Supporting Information: Enhanced intrinsic saturation magnetization of Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe₂O₄ nanocrystallites with metastable spinel inversion[†]

Henrik Lyder Andersen,^a Cecilia Granados-Miralles,^{‡a} Matilde Saura-Múzquiz,^a Marian Stingaciu,^{*}a Jacob Larsen,^b Frederik Søndergaard-Pedersen,^a Jakob Voldum Ahlburg,^a Lukas Keller,^c Cathrine Frandsen^b and Mogens Christensen^{*a}

^aCenter for Materials Crystallography, Department of Chemistry and Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Centre (iNANO), Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

^bDepartment of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

^cLaboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

‡Current address: Instituto de Cerámica y Vidrio, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Kelsen 5, 28049 Madrid, Spain ‡Current address: Institute for Energy Technology, IFE Neutron Material Characterisation, Instituttveien 18, NO-2007 Kjeller, Norway *E-mail: mch@chem.au.dk

Table of Contents

| Saturation magnetization of $Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ in the literature | 2 |
|---|----|
| Powder diffraction data | 3 |
| Magnetic structure model | 3 |
| Refinement R-values | 4 |
| Rietveld refinements (x=0.0-0.3, As-synthesized) | 5 |
| Rietveld refinements (x=0.4-0.7, As-synthesized) | 6 |
| Rietveld refinements (x=0.8-1.0, As-synthesized) | 7 |
| Rietveld refinements (x=0.0-0.3, Annealed) | 8 |
| Rietveld refinements (x=0.4-1.0, Annealed) | 9 |
| Peak sharpening and hematite impurity | |
| Transmission electron microscopy images | 11 |
| TEM (x=0.2, As-synthesized) | |
| TEM (x=0.4, As-synthesized) | 12 |
| TEM (x=0.8, As-synthesized) | 13 |
| TEM (x=0.2, Annealed) | 14 |
| TEM (x=0.4, Annealed) | 15 |
| TEM (x=0.8, Annealed) | 16 |
| Scanning transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy data | 17 |
| STEM-EDS quantitative analysis summary | 17 |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.2, As-synthesized) | |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.4, As-synthesized) | 19 |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.8, As-synthesized) | 20 |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.2, Annealed) | 21 |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.4, Annealed) | 22 |
| STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.8, Annealed) | 23 |
| Vibrating sample magnetometry data | 24 |
| Hysteresis curves (x=0.0-1.0, As-synthesized) | 24 |
| Hysteresis curves (x=0.0-1.0, Annealed) | 25 |
| Coercive field and magnetic remanence | 26 |
| References | 27 |

Saturation magnetization of Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe₂O₄ in the literature



Figure S1: A) Room-temperature mass-specific saturation magnetizations, σ_s , of $Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ nanocrystallites as function of Zncontent. Literature values are shown in grey and values from this study in black (as-synthesized) and red (annealed) dots. B) Literature values for room-temperature mass specific saturation magnetizations as function of crystallite size for selected compositions, *i.e.* x=0.0 (black), x=0.5 (red) and x=1.0 (blue).¹⁻¹⁰

Powder diffraction data

Magnetic structure model

The magnetic structure was implemented as an additional phase of the type "Magnetic Phase" with lowest symmetry space group of the corresponding centering, *i.e. F*-1. The special positions of the magnetic species (Fe^{3+} and Co^{2+} on the tetrahedral and octahedral sites) were specified, from which all magnetic spins were generated by providing the first 24 symmetry operations (see ESI⁺) of the *Fd*-3*m* space group and considering the centrosymmetry.

F -1 <--Space group symbol for hkl generation **!Nsym Cen Laue MagMat** 24 2 1 1 ļ SYMM x,y,z MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -x+3/4,-y+1/4,z+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -x+1/4,y+1/2,-z+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM x+1/2,-y+3/4,-z+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM z,x,y MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM z+1/2,-x+3/4,-y+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -z+3/4,-x+1/4,y+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -z+1/4,x+1/2,-y+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM y,z,x MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -y+1/4,z+1/2,-x+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM y+1/2,-z+3/4,-x+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -y+3/4,-z+1/4,x+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM y+3/4,x+1/4,-z+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -y,-x,-z MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM y+1/4,-x+1/2,z+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -y+1/2,x+3/4,z+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM x+3/4,z+1/4,-y+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -x+1/2,z+3/4,y+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -x,-z,-y MSYM u,v,w, 0.000

SYMM x+1/4,-z+1/2,y+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM z+3/4,y+1/4,-x+1/2 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM z+1/4,-y+1/2,x+3/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -z+1/2,y+3/4,x+1/4 MSYM u,v,w, 0.000 SYMM -z,-y,-x MSYM u,v,w, 0.000

Refinement R-values

| | PXRD | | | NPD | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Zn-content, x | R _{wp} (%) | R_{Bragg} (%) | R _F (%) | R _{wp} (%) | R _{Bragg} (%) | R₅ (%) | R _{magn} (%) |
| As-synthesized | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 11.7 | 9.11 | 9.57 | 6.04 | 1.42 | 1.27 | 0.722 |
| 0.1 | 9.75 | 3.38 | 3.21 | 5.17 | 0.861 | 0.688 | 0.627 |
| 0.2 | 10.9 | 5.49 | 6.36 | 6.22 | 1.90 | 1.29 | 0.675 |
| 0.3 | 10.5 | 3.60 | 3.41 | 7.62 | 1.07 | 0.896 | 1.35 |
| 0.4 | 11.0 | 5.99 | 5.85 