Supporting Information

Hydrogen bond assisted photoinduced intramolecular electron transfer and proton coupled electron transfer in ultrafast time domain using a ruthenium-anthraquinone dyad

Ananta Dey, ^{a,b} Jayanta Dana,^c Sunil Aute,^{b,d} Amitava Das,^{*a,b} Hirendra N. Ghosh^{*c,e}

^aCSIR-Central salt & Marine Chemicals Research Institute, Bhavnagar: 364002, Gujarat, India. Fax: +91 278 2577562; E-Mail: <u>a.das@csmcri.res.in</u>

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), CSIR-Ghaziabad - 201002, India.

^cRadiation & Photochemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai – 400085, India. E-Mail: <u>hnghosh@barc.gov.in</u>

^dCSIR National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, Maharashtra, 411008, India.

^eInstitute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, Punjab 160062, India. E-mail: <u>hnghosh@inst.ac.in</u>

Contents	Page
Synthesis of the complex 2	S3
Figure S1: ¹ H NMR spectrum of ligand L_1 in DMSO- d_6 solvent.	S3
Figure S2: ESI-MS spectrum of the ligand L_1 .	S3
Figure S3: ¹ H NMR spectrum of complex 1 in CD ₃ CN solvent.	S4
Figure S4: HRMS spectrum of complex 1.	S4
Figure S5: Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of ligand (L_1) in acetonitrile solvent after 400 nm laser excitation. (a) Kinetic trace monitored at 490 nm.(red trace) of ligand L_1 . (b) AQ ⁻ decay of complex 1 (blue trace) monitored at 490 nm.	S5
Figure S6: Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of complex 2 in acetonitrile after 400 nm laser excitation.	S6
Figure S7. [A] Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of complex 1 in acetonitrile-water $(1:1, v/v)$ solvent after excitation of the samples with 400 nm laser light at 298 K.	S6
Figure S8. Transient kinetic traces of (a) cation radical (at 690 nm) of complex 1; (b) AQ ⁻ radical anion decay monitored at 490 nm and (c) bleach recovery kinetics 530 nm of complex 1 in acetonitrile-H ₂ O (1:1, v/v) pH4 after 400 nm laser excitation.	S7
Figure S9. Decay dynamics of complex 2 (a) monitored at 690 nm (red trace). (b) (blue trace) bleach recovery dynamic monitored at 480 nm.	S7
SI Table 1. Parameters for multi-exponential fits for the transient absorption kinetic traces of complex 1 in acetonitrile solvent at different wavelengths after 400 nm laser excitation.	S8
SI Table 2. Parameters for multi exponential fits of transient kinetics monitored at key wavelengths of the complex 1 in different solvents after 400 nm laser excitation.	S8

Synthesis of complex 2:

Complex **2** was synthesized following the literature procedure.¹

¹H-NMR spectrum of the ligand (L₁):



Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of ligand L_1 in DMSO- d_6 solvent.

ESI-MS spectrum of ligand (L₁):



Figure S2: ESI-MS spectrum of the ligand L₁.

¹H-NMR spectrum of complex 1:



Figure S3: ¹H NMR spectrum of complex 1 in CD₃CN solvent.





Figure S4: HRMS spectrum of complex 1.

Transient absorption study of ligands (L₁):

Figure S6 represents the transient absorption spectrum of L_1 . As the benziimidazoleanthraquinone ligand (L_1) has ground state absorption in the 400 nm, we did transient absorption study of the ligand L_1 in acetonitrile solvent following 400 nm laser excitation. Ligand L_1 also has positive absorption in 450 nm to 700 nm region but the comparative kinetic traces are very different in nature (figure S6(right side)). This study confirms that there is no interference of the ligand excitation in the intramolecular photo induced electron transfer and H-bonding re-equilibrium process. Scheme 2 represents the advantage of close contact in intramolecular electron transfer as AQ unit is attached with rigid imidazole spacer that facilitates maximizing electronic coupling and minimizing the solvent re-organizational energy. The oxidative quenching by anthraquinone occurs first due to close proximity of metal centre followed by proton motion and then the resulting intermediate decays rapidly by back electron transfer to highly acidic Ru(III) centre.

