Supporting Information for

## Ultra-low thermal conductivity and high thermoelectric performance

## of two-dimensional triphosphides (InP<sub>3</sub>, GaP<sub>3</sub>, SbP<sub>3</sub> and SnP<sub>3</sub>):

A comprehensive first-principles study

Zhehao Sun§, Kunpeng Yuan§, Zheng Chang, Shipeng Bi, Xiaoliang Zhang,\* and Dawei

Tang\*

Key Laboratory of Ocean Energy Utilization and Energy Conservation of Ministry of Education, School of Energy and Power Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China.

\*Corresponding author. Email: zhangxiaoliang@dlut.edu.cn and dwtang@dlut.edu.cn §Notes: Zhehao Sun and Kunpeng Yuan contributed equally. 1. The convergence test of energy with the variation of *k*-point.



**Fig. S1** The convergence test of energy for optimized structure of  $SbP_3$  with the variation of *k*-point of the Brillouin zone.

2. The convergence test of lattice parameter for optimized structure of SbP<sub>3</sub> with the variation of the distance along the *out-of-plane* direction.



**Fig. S2** The convergence test of lattice parameter for optimized structure of SbP<sub>3</sub> with the distance along the *out-of-plane* (*c*) direction, where a is optimized lattice parameter,  $a_{c=20\text{\AA}}$  means optimized lattice parameter when using 20 Å as the distance along the *out-of-plane* (*c*) direction for structural optimization.

3. The locally magnified phonon dispersion of flexural phonon branch.



Fig. S3 The phonon dispersion of flexural phonon branch along the  $\Gamma$ -K direction.



4. Detailed information about two-dimensional *in-plane* elastic modulus.

Fig. S4 The variation of energy under strain along the armchair and zigzag directions.



5. Detailed information about deformation potential energy.

**Fig. S5** The CBM and VBM along the armchair and zigzag directions as a function of deformation proportion.

6. The electronic band structures of monolayer  $SnP_3$ .



**Fig. S6** Electronic band structures of monolayer SnP<sub>3</sub> calculated by performing HSE06, LDA, PBE and GGA functionals.

7. The effect of considering spin-orbit coupling (SOC) on the band structures of monolayer SnP<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S7 Electronic band structures of monolayer  $SnP_3$  calculated by PBE functional with SOC and without SOC.

8. The thermoelectric performance of triphosphides for not considering the computational uncertainty of electronic relaxation times.



**Fig. S8** The maximum values of thermoelectric figure of merit (ZT) of monolayer triphosphides with n-type and p-type doping versus electronic relaxation time at 300 and 500 K for (a) InP<sub>3</sub> (b) GaP<sub>3</sub> (c) SbP<sub>3</sub> (d) SnP<sub>3</sub>.

Although we have calculated the electronic relaxation times of triphosphides (Table 1), the electronic relaxation times acquired from deformation potential theory have large difference, even across the scale. Our main preoccupation is exploring the candidate of thermoelectric materials rather than discussion the accuracy of electronic relaxation times. Thus, we use Fig. 8 to account for the thermoelectric performance of triphosphides for not considering the computational uncertainty of electronic relaxation times. Fig. 8 represent the  $ZT_{max}$  of p-type doping of InP<sub>3</sub> and SnP<sub>3</sub> are higher than n-type doping under the same electronic relaxation time, while that of p-type doping of SbP<sub>3</sub> is lower than n-type doping, which also can be reflected from the Seebeck coefficient (Fig. 5). Obviously, the higher thermal conductivity

hinders the thermoelectric performance of  $GaP_3$ . We obverse a rapid increase of  $ZT_{max}$  in electronic relaxation time up to 300 fs for  $InP_3$ ,  $SbP_3$  and  $SnP_3$ . The calculated evolution of  $ZT_{max}$  shows that monolayer  $InP_3$ ,  $SbP_3$  and  $SnP_3$  is quite suitable for the future application as thermoelectric materials. Although the thermoelectric performance of  $GaP_3$  is ultimately limited by the high lattice thermal conductivity, there are tunable methods that reduce the lattice thermal conductivity for promoting the thermoelectric performance.

9. Table S1 A comparison from different work for carrier mobility of  $SnP_3$  acquired from

$\mu^{2D}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /Vs) in zigzag	$\mu^{2D}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> /Vs) in armchair		
direction	direction		
132	105	Our work	
302	703	03 Nanoscale, <b>11</b> , 19923-19932 (2019)	
3890	4360	J. Phys.: Condens. Matter., 30,	
		475702 (2018)	
172	359	J. Mater. Chem. A, 6, 11890-11897	
		(2018)	

deformation potential theory.

	Carrier type	$\tau$ at 300 K (10 <sup>-15</sup> s)	$\tau$ at 500 K (10 <sup>-15</sup> s)
InP <sub>3</sub>	Electron (zigzag)	157.96	94.77
	Electron (armchair)	183.31	109.99
	Hole (zigzag)	154.88	92.93
	Hole (armchair)	13.19	7.91
GaP <sub>3</sub>	Electron (zigzag)	122.64	73.58
	Electron (armchair)	192.86	115.72
	Hole (zigzag)	190.36	114.21
	Hole (armchair)	223.47	134.08
SbP <sub>3</sub>	Electron (zigzag)	23.40	14.04
	Electron (armchair)	24.38	14.63
	Hole (zigzag)	89.80	53.88
	Hole (armchair)	157.65	94.59
SnP <sub>3</sub>	Electron (zigzag)	62.38	37.43
	Electron (armchair)	37.94	22.76
	Hole (zigzag)	123.43	74.06
	Hole (armchair)	51.66	30.99

10. **Table S2** A comparison of the different relaxation time under different temperature calculated by deformation potential theory.