

**Figure S1** (a) – (b) Scheme of the growth mechanism of the Au NSs as a function of deposition amount (DA). The average diameter (AD) of the self-assembled (c) Au nano-spheres and (e) Au nano-ellipsoids. The average gap (AG) between nanostructures of the (d) Au nano-spheres and (f) Au nano-ellipsoids.



**Figure S2** Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of the Au NSs fabricated with various deposition amounts: (a) 2 nm, (b) 4 nm, (c) 8 nm. (d) The root-mean-squared roughness ( $R_{RMS}$ ) and (e) surface area difference ( $D_{SA}$ ) of the corresponding samples.



Figure S3 (a) SEM image of accordion-like  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  after HF acid etching. (b) TEM image of MXene nano-sheets.



**Figure S4** (a) SEM image of MXene nano-sheets. Elemental maps of (b) Ti and (c) C. (d) EDS spectrum of the MXene nano-sheets between 0 and 8 KeV. (e) AFM side-view of MXene nano-sheets. (e-1) and (e-2) The corresponding cross-sectional line-profiles acquired from the white line drawn area in the AFM side-view.



Figure S5 Raman spectra on the substrate MXene nano-sheet/Au nano-ellipsoids of R6G molecules at a concentration of  $10^{-6}$  M at various layers.



**Figure S6** The X-Z plane electromagnetic (EM) field distribution simulation of the (a) Au nanoellipsoids and (b) Au coralline nano-islands covered with 1-layer MXene nano-sheets excited by a 532 nm plane light illumination.



**Figure S7** SEM images of the (a) MXene/Au-1, (b) MXene/Au-2, (c) MXene/Au-3, (d) MXene/Au-4, (e) MXene/Au-5, (f) MXene/Au-6, (g) MXene/Au-7, (h) MXene/Au-8, (i) MXene/Au-9.



**Figure S8** AFM top-views of (a) MXene/Au-1, (b) MXene/Au-2, (c) MXene/Au-3. The corresponding height of the area drawn with line was provided in each AFM top-views. (a-1) - (c-1) Cross-sectional line-profiles obtained from the red lines drawn area.



**Figure S9** (a) Raman spectra on the of R6G molecules on the substrate MXene/Au-3 at different concertrations. (b) The Raman spectra of  $1\mu M$  R6G molecules on the as-fabricated sample (MXene/Au-6) and after 20 days.

	DA (nm)	2	4	8
Absorption (%)	W/O MXene	4.3	14.9	39.8
	W MXene	5.9	15.9	46
Transmittance (%)	W/O MXene	91.2	72.5	39.2
	W MXene	87.4	66.9	36.2

Table 1 Average absorption and transmittance for the Au nanostructures coated with (W) and without (W/O) MXene nano-sheets. The Au deposition thicknesses (DA) varied between 2 and 8 nm.

Peak Position	*			
(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	613	775	1360	1505
Sample				
MXene/Au - 1	21.8	17.44	17.18	17.59
MXene/Au - 2	38.15	24.08	33.78	27.12
MXene/Au - 3	101.34	52.32	75.64	54.98
MXene/Au - 4	23.8	15.3	23.4	13.1
MXene/Au - 5	111	60.9	107.1	87.2
MXene/Au - 6	208.4	106.9	173.3	110.33
MXene/Au - 7	20.5	17.2	15.17	18.3
MXene/Au - 8	30.2	32.9	28.5	23.4
MXene/Au - 9	69.5	49.8	65.8	38.9

 Table 2 Raman intensity of various MXene nanosheet/Au nanosturcture architectures at 613, 775, 1360 and 1505 cm<sup>-1</sup> Raman peaks.

