# Cobalt-Doped SnS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets towards High-Performance Anode for Sodium Ion Batteries

Liqin Wang, Quanqing Zhao, Zhitao Wang, Yujun Wu, Xilan Ma, Youqi Zhu\*, and Chuanbao Cao\*

\*Corresponding author: Research Center of Materials Science, Beijing Key Laboratory of Construction Tailorable Advanced Functional Materials and Green Applications, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China. E-mail: yqzhu@bit.edu.cn (Y. Zhu), cbcao@bit.edu.cn (C. Cao).

#### Experiment

#### Prepare of Co-doping SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC

All the chemical reagents were purchased directly and used without purification, carbon cloth was purchased from Tsukuba Materials Information Laboratory. Co-doping SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC sample was prepared by one-step hydrothermal method according to our previous report <sup>1</sup>.Typically, a piece of CC was oxidized by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 45 °C for 12 h and ultrasonic washed by acetone and ethanol for 2 h, then dried and slowly put it into Teflon-lined autoclave along the wall. 1 mmol SnCl<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3506 g), 2 mmol thioacetamide (TAA 0.1503 g) and a certain amount of CoCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved into 10 ml isopropanol and continuously stirred for 30 min, then transfer to above autoclave and sealed and maintained 160 °C for 16 h. After the reactor cool naturally to room temperature, the CC was took out and gently cleaned by deionized water and absolute ethanol for several times. After dried in 80 °C oven for overnight, then cut into small rounds with diameter of 10 mm. The weight of active material on every small round is calculated to be 1-1.5 mg.

According to the mass ratio of Co to  $\text{SnS}_2$  ((xg/0.1827g)\*100% = 0, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5% and 6%) the corresponding samples are referred to as  $\text{SnS}_2/\text{CC}$ , 1Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC, 2Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC, 3Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC, 4Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC, 5Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC and 6Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC, respectively. The contrastive specific capacities of Co doping with different concentrations of  $\text{SnS}_2/\text{CC}$  samples are measured at a current density of 0.2 A g<sup>-1</sup> with the voltage of 0.01-2.5 V and shown in Fig. S7.

Co-doping SnS<sub>2</sub> nanoflower was prepared via same synthetic method without CC support.

### *Prepare of CoS*<sub>2</sub> *particles*

2 mmol  $Co(CH_3COO)_2$ •4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.498 g) was dissloved into 35 ml deionized water and stirred for 10 min. Then added 0.5 mL ethylenediamine and continue to stir for 20 min, when the colour of solvent from red to grayish green and added 0.8 mL CS<sub>2</sub>. After stirred for 30 min, the solvent transfer to 50 mL Teflon-autoclave and reaction at 180 °C for 12 h. Finally, the autoclave naturally cool to room temperature and washed by deionized water and absolute ethyl alcohol for several times and then dried to obstain CoS<sub>2</sub> particles.

### Structural characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed to characterize the crystal phase and crystallinity of the composite on PANalytical X-pert diffractometer (Netherlands) with standard Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =0.15418 nm), the scanning range from 20° to 80° with per step of 0.02°. The morphology of samples were investigated by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM) on Hitachi S-4800

analyzer with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, EDAX, PW9900) instrument. And the microstructures were observed by High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM, JSM-2100F) using 200 kV operating voltage. The valence states of elements were studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) employing PHI Quanteral II analyzer with Al Kα source (1486.6 eV).

#### *Electrochemical Measurements*

The 2025 coin cells were assembled in the high purity argon-filled glove box with the concentrations of O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O were limited below 0.1 ppm. 1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> dissolved in dimethyl carbonate (DMC) and ethylene carbonate (EC) with volume ratio of 1:1 as electrolyte, and the glass fiber was used as the separator. The as-prepared Codoping SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC was directly as the working electrode without any binder or conductive agent, and metallic Na be used as counter electrode. The mass density of active material anchored on CC was 1.27-1.91 mg•cm<sup>-2</sup>. Besides, the contrast electrodes of Co-doping SnS2 nanoflower and CoS2 nanoparticle were prepared by coating slurry. For working eletrode was consisted of active material, super P and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) with the mass ratio of 7:2:1. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were tested by Electrochemical Workstation (CHI 660E, Chen-hua) with voltage ranging from 0.01 to 2.5 V and the scan speed was 0.1-1 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements, rate tests and long-term cycling performances were measured count on a Land battery system (LAND CT2001A). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out by potentiostat at a frequency range of 10<sup>-2</sup>-10<sup>5</sup> Hz with applicable AC voltage

amplitude of 5 mV.

## **Results and discussion**



Fig. S1 The typical synthesis principles of Co-SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC anode material.



Fig. S2 XRD pattern of as-obtained CoS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S3 XPS spectra of the Co 2p in Co-SnS $_2$ /CC sample (a) pristin and (b) after 100 cycles at charge state.



Fig. S4 SEM image of as-obtained CoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles.



Fig. S5 SEM image of as-obtained SnS<sub>2</sub>/CC sample.



Fig. S6 Energy disperse spectroscopy (EDS) of Co-SnS<sub>2</sub> sample.



Fig. S7 The contrastive specific capacities of (a)  $SnS_2/CC^1$ , (b)  $1Co-SnS_2/CC$ , (c)  $2Co-SnS_2/CC$ , (d)  $3Co-SnS_2/CC$ , (e)  $5Co-SnS_2/CC$  and (f)  $6Co-SnS_2/CC$  at 0.2 A g<sup>-1</sup> of 0.01-2.5 V.

