## **Supplementary information**

## Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) Derived Nanogels Demonstrated Thermosensitive Self-assembly and GSH-triggered Drug Release for Efficient Tumor Therapy

Jiaojiao Chen,<sup>a,b</sup> Ming Wu,<sup>a</sup> Hanitrarimalala Veroniaina,<sup>a</sup> Subhankar Mukhopadhyay,<sup>a</sup> Juequan Li,<sup>a</sup> Ziheng Wu,<sup>c</sup> Zhenghong Wu,<sup>\*a</sup> and Xiaole Qi <sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Modern Chinese Medicines, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, PR China.

<sup>b</sup> Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai 264000, PR China.

<sup>c</sup> Parkville campus, Monash University, VIC 3052, Australia.

Correspondence: Zhenghong Wu; Xiaole Qi

Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Modern Chinese Medicines, China Pharmaceutical

University, Nanjing 210009, PR China

Tel: +0086-15062208341; Fax: +0086-025-83179703

Email: zhenghongwu66@cpu.edu.cn (Z. H. Wu); qixiaole523@cpu.edu.cn (X. L. Qi)

**Table S1.** Characteristics of DOX-loaded H-SS-P nanogels. Data are represented as mean  $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) (n = 3).

Drug/polymer	Mean diameter	Zeta potential	Encapsulation	Drug Loading
(w/w)	(nm)	(mV)	Efficiency (%)	(%)
0	119.4 ± 1.1	$-17.1 \pm 0.5$		
0.2	$130.4 \pm 1.9$	$-23.9 \pm 0.6$	$81.71\pm0.55$	$13.62 \pm 0.23$
0.4	$134.3 \pm 3.2$	$-20.8 \pm 0.3$	$75.53 \pm 0.55$	$21.58 \pm 0.23$
0.6	$141.3 \pm 2.7$	$-15.2 \pm 0.7$	$63.17 \pm 1.07$	$23.69 \pm 0.58$



**Fig. S1.** FT-IR spectra of HA, HA-CYS and HA-SS-PNIPAAm in the wavenumber region of 400-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S2**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of a simple mixture of HA, CYS, and PNIPAAm. All compounds were dissolved in  $D_2O$ .



**Fig. S3**. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of HA, CYS, HA-CYS and HA-SS-PNIPAAm. All polymers were dissolved in D<sub>2</sub>O.



**Fig. S4**. a) Size distribution of H-SS-P nanogels at 37 °C determined by DLS. b) Size distribution of H-SS-P nanogels in response to GSH at 37 °C determined by DLS. ( $MW_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$  Da, DS 40, C = 0.2 mg/mL)



**Fig. S5**. Critical micelle concentration (CMC) determination of H-SS-P nanogels. a) MW  $_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS20. b) MW  $_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS30. c) MW  $_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS40.



**Fig. S6**. The results of the lower critical solution temperature (LCST). a) Temperaturetransmittance curves of H-SS-P ( $MW_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS30). b) Temperature-transmittance curves of H-SS-P ( $MW_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS40). c) Changes in the LCST with the concentration ( $MW_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS40). d) Changes in the LCST with the number average molecular weight of PNIPAAm-COOH ( $MW_{PNIPAAm} = 2000$ , DS40, C = 0.5 mg/mL)



**Fig. S7**. *In vitro* release behavior of DOX from H-SS-P nanogels triggered by GSH. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S8**. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of a) blank nanogels against A549 cells and LO2 cells. *In vitro* cytotoxicity of free DOX and H-SS-P@DOX nanogels against b) A549 cells and c) LO2 cells. \* and \*\* represent p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 vs H-SS-P@DOX group, respectively. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



**Fig. S9.** Quantification of DOX signal for the *in vivo* fluorescence microscopy images of the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney) and tumors in 4T1 tumor-bearing mice after intravenous injection of DOX (control), H-SS-P@DOX with free HA pretreatment and H-SS-P@DOX.



**Fig. S10**. Histological analysis of a) major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney) and b) tumors from 4T1 tumor-bearing mice on the 11th day after they are treated with saline, H-SS-P, free DOX, H-SS-P@DOX and H-SS-P@DOX with BSO treatment.