Substrate	Probe molecule	Sensitivity	EF
Au nanocubes[1]	4-ATP	10 <sup>-2</sup> M	7×10 <sup>5</sup>
Au nanoboness[2]	4-MBA	$10^{-5}  \mathrm{M}$	$4.76 \times 10^{4}$
non-tapered Au nanopillars[3]	benzenethiol	2×10 <sup>-3</sup> M	5.7×10 <sup>6</sup>
Ag@MXene[4]	methylene blue	10 <sup>-6</sup> M	$1.5 \times 10^{5}$
Au@MXene[4]	methylene blue	10 <sup>-6</sup> M	1.17×10 <sup>5</sup>
Pd@MXene[4]	methylene blue	10 <sup>-6</sup> M	9.61×10 <sup>4</sup>
MXene[5]	R6G	10 <sup>-6</sup> M	1.2×10 <sup>6</sup>
This work	R6G	10 <sup>-10</sup> M	2.9×10 <sup>7</sup>

**Table 3** Comparison of the calculated enhancement factors (EF) between different SERS substrates reported in the literatures.

## Note S1

To calculate the EF of the MXene/Au architectures for the R6G molecules detection, the ratio of surface enhanced Raman spectra (SERS) to normal Raman spectra (NRS) is determined by using the following calculating formula:

 $EF = (I_{SERS}/N_{SERS})/(I_{Raman}/N_{Raman})$ 

(1)

, where  $I_{SERS}$  and  $I_{Raman}$  refer to the peak intensities of the SERS and NRS, respectively.  $N_{SERS}$  and  $N_{Raman}$  denote the number of probe molecules excited by laser beam on the SERS substrates and glass.  $N_{Raman}/N_{SERS}$  can be expressed with:

$$N_{Raman}/N_{SERS} = C_{Raman} \times V_{Raman}/C_{SERS} \times V_{SERS}$$
(2)

, where C<sub>SERS</sub> and V<sub>SERS</sub> represent the volume and concentration of R6G aqueous solution dip-coated on the SERS substrates, respectively. Meanwhile, C<sub>Raman</sub> and V<sub>Raman</sub> represent the volume and concentration of R6G aqueous solution dip-coated on the bare glass. In this work, the Raman vibrational peak at 1505 cm<sup>-1</sup> was selected for the EF calculation. As a comparison,  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  M R6G aqueous solution was chosen for NRS. For each sample, the Raman spectra was averaged out from Raman signals acquired at 3 random locations with an acquisition time of 0.5 s.

## Note S2

The local electric field properties of the samples are calculated and analyzed using Finite-Difference Time-Domain (FDTD) solutions software. The theoretical models are built based on the SEM results shown in Figure 1e - f and the coressponding parameters of Au NPs displayed in Figure S1c - f. According to the report<sup>[6]</sup>, refractive index of MXene nano-sheets is set as 2.64 + i. A periodic boundary condition is applied for x-axis and y-axis, and the perfectly matched layer condition is used for z-axis. The excited source along z-axis is 532 nm wave-plane. To get relatively high resolution, the mesh grid size is set as 1 nm in x-y-z direction, and mesh accuracy is 8. Furthermore, shutoff level is  $10^{-5}$ .

[1] H. Chang, Y. Y. Lee, H. E. Lee, H. Y. Ahn, E. Ko, K. T. Nam and D. H. Jeong, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 9044-9051.

[2] J. Zhu, H. Du, Q. Zhang, J. Zhao, G. Weng, J. Lia and J. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 3322.

[3] T. Y. Jeon, S. G. Park, D. H. Kim and S. H. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, 25, 4681–4688.

[4] E. Satheeshkumar, T. Makaryan, A. Melikyan, H. Minassian, Y. Gogotsi and M. Yoshimura, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 32049.

[5] A. Sarycheva, T. Makaryan, K. Maleski, E. Satheeshkumar, A. Melikyan, H. Minassian, M. Yoshimura and Y. Gogotsi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 19983–19988.
[6] L. Wu, Q. You, Y. Shan, S. Gan, Y. Zhao, X. Dai, Y. Xiang, *Sensors & Actuators:*

B. Chemical, 2018, 277, 210-215.