies and the difference of entropy between the adsorbed state and the free-standing state, respectively, which are referred to the latest works about NRR.<sup>50,51</sup> The calculated values of zero point energy and the entropy are derived from the previous work.<sup>50</sup> T was set as room temperature, 298K in this work. *e*U is the contribution from the electrode potential.  $\Delta G_{\text{pH}}$  is the contribution of pH, which is defined as  $\Delta G_{\text{pH}} = -k_{\text{B}}\text{Tln}[\text{H}^+] = \text{pH} \times k_{\text{B}}\text{ln}10$ . The adsorption energy ( $\Delta E_{\text{DFT}}$ ) of different intermediates is calculated by

$$\Delta E_{\rm DFT} = E_{\rm total} - (E_{\rm catal} + E_{\rm adsorp}) \tag{2}$$

where  $E_{\text{total}}$  and  $E_{\text{catal}}$  are the total energies of the slab with and without intermediates.  $E_{\text{adsorp}}$  is the total energy of an isolate intermediate, such as N<sub>2</sub> and \*NH. The  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  is determined by the potential limiting steps (PLS), corresponding to the most positive reaction Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G_{\text{max}}$ ) defined as eq (3)

$$\eta_{\rm NRR} = U_{\rm equ} - U_{\rm lim} \tag{3}$$

where  $U_{equ}$  is the equilibrium potential of NRR (~-0.16 V), and  $U_{lim}$  is the limiting potential, which is evaluated as  $U_{lim}=-\Delta G_{max}/e^{.50}$  The pH is set to 0 and only the electrode potential effect is taken into account in the NRR process.

The binding energy between surface terminal and  $M_2NT_x$  is defined as eq (4)

$$E_{b} = \{E(M_{2}NT_{x}) - E(M_{2}N) - E(T_{x})\}/2$$
(4)

where  $E(M_2CT_x)$ ,  $E(M_2N)$ , and  $E(T_x)$  are the total energies of MXenes with and without  $T_x$ , and the isolated  $T_x$ , respectively.<sup>63</sup>

The binding energy of TM with  $M_2NO_2$  is defined as eq (5)

$$E_{b} = E(TM/M_{2}NO_{2}) - E(M_{2}NO_{2}) - E(TM)$$
(5)

where  $E(TM/M_2NO_2)$ ,  $E(M_2NO_2)$ , and E(TM) are the total energies of  $M_2NO_2$  MXenes with and without TM, and the isolated TM, respectively.<sup>64</sup>

#### **Results and discussion**

# Basic properties of M<sub>2</sub>N MXenes and surface terminal M<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>x</sub>

It is necessary to study the basic properties of  $M_2N$  MXenes and surface terminal  $M_2NT_x$  before investigating the NRR performances of TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Although the family of M<sub>2</sub>N MXenes is large,

to date, only small part of  $M_2N$  MXenes have been successfully synthesized by etching MAN phases, for example, Ti<sub>2</sub>N and Ti<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>.<sup>41</sup> Besides, the V<sub>2</sub>N and Cr<sub>2</sub>N have been predicted synthesizing from V<sub>2</sub>GaN and Cr<sub>2</sub>GaN phases, respectively.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, in this work the M<sub>2</sub>N and M<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>x</sub> MXenes (M=Ti, V, and Cr, T<sub>x</sub>=O, F or OH) are selected to study their NRR performances. The calculated lattice parameters of M<sub>2</sub>N, M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>NF<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>N(OH)<sub>2</sub> (M= Ti, V and Cr) are listed in Table S1. One can see that the values of parameter of *a* in M<sub>2</sub>N are smaller than that of the corresponding M<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>x</sub>. Whereas, the values of parameter of *c* in M<sub>2</sub>N are larger than that of the corresponding M<sub>2</sub>NT<sub>x</sub>. The c/a is smaller with the presence of surface T<sub>x</sub>. The calculated total energies of M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>NF<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>N(OH)<sub>2</sub> and the binding energies *E*<sub>b</sub> (via eq(4)) of T<sub>x</sub> with M<sub>2</sub>N MXenes with three different terminal styles are shown in Tables S2 and S3. It shows that M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> has the lowest binding energy for all M=Ti, V and Cr. The adsorption of N\* site with lowest free energy is the fcc site.