 , a <u>o</u> nty.							
materials	current density (A g <sup>-1</sup> )	cycle number	specific capacity (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	references			
Co-SnS <sub>2</sub> /CC	0.2	100	1288	our work			
Co-SnS <sub>2</sub> /CC	2	200	800.4	our work			
SnS <sub>2</sub> @N,S-GA	0.05	100	360.5	2			
SnS₂ NS⊂HTSs	0.05	50	414	3			
$SnS_2/C$	0.05	100	600	4			
$SnS_2@C$	0.05	150	631	5			
SnS <sub>2</sub> /S-rGO	0.1	85	530	6			
SSC@SnS <sub>2</sub>	0.1	100	564	7			

Table S1 The capacity comparison of  $SnS_2$ -based materials for sodium storage capacity.

SnS <sub>2</sub> -C	0.1	100	587	8
CNT/SnS2@C	0.1	200	605	9
$SnS_2@C$	0.1	100	690	10
$MoS_2/SnS_2$ -GS	0.15	100	655	11
SnS <sub>2</sub> -NGS	0.2	100	450	12
SnS <sub>2</sub> /rGO	0.2	300	509	13
SnS <sub>2</sub> -rGO	0.2	100	627	14
SnS <sub>2</sub> -RGO	0.2	100	630	15
SnS <sub>2</sub> NC/EDA-	0.2	100	680	16
RGO	0.2			
c-SnS <sub>2</sub> NSA	0.5	100	420	17
SnS <sub>2</sub> /NGS	0.5	200	453	18
SnS <sub>2</sub> NWAs	0.5	100	510	19
SnS <sub>2</sub> -RGONRP	1	100	418	20
SnS <sub>2</sub> @3DRGO	2	500	521.8	21
SnS <sub>2</sub> /GN sheets	2.5	150	338	22



Fig. S8 SEM image of SnS<sub>2</sub> without CC substrate after 100 cyles.

#### References

1. L. Wang, J. Yuan, Q. Zhao, Z. Wang, Y. Zhu, X. Ma and C. Cao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **308**, 174-184.

2. L. Fan, X. Li, X. Song, N. Hu, D. Xiong, A. Koo and X. Sun, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 2637-2648.

J. Zhao, X. Yu, Z. Gao, W. Zhao, R. Xu, Y. Liu and H. Shen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018,
332, 548-555.

4. J. Wang, C. Luo, J. Mao, Y. Zhu, X. Fan, T. Gao, A. C. Mignerey and C. Wang,

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 11476-11481.

5. J.G. Wang, H. Sun, H. Liu, D. Jin, R. Zhou and B. Wei, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 23115-23122.

P. Zheng, Z. Dai, Y. Zhang, K. N. Dinh, Y. Zheng, H. Fan, J. Yang, R. Dangol, B. Li, Y. Zong, Q. Yan and X. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 14820-14825.

- Z. Yang, P. Zhang, J. Wang, Y. Yan, Y. Yu, Q. Wang and M. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 37434-37444.
- Y. Zhao, B. Guo, Q. Yao, J. Li, J. Zhang, K. Hou and L. Guan, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 7999-8008.
- B. Luo, Y. Hu, X. Zhu, T. Qiu, L. Zhi, M. Xiao, H. Zhang, M. Zou, A. Cao and L. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 1462-1472.
- 10. X. Li, Y. Zhao, Q. Yao and L. Guan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 270, 1-8.

11. Y. Jiang, Y. Guo, W. Lu, Z. Feng, B. Xi, S. Kai, J. Zhang, J. Feng and S. Xiong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 27697-27706.

12. Y. Jiang, Y. Feng, B. Xi, S. Kai, K. Mi, J. Feng, J. Zhang and S. Xiong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 10719-10726.

13. Y. Zhang, P. Zhu, L. Huang, J. Xie, S. Zhang, G. Cao and X. Zhao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 481-489.

14. C. Ma, J. Xu, J. Alvarado, B. Qu, J. Somerville, J. Y. Lee and Y. S. Meng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5633-5640.

15. B. Qu, C. Ma, G. Ji, C. Xu, J. Xu, Y. S. Meng, T. Wang and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 3854-3859.

16.Y. Jiang, M. Wei, J. Feng, Y. Ma and S. Xiong, *Energy Environ. Sci*, 2016, 9, 1430-1438.

17. Y. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Wu, F. Chen, P. Li, N. Han, W. Huang, Y. Liu, H. Ye, F. Zhao and Y. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 25618-25624.

S. Tao, D. Wu, S. Chen, B. Qian, W. Chu and L. Song, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 8379-8382.

19. P. Zhou, X. Wang, W. Guan, D. Zhang, L. Fang and Y. Jiang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 6979-6987. 20. Y. Liu, Y. Yang, X. Wang, Y. Dong, Y. Tang, Z. Yu, Z. Zhao and J. Qiu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 15484-15491.

21. J. Zheng, X. Xiong, G. Wang, Z. Lin, X. Ou, C. Yang and M. Liu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **339**, 78-84.

22. R. Thangavel, A. Samuthira Pandian, H. V. Ramasamy and Y. S. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 40187-40196.