Transient absorption study of ligand (L₁):



Figure S5: [Left] Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of ligand (L_1) in acetonitrile solvent after 400 nm laser excitation. [Right side] (a) Kinetic trace monitored at 490 nm.(red trace) of ligand L_1 . (b) AQ⁻ decay of complex 1 (blue trace) monitored at 490 nm.

Transient absorpion spectrum of complex 2:



Figure S6: Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of complex **2** in acetonitrile after 400 nm laser excitation.

Transient absorption study of complex 1 in acetonitrile-water (1:1, v/v) solvent.



Figure S7. Femtosecond transient absorption spectrum of complex 1 in acetonitrile-water (1:1, v/v) solvent after excitation of the samples with 400 nm laser light at 298 K.

<u>Transient absorption dynamics of complex 1 in acetonitrile-water (1:1, v/v) (pH 4)</u> solvent mixture:



Figure S8. Transient kinetic traces of (a) cation radical (at 690 nm) of complex 1; (b) AQradical anion decay monitored at 490 nm and (c) bleach recovery kinetics 530 nm of complex 1 in acetonitrile-H₂O (1:1, v/v) pH4 after 400 nm laser excitation.

Transient absorption dynamics of complex 2 in acetonitrile:



Figure S9. Decay dynamics of complex **2** (a) monitored at 690 nm (red trace). (b) (blue trace) bleach recovery dynamic monitored at 480 nm.

SI Table 1. Parameters for multi-exponential fits for the transient absorption kinetic traces of complex 1 in acetonitrile solvent at different wavelengths after 400 nm laser excitation.

Complex system	Solvent medium	Wavelength (nm)	$ au_{ m g}$	τ_{1decay}
		520	$1 (\pm 0.1) \text{ ps}$	$105 (\pm 10) \text{ ps}$
Complex 1			(100%)	(- 100%)
	Acetonitrile	490	1 (±0.1) ps	$100 (\pm 10) \text{ ps}$
			(100%)	(- 100%)
		690	1 (±0.1) ps	100 (± 10) ps
			(100%)	(- 100%)
		520	600 (±60) fs	50 (± 50) ps
	Acetonitrile in		(100%)	(- 100%)
	presence of	490	600 (±60) ps	45 (± 4.5) ps
	Ba^{2+}		(100%)	(- 100%)
		690	600 (±60) fs	$50 (\pm 50) \text{ ps}$
			(100%)	(- 100%)

SI Table 2. Parameters for multi exponential fits of transient kinetics monitored at key wavelengths of the complex 1 in different solvents after 400 nm laser excitation.

Complex	Solvent medium	Wavelength	$ au_{ m g}$	$ au_{1decay}$
system		(nm)		
		490	300 (±30) fs	15 (± 1.5) ps
			(100%)	(- 100%)
	Acetonitrile-Water	520	300 (±30) fs	20 (± 2) ps
	(1:1, v/v)		(100%)	(- 100%)
		690	300 (± 30) fs	20 (± 2) ps
Complex 1			(100%)	(- 100%)
		490	< 100 (±15)	20 (±2) ps
			fs (100%)	(- 100%)
	Acetonitrile-Water	530	200 (±26) fs	15 (±1.5) ps
	(1:1, v/v) pH4		(100%)	(- 100%)
		690	200 (± 26) fs	20 (± 2) ps
			(100%)	(- 100%)

Notes and references

1 R. Hönes, M. Kuss-Petermann and O. S. Wenger, Photochemistry between a ruthenium(II) pyridylimidazole complex and benzoquinone: simple electron transfer versus proton-coupled electron transfer, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2013, **12**, 254.