#### NRR performances of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>

Anchoring TM is an effective way to modulate the catalytic performances of MXenes.<sup>65,66</sup> There are three possible sites for TM to locate on the surface of  $M_2NO_2$  (Figure S1): TM locates on the tops of N atom (H1 site), Ti atom (H2 site), O atom (T1 site). The most stable site for TM to occupy is the H1 site with the lowest relative energies as shown in Figure 2b and Table S4. Therefore, the TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> model, is built as O\* locates in the fcc site of M<sub>2</sub>N surface, and the anchored TM atom occupies at the H1 site of M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. The corresponding calculated binding energies (via eq (5)) are presented in Table S5. It shows that Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> has the lowest binding energy (-1.71 eV) among the studied TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.

Based on above results, the catalytic activity of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> for NRR is investigated. For NRR, there are two configurations, the side-on and end-on configurations. For each configuration, alternating and distal mechanisms for the end-on configuration, and enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms for the side-on configuration, respectively, are taken into account as shown in Figure 1. For distal and consecutive mechanisms, the proton-electron pairs (H<sup>+</sup>+e<sup>-</sup>) first attack one of N atom to form a NH<sub>3</sub> and then attack another N atom to form second NH<sub>3</sub> (Figures 1b and 1d). While for alternating and enzymatic mechanisms, the H<sup>+</sup>+e<sup>-</sup> attack two adsorbed N atoms and form NH<sub>3</sub> successively (Figures 1a and 1c).<sup>29,43,44</sup> More reaction details of the four mechanisms are provided in equations S1 to S21 (Supplementary Information). The intermediate structures of NRR on TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> via the end-on and side on configurations are shown in Figures S2 and S3.



Figure 1. Schematic of (a) alternating, (b) distal, (c) enzymatic, and (d) consecutive mechanisms for  $N_2$  reduction to  $NH_3$  on  $Mo/Ti_2NO_2$  surface. The \* represent active sites for NRR.

Now, the NRR performances of Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are investigated. The reaction Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) of each step through alternating, distal, enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms of TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> systems are presented in Tables S6 and S7. The calculated  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> with and without TM anchored are displayed in Table S8 and Figure 2c. The  $\Delta G$  diagrams for NRR on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface are shown in Figure S4. Results indicated that the minimum applied voltage is -2.60 V for NRR on pure Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> via distal mechanism, with the corresponding  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of 2.44 V. This ultrahigh value suggests that pure Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface is not favor for NRR (as shown in Figure 2a). It also shows that all of NRR on TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface obey the enzymatic mechanism except Cu/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> system (which follows the alternating mechanism), and the  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are lower than that of the pure Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. It indicates that TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> promotes the NRR comparing to the pure Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. More surprisingly, the superior low  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  (0.16 V) of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is obtained, which is the lowest value among studied TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> systems.



**Figure 2**. (a) Schematic of NRR on  $Ti_2NO_2$  and  $TM/Ti_2NO_2$  surface, (b) relative energies of TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> at H1, H2 and T1 sites, (c)  $\eta_{NRR}$  of the pure  $Ti_2NO_2$  and  $TM/Ti_2NO_2$  surface, (d)  $\eta_{NRR}$  against the electrons of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> received, (e)  $\eta_{NRR}$  against the electrons of TM losing, and (f) densities of states of *d* orbitlal of TM (TM=Mo, Mn, and Fe).

The electrons of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> received and TM losing (based on Bader charge analysis<sup>67</sup>) are collected and presented in Table S8. The results of  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  against the electrons of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> received and TM losing are shown in Figures 2d and 2e. For the pure Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> system, only 0.03 *e* electrons are transferred from the adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> to O\*, indicating that the weak bonding strength of the O\* with adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> and thus leads to high  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. The electrons transferred from TM to adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> increasing after TM anchored on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface and the electrons of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> received are varied from 0.52 to 0.19 *e* in the order of Mo to Cu (Figure 2d and Table S8), while the electrons of TM losing are ranged from 1.58 to 0.87 *e* (Figure 2e), with the

corresponding values of  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  from 0.16 to 1.42 V. A linear relationship between  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  and the electrons of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> received is observed with the correlation coefficient R<sup>2</sup> of 0.81, indicating that the NRR activity of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> gradually deceases from Mo to Cu. The more electrons of TM atom losing, the higher NRR performances of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> can be achieved (Figure 2e). It needs at least 6 electrons to fully drive NRR, thus more electrons of TM losing and adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> receiving will be more favorable to NRR. Therefore, the best NRR performances of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> among studied TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> ascribes to the largest electrons of Mo losing after anchored on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. The calculated densities of states (DOSs) of *d* orbital of TM (TM=Mo, Mn and Fe) suggest that the *d* orbital level shifts transfer to lower energy from Mo to Fe (Figure 2f). The difference of *d* orbital occupation leads to the difference of bonding strength of TM with adsorbed N<sub>2</sub>, and therefore causing to the various performances of NRR of TM/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.

## NRR performances of Mo/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>

Next, the NRR performances of Mo/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are further evaluated. The inert N=N triple bond is the prerequisite for an efficient NRR process.<sup>68</sup> Therefore the adsorption properties of N<sub>2</sub> on Mo/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M=Cr, V, and Ti) are first investigated. In the side-on configuration, both two N atoms bonding with Mo atom (Figure 3b), while only one of N atom interacts with Mo atom in the end-on configuration (Figure 3a). The calculated adsorption energies of N<sub>2</sub> adsorption through side-on and end-on configurations are listed in Table S9 with values of -1.05 and -0.94 eV, respectively, which are lower than those corresponding values in Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (-0.28 and -0.36 eV) and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (-0.52 and -0.37 eV). The N=N bond length is elongated to 1.195 (Figure 3b) and 1.140 Å (Figure 3a) compared to that of isolated N<sub>2</sub> molecule (1.113 Å) for side-on and end-on configurations of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. These results suggest that the N=N triple bond can be activated once the N<sub>2</sub> adsorbs on the surface of Mo/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure 3**. Adsorption of N<sub>2</sub> molecule on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface, (a) and (c) the side and top views via end-on configuration, (b) and (d) the side and top views via side-on configuration (N–N bond lengths of adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> and charge transfer from Mo to N<sub>2</sub> are also presented), (e) densities of states of Mo/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M=Ti, V, and Cr), reaction Gibbs free energy diagrams of NRR on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> through (f) alternating, (g) distal, (h) enzymatic, and (i) consecutive mechanisms under different applied potentials.

The calculated ( $\Delta G$ ) diagrams for NRR through alternating, distal, enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are presented in Figures 3f to 3i. The first two steps, N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and reduction into \*N<sub>2</sub>H along the alternating and distal mechanisms are the same. The first two steps, N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and reduction into \*NH-\*N along enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms are also the same. It shows that  $\Delta G$  of the first step of N<sub>2</sub> adsorption via end-on configuration is -0.32 eV for alternating and distal mechanisms (Figures 3f and 3g), suggesting that the N<sub>2</sub> molecule can be stably anchored on the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. The second step of the generation of \*NNH species is endothermic with  $\Delta G=0.58$  eV. The PLS of the alternating and distal mechanisms are the \*NH-NH<sub>2</sub> to \*NH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> and the hydrogenation of \*N<sub>2</sub> to \*NNH with  $\Delta G$  of 0.72 eV (Figure 3f) and 0.58 eV (Figure 3g), respectively. The  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of the end-on configuration are 0.56 V (for alternating mechanism) and 0.42 V (for distal mechanism), these high  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  indicate that end-on configuration of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is inefficient for NRR. The  $\Delta G$  for N<sub>2</sub> adsorption via side-on configuration (for enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms) is -0.28 eV (Figures 3h and 3i). The  $\Delta G$  value for the second step to generate \*NH-\*N via side-on pathway is 0.32 eV, which lower than the value (0.58 eV) via end-on pathway. This result reveals that the first hydrogenation step is easier via side-on pathway than that via the end-on pathway. The PLS of the enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms are the hydrogenation of \*N-\*N to \*NH-\*N and hydrogenation of \*NH2 to \*NH3, with corresponding  $\Delta G$  values of 0.32 eV (Figure 3h) and 0.33 eV (Figure 3i), respectively. The  $\eta_{\rm NRR}$ through enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms are 0.16 and 0.17 V, respectively. These ultralow  $\eta_{\rm NRR}$  are much lower that the best NRR catalysts, <sup>33,50</sup> indicating that the side-on configuration of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> possesses high catalytic activity for NRR. We also calculated the NRR performances of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> under 3×3 supercells, and results are given in Figure S5. It shows that the both of  $3\times 3$  and  $2\times 2$  supercells deliver equal overpotential, indicating that the results based on from  $2 \times 2$  supercells are reliable. The calculated band structures, density of states (DOSs), and partial densities of states (pDOSs) of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figures 3e, S6 and S7. Result indicates that Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> show metallic characteristics (Figure 3e), which will ensure efficient transfer of electrons during the NRR process. Furthermore, the DOSs near Fermi level are mainly derived from Mo s, d orbitals and O p orbital (Figure S7). Its indicates that the Mo promotes the transfer ability of electrons and therefore improves the NRR activity of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. The DOSs and pDOSs of Ti<sub>2</sub>N and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figure S8. It shows that Ti<sub>2</sub>N and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are show metallic characteristics. The DOSs of  $Ti_2N$  near Fermi level are contributed from Ti s, p, and d orbitals. The hybridization of DOSs of Mo d orbital with N 2p orbital are further studied and shown in Figure 4. One can see that the occupation of N  $\pi^*$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  orbitals shift to lower energy level. Moreover, the energy levels of Mo d orbital and N  $\pi^*$  and  $\sigma$  orbitals of two N<sub>2</sub> adsorptions styles are matched leading to partial occupation of the d- $\pi^*$  and d- $\sigma$ . Consequently, the hybridization of Mo d with  $\pi^*$  and  $\sigma$  orbitals results in a splitting of N<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$ : part of the  $\pi^*$  shiting up on the Fermi level, and the other part moving down the Fermi level. The occupation of N<sub>2</sub>  $\pi^*$ lowering the bond energy of N≡N triple bond, resulting the elongation of N≡N bond lengths, from 1.113 to 1.195 Å for side-on adsorption and 1.113 to 1.140 Å for end-on adsorption, with the corresponding electron gains of N atom  $(N_2)$  are 0.34 and 0.52 e, respectively. Definitely, the changes of N=N bond lengths enhances the adsorption ability of N<sub>2</sub> on the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface.

Charge density difference of  $Mo/Ti_2NO_2$  with adsorption of  $N_2$  is shown in Figures 4c and d. It can be observed that the charge transfer occurred between Mo atom and adsorbed  $N_2$  in both the side-on (Figure 4c) and end-on (Figure 4d) configurations. As it is well known, the amount of the charge transfer from one species to another relies on the relative ability of electron accepting and donating. For  $N_2$  adsorption on  $Mo/Ti_2NO_2$  surface, the Mo atom will donate abundant electrons to  $N_2$  anti-bonding orbital, and then promotes the  $N_2$  reduction.



**Figure 4.** Projected densities of states (pDOSs) and schematic illustrations of 3*d* orbital of Mo in  $Ti_2NO_2$ , 2*p*-orbital of  $N_2$  gas molecule, and the interaction between  $N_2$  and Mo after  $N_2$  adsorbed on Mo/ $Ti_2NO_2$  surface via (a) side-on and (b) end-on configurations. Charge density difference of Mo/ $Ti_2NO_2$  with the adsorption of  $N_2$  via (c) end-on, and (d) side-on configurations, the positive and negative charges are shown in yellow and cyan, respectively.

For a specific NRR catalyst, the NRR performance depends not only on the ability of NRR process, but also on desorption of NH<sub>3</sub> from the surface of catalyst. It can be seen that  $\Delta G$  for NH<sub>3</sub> desorption are 0.12 eV for end-on and side-on configurations (Figures 3f to 3i). Moreover, comparing with the latest NRR catalysts, Mo supported on BN<sup>14</sup> and V<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>,<sup>69</sup> the  $\Delta G$  of NH<sub>3</sub> desorption are 0.92 and 0.70 eV, respectively, thus the desorption of NH<sub>3</sub> from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>

surface are rapidly. The easy adsorption of  $N_2$  and the rapidly desorption of  $NH_3$  on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface confirm that the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> possesses the excellent NRR performances. The calculated  $\Delta G$  diagrams for NRR of Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figures S9 and S10, respectively. It shows that Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> proceeding NRR via the enzymatic and consecutive mechanisms, respectively. The first step of  $N_2$  molecule adsorption is exothermic, with  $\Delta G$  of -0.19 for Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and -0.11 eV for Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, while the second step (protonation) is endothermic with  $\Delta G$  of 0.54 and 0.16 eV. The PLSs for Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are the hydrogenation of \*N-\*N to \*NH-\*N and \*NH<sub>2</sub>-\*NH to \*NH<sub>2</sub>-\*NH<sub>2</sub>, respectively, with the corresponding  $\Delta G_{\text{max}}$  of -0.54 (Figure S9) and -0.38 eV (Figure S10), respectively. Although the calculated DOSs indicate that Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> show meallic characteristics (Figure 3e), the  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  for Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are 0.38 V and 0.22 V, respectively, which are higher than that of Mo/Ti2NO2. The DOSs of M2NO2 MXenes are provided with considering spin-polarization (Figure S11). Similar results, metallic characteristics of M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, could be observed. AIMD simulations were performed to simulate the stability of  $Mo/Ti_2CO_2$ , and results are given in Figure S12. It shows that the structure of  $Mo/Ti_2CO_2$  can be stable under the NRR temperature (300K). There is another possibility that the Mo atoms will form Mo clusters (Mo<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>9</sub>) after they are dispersed and anchored on the surface of Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> due to the interaction between Mo atoms. AIMD simulations were performed to simulate the stability of Mo<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>9</sub> clusters on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface, and results are shown in Figure S13. The clusters of Mo<sub>2</sub> and Mo<sub>9</sub> are interrupted (Figures S13b, c, i, and j) when running for 1 ps. Mo tends to disperse into single isolated Mo atoms and anchored on the Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface (bonding with O atoms) after 3ps simulation. These results illustrated that single Mo atom anchored on the  $Ti_2NO_2$  cannot aggregate into clusters even if the temperature up to 500K. We also have studied the cohesive energy (via the definition of  $E_{\rm coh} = (E_{\rm M(bulk)} - nE_{\rm TM})/n$ ) and energy difference ( $\Delta E_b$ ) (via the definition of  $\Delta E_b = E_b - E_{coh}$ ) between  $E_b$  and  $E_{coh}$ .<sup>70</sup> Results shows that  $\Delta E_b$  of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is -0.41 eV, indicating that the single Mo atom can be stably anchored on  $Ti_2NO_2$  substrate.



**Figure 5.** Valence charges of intermediates during NRR on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface via (a) end-on and (b) side-on adsorption styles, the N–N bonds length of intermediates during NRR via (c) end-on and (d) side-on adsorptions, where the bond length increases linearly before N<sub>2</sub> was broken, (e) reaction Gibbs free energies of hydrogen (H<sup>+</sup>) and N<sub>2</sub> adsorption, and (f) the adsorption energies of potential extra gas on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface.

The quantitative charge transfers of intermediates based on Bader charge analysis are shown in Figures 5a and b and Table S10. For an isolated N<sub>2</sub> molecule, the two N atoms gain or lose 0.091e, respectively. The N<sub>2</sub> gains 0.34e and 0.52e when adsorbed on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface via the end-on and side-on configurations, respectively. The more thoroughly is the NRR on catalyst surface, the higher valence charges of N in \*N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>y</sub>. For example, the valence charges of two N atoms are 1.25 and 0.44 *e* in \*N-\*NH species, respectively, while these values are 2.38 and 2.41 *e* in \*NH<sub>2</sub>-\*NH<sub>2</sub> species. The bond lengths present a linearly increasing from N<sub>2</sub> molecule to \*NH<sub>2</sub>- NH<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5c) and \*NH-\*NH<sub>2</sub> (Figure 5d), indicating that the gradual stretching with hydrogenation. Moreover, the valence charges of N approach to 3*e* when hydrogenation to \*NH<sub>2</sub>-\*NH<sub>3</sub> (\*NH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub>), and the corresponding N-N bond lengths are approximately to 3.0Å, indicating that the NH<sub>3</sub> will form and release from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. The results of valence charges and N–N bond lengths of intermediates of NRR on Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surfaces are shown in Figures S14 and S15. Results show that they have similar charge transfer trends with that of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. However, the higher  $\eta_{NRR}$  restricts the NRR performances of Mo/V<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> and Mo/Cr<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is the best efficient catalyst for electrochemical NRR among studied TM/M<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>. One should note that the energies of the final state in Figures 3, S4, S5, S9 and S10 are different. The reason caused such results is that the energy of the initial state (+N<sub>2</sub>) is catalyst dependent, but was set to be zero as a reference for all reactions for comparison.

# Potential side reactions on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface

Theoretically, the active sites of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> can be occupied immediately by N<sub>2</sub> to form \*N<sub>2</sub> once  $NH_3$  desorbing from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface. However, if there are sufficient protons (H<sup>+</sup>) in the vicinity of the active sites of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, the H<sup>+</sup> will be adsorbed on the surface and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) will be occurred, which will poison the active sites of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface and lower the efficiency of NRR.<sup>71, 72</sup> On the other hand, the catalyst can also be poisoned if extra gases mixed in the N2 source (such as CO2, and O2) and adsorbed on the active sites of catalyst. Therefore, it is necessary to study the adsorption properties of hydrogen and other gases on the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface to evaluate its resistance ability to be poisoned. There are two different sites for H adsorption, T1 and T2 sites, as schematically are shown in Figure S16. The Gibbs free energy of hydrogen adsorption ( $\Delta G_{H^*}$ ) on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figure 5e. It shows that  $\Delta G_{H^*}$ are 0.94 and 0.18 eV, respectively, for T1 and T2 sites with the corresponding overpotentials of 0.94 and 0.18 V, which are larger than that of NRR (0.16 and 0.17 V for end-on and side-on adsorptions, respectively). This result suggests that Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> substrate is not in favor for the hydrogen adsorption. For multi-atom molecules (NO, NO2, SO2, SO, CO, and NO2), there are three potential adsorption ways labeled as E1, E2 and S1 (Figure S17), respectively. There are two adsorption styles of  $O_2$ , labeled as E2 and S1, respectively. The adsorption energies of gas molecules (including NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub>) on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface is evaluated via eq (2) and results are shown in Figure 5f. The adsorption energies of CO<sub>2</sub> with three adsorptions and CO with E2 and S1 sites are larger (higher than -1.05 eV) than that of N<sub>2</sub> adsorption, while the corresponding values of other gases (NO2, NO, SO2, SO, and O2) are lower than that of N<sub>2</sub> adsorption. These results indicate that the bonding strength between the adsorbed gas molecules (NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, and O<sub>2</sub>) and Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface are stronger than that between  $N_2$  and Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface except CO<sub>2</sub> and CO at E2 and S1 sites. The stronger bonding strength of these gas molecules could erode the active sites of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, leading to the poison of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface for NRR. Thus, it should be avoided mixing of the gas molecules such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, and O<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> source for NRR on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface according to this studied results.

## Conclusions

In summary, we performed density functional theory calculations to predict the catalytic performances of single TM atom anchored on the 2D M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface for electrochemical N<sub>2</sub> reduction into NH<sub>3</sub>. Results show that the bonding strength between Mo atom and M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is strong. The adsorption energy of N<sub>2</sub> on Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is -1.05 eV via the side-on configuration. Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> exhibits an excellent performance via enzymatic mechanism with overpotential of only 0.16 V among studied TM/M<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, Gibbs reaction free energy of the NH<sub>3</sub> desorption from Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is  $\Delta G$ =0.12 eV, implying that NH<sub>3</sub> can be easily released. Moreover, the metallic characteristics of Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> will ensure the efficiency of electrons transferring between Mo and Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> as well. AIMD results indicate that Mo atom can be stably anchored on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> substrate to prevent its aggregation into Mo clusters. Further analysis shows that hydrogen adsorption is not in favor on the Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> surface, and it should be avoided mixing the gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, SO<sub>2</sub>, SO, and O<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> source for NRR. The low overpotential for NRR, rapid desorption of NH<sub>3</sub>, and excellent electrical conductivity can ensure Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> as an efficient catalyst for electrochemical NRR.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong, China, Grant No. ZR2014EMM013 and No.ZR2014EMQ009, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Grant No.HIT.KITP.2014030. This work was carried out at LvLiang Cloud Computing Center of China, and the calculations were performed on TianHe-2.

#### References

J. W. Erisman, M. A. Sutton, J. Galloway, Z. Klimont and W. Winiwarter, Nat. Geosci., 2008,

1,636.

- 2 R. Schlögl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 2004–2008.
- J. N. Galloway, A. R. Townsend, J. W. Erisman, M. Bekunda, Z. Cai, J. R. Freney, L. A. Martinelli, S. P. Seitzinger and M. A. Sutton, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 889–892.
- 4 D. E. Canfield, A. N. Glazer and P. G. Falkowski, *Science*, 2010, **330**, 192–196.
- 5 A. Fuerte, R. X. Valenzuela, M. J. Escudero and L. Daza, *J. Power Sources.*, 2009, 192, 170– 174.
- 6 A. Afif, N. Radenahmad, Q. Cheok, S. Shams, J. H. Kim and A. K. Azad, *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.*, 2016, **60**, 822–835.
- 7 V. Rosca, M. Duca, M. T. de Groot and M. T. Koper, Chem. Rev.; 2009, 109, 2209–2244.
- 8 S. Licht, B. Cui, B. Wang, F. F. Li, J. Lau and S. Liu, Science, 2014, 345, 637–640.
- 9 M. M. Shi, D. Bao, B. R. Wulan, Y. H. Li, Y. F. Zhang, J. M. Yan and Q. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, No. 1606550.
- 10 H. Liu, Chin. J. Catal., 2014, 35, 1619–1640.
- K. M. Lancaster, Y. Hu, U. Bergmann, M. W. Ribbe and S. DeBeer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 610–612.
- 12 J. A. Wiig, Y. Hu, C. C. Lee and M. W. Ribbe, Science, 2012, 337, 1672–1675.
- 13 R. Dixon and D. Kahn, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2004, 2, 621.
- 14 J. Zhao and Z. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 12480–12487.
- 15 Z. W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C. F. Dickens, I. Chorkendorff, J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *Science*, 2017, **355**, No. eaad4998.
- 16 X. Cui, C. Tang and Q. Zhang, Adv. Energy. Mater., 2018, 8, 1800369.
- 17 S. L. Foster, S. I. P. Bakovic, R. D. Duda, S. Maheshwari, R. D. Milton, S. D. Minteer, M. J. Janik, J. N. Renner and L. F. Greenlee, *Nat. Cata.*, 2018, 1, 490–500.
- 18 C. Guo, J. Ran, A. Vasileff and S.-Z. Qiao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, 11, 45–56.
- 19 L. Yang, T. Wu, R. Zhang, H. Zhou, L. Xia, X. Shi, H. Zheng, Y. Zhang and X. Sun, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 1555–1562.
- 20 J. Zhao, L. Zhang, X. Y. Xie, X. Li, Y. Ma, Q. Liu, W. H. Fang, X. Shi, G. Cui and X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 24031–24035.
- 21 L. Zhang, X. Ren, Y. Luo, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri, T. Li and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 12966–12969.
- 22 X. Ren, J. Zhao, Q. Wei, Y. Ma, H. Guo, Q. Liu, Y. Wang, G. Cui, A. M. Asiri, B. Li, B. Tang and X. Sun, *ACS Cent. Sci.* 2019, **5**, 116–121.
- 23 T. Wu, W. Kong, Y. Zhang, Z. Xing, J. Zhao, T. Wang, X. Shi, Y. Luo and X. Sun, *Small Methods*. 2019, 1900356.
- 24 Y. Abghoui and E. Skulason, Catal. Today, 2017, 286, 78-84.
- 25 X. Zhang, D. F. Wu and D. J. Cheng, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, 246, 572–579.
- 26 H. L. Dong, Y. Y. Li and D. E. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 11392–11398.
- 27 A. B. Hoskuldsson, Y. Abghoui, A. B. Gunnarsdottir and E. Skulason, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 10327–10333.
- 28 J. Li, H. Zhou, H. Zhuo, Z. Wei, G. Zhuang, X. Zhong, S. Deng, X. Li and J. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 2264–2272
- 29 G. F. Chen, X. Cao, S. Wu, X. Zeng, L. X. Ding, M. Zhu and H. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 9771–9774.

- 30 L. Fan, P. F. Liu, X. Yan, L. Gu, Z. Z. Yang, H. G. Yang, S. Qiu and X. Yao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, No. 10667.
- 31 Q. Cheng, L. Yang, L. Zou, Z. Zou, C. Chen, Z. Hu and H. Yang, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6864–6871.
- 32 L. Zhang, Y. Jia, G. Gao, X. Yan, N. Chen, J. Chen, M. T. Soo, B. Wood, D. Yang, A. Du and Y. D. Yao, *Chem*, 2018, 4, 285–297.
- 33 C. Y. Ling, X. H. Niu, Q. Li, A. J. Du and J. L. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 14161–14168.
- 34 S. Ji, Z. X. Wang and J. X. Zhao. J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 2392-2399.
- 35 F. Chen, X. Jiang, L. Zhang, R. Lang and B. Qiao, Chin. J. Catal., 2018, 39, 893–898.
- 36 S. Back, J. Lim, N. Y. Kim, Y. H. Kim and Y. Jung, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1090–1096.
- M. Naguib, M. Kurtoglu, V. Presser, J. Lu, J. Niu, M. Heon, L. Hultman, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 4248–4253.
- 38 M. Naguib, O. Mashtalir, J. Carle, V. Presser, J. Lu, L. Hultman, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, ACS Nano, 2012, 6, 1322–1331.
- 39 M. Naguib, V. N. Mochalin, M. W. Barsoum and Y. Gogotsi, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 992– 1005.
- 40 M. Ghidiu, M. R. Lukatskaya, M. Q. Zhao, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, *Nature*, 2014, 516, 78–81.
- 41 B. Anasori, M. R. Lukatskaya and Y. Gogotsi, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2017, 2, 16098.
- N. K. Chaudhari, H. Jin, B. Kim, S. D. Baek, S. H. Joo and K. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 24564–24579.
- 43 M. Shao, Y. Shao, W. Chen, K. L. Ao, R. Tong, Q. Zhu, I. N. Chan, W. F. Ip, X. Shi and H. Pan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 14504–14512.
- 44 Y. Gao, Y. Cao, H. Zhuo, X. Sun, Y. Gu, G. Zhuang, S. Deng, X. Zhong, Z. Wei, X. Li and J. Wang, Catal. Today, 2018, 10.1016/j.cattod.2018.12.029.
- 45 R. P. Pandey, K. Rasool, V. E. Madhavan, B. Aissa, Y. Gogotsi and K. A. Mahmoud, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 3522–3533.
- 46 L. Lorencova, T. Bertok, J. Filip, M. Jerigova, D. Velic, P. Kasak, K. A. Mahmoud and J. Tkac, *Sensors Actuat. B*, 2018, **263**, 360–368.
- 47 B. B. Cui, B. Hu, J. M. Liu, M. H. Wang, Y. P. Song, K. Tian, Z. H. Zhang and L. H. He, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces.*, 2018, **10**, 23858–23873.
- 48 B. M. Hoffman, D. R. Dean and L. C. Seefeldt, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 609–619.
- 49 D. V. Yandulov and R. R. Schrock, Science, 2003, 301, 76–78
- 50 C. Y. Ling, X. W. Bai, Y. X. Ouyang, A. J. Du, and J. L. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 16842–16847.
- 51 Y. J. Gao, H. Zhuo, Y. Y. Cao, X. Sun, G. L. Zhuang, S. W. Deng, X. Zhong, Z. Z. Wei, Jianguo Wang. Chin. J. Catal., 2019, 40, 152–159.
- 52 Q. Li, L. He, C. Sun and X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 27563–27568.
- 53 H. Cheng, L. X. Ding, G. F. Chen, L. Zhang, J. Xue and H. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, 30, e1803694.
- 54 P. Ou, X. Zhou, F. Meng, C. Chen, Y. Chen, and J. Song, *Nanoscale*, 2019,11, 13600-13611.
- 55 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci., 1996, 6, 15–50.
- 56 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1996, 54,

11169-11186.

- 57 J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh and C. Fiolhais, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1992, **46**, 6671–6687.
- 58 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- 59 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1999, 59, 1758-1775.
- 60 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and S. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010,132, 154104.
- 61 J. Rossmeisl, A. Logadottir and J. K. Nørskov, Chem. Phys., 2005, 319, 178–184.
- 62 A. A. Peterson, F. Abild-Pedersen, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl and J. K. Nørskov, *Energy Environ*. *Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 1311–1315.
- 63 Y. W. Cheng, J. H. Dai, Y. M. Zhang and Yan Song, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 28113–28122.
- 64 L. Li, B. Li, Q. Guo and B. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 14501–14507.
- 65 J. Zhang, Y. Zhao, X. Guo, C. Chen, C. L. Dong, R. S. Liu, C. P. Han, Y. Li, Y. Gogotsi and G. Wang, *Nat. Catal*, 2018, 1, 985–992.
- 66 L. Li , X. Wang, H. Guo, G. Yao, H. Yu, Z. Tian , B. Li, L. Chen, Small Methods, 2019, 1900337.
- 67 G. Henkelman, A. Arnaldsson and H. Jonsson, Comput. Mater. Sci., 2006, 36, 354–360.
- 68 E. Skúlason, T. Bligaard, S. Gudmundsdóttir, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl, F. Abild-Pedersen, T. Vegge, H. Jónsson and J. K. Nørskov, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 1235–1245.
- 69 L. M. Azofra, N. Li, D. R. MacFarlane and C. Sun, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 2545–2549.
- 70 H. R. Zhu, Y. L. Hu, S. H. Wei and D. Y. Hua, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 4274–4281.
- 71 A. R. Singh, B. A. Rohr, J. A. Schwalbe, M. Cargnello, K. Chan, T. F. Jaramillo, I. Chorkendorff and J. K. Nørskov, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 706–709.
- 72 Y. C. Hao, Y. Guo, L. W. Chen, M. Shu, X. Y. Wang, T. A. Bu, W. Y. Gao, N. Zhang, X. Su, X. Feng, J. W. Zhou, B. Wang, C. W. Hu, A. X. Yin, R. Si, Y. W. Zhang and C. H. Yan, *Nat. Cata.*, 2019, 2, 448–456.

# Table of content

Mo anchored on Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (Mo/Ti<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>) surface possesses superior NRR performances, with the overpopential  $\eta_{\text{NRR}}$  of 0.16 V via enzymatic mechanism.

