## **Supporting Information**

## Split-type Structure of Ag Nanoparticles and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@Ag@Si

## nanocone arrays: An Ingenious Strategy for SERS-Based Detection

Zhen Wang <sup>a, b</sup>, Chunxue Zheng <sup>a, c</sup>, Peng Zhang <sup>a</sup>, Zhulin Huang <sup>a</sup>, Chuhong Zhu <sup>a</sup>, Xiujuan Wang<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoye Hu\*<sup>a</sup> and Jian Yan\*<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Materials Physics, and Anhui Key Laboratory of Nanomaterials and Nanostructures, Institute

of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, 230031, China E-mail: hxy821982@issp.ac.cn

<sup>b</sup>Anhui University, Hefei, 230039, China

<sup>c</sup> University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China

<sup>d</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, 230009, China. E-mail:

yanjian@hfut.edu.cn



**Fig. S1** (a) SEM image of the PS colloidal monolayer on a Si wafer. (b) PS monolayer after Plasma etching.

Firstly, through gas/liquid interface self-assembly, close-packed and uniform PS monolayer colloidal crystals were prepared on a cleaned glass substrate. The formed PS monolayer colloidal crystals kept in an oven at 120 °C for 2 min to make the PS pheres become planar and form contact with the silicon substrate. Etching was carried out using SF<sub>6</sub> plasma in a conventional RIE machine with a flow rate of 36 sccm, a pressure of 3.2 Pa, and a RF power of 200 W. The residual PS micro-spheres coated on the top side of the silicon nanocones were removed by immersion in methylene chloride (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) for 2 minutes. <sup>1</sup>



Fig. S2 SEM image of Ag@Si nanocone arrays coated with ultrathin 2 nm  $Al_2O_3$  films by ALD.



Fig. S3 SEM images of Ag- NPs prepared by liquid phase method.



Fig. S4 SEM images of unmodified  $Al_2O_3@Ag@Si$  nanocone array combined with Ag-NPs.



Fig. S5 UV–Vis–NIR absorption spectra of different Ag related substrates.



Raman shift/cm<sup>-1</sup>

**Fig. S6** SERS spectra of R6G (10<sup>-8</sup> M) adsorbed on the split-type substrate examined by 532, 633, and 785 nm excitations.



Fig. S7 Comparative SERS spectra of different Ag related substrates. ①Film of Ag NPs.②Ag@Si nanocone arrays without Ag-NPs. ③Ag-NPs@Ag@Si nanocone

without Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shell. (4) Ag-NPs@ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@Ag@Si array. (5) Ag-NPs@ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@Ag film.





**Fig. S8** SEM images of Ag-NPs@Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@Ag@Si with low (a 0.05mol/L) and high (b 1.0mol/L) concentration. The following diagram shows raman spectra of R6G with Ag-NPs varied concentrations adsorbed on the split-type substrates.



Fig. S9 Raman spectra of R6G (10<sup>-8</sup> M) measured at different stock time.



**Fig. S10** Optical image of split-type substrates and SERS mapping of 4-ATP on the area.



**Fig. S11** SERS spectrum of the split-type substrate (upper curve) and normal Raman spectrum of 4-ATP.

 $EF=(I_{SERS}/N_{SERS})(I_{RS}/N_{RS})^{-1}$  Considering the area of laser spot is the same, the foregoing equation thus simply are transformed into:  $N_{SERS}=(C_{SERS}*V_{SERS})/S_{SERS}$  SERS spectra of 5 µL 10<sup>-9</sup> M 4-ATP ethanol solution dispersed on split-type substrates with area of 25 mm<sup>2</sup> (a) , and (b) Raman spectrum of 4-ATP obtained by dispersing 1 µL 10<sup>-2</sup> M 4-ATP ethanol solution on 25 mm<sup>2</sup> Ag@Si wafer.

 $I_{SERS}$  and  $I_{NRS}$  correspond to the integrated SERS and NRS intensities, respectively, normalized for acquisition time and laser power. The average surface of a nanocone (with an average diameter of 200 nm and an average length of 500 nm) is  $S_{SERS}500 \times 100^2 \pi/3 = 1.66 \times 10^6 \text{ (nm}^2\text{)}$   $S_{NRS} = 200 \times 200 = 4 \times 10^4 \text{ (nm}^2\text{)}$   $S_{O} = (I_{SERS}/N_{SERS})/(I_{NRS}/N_{NRS}) = [1.3 \times 10^4 \times 1.66 \times 10^6/(1 \times 10^{-9})]/[(3.1 \times 10^3 \times 4 \times 10^4/(1 \times 10^{-2})] = (1.3 \times 10^{-1} \times 10^{-1} \times 10^{-1})$ 

6.96×10<sup>9</sup>.

## References

1 Q. Zhao, G. Liu, H. Zhang, F. Zhou, Y. Li, W. Cai, *J Hazard Mater*, 2017, **324** 194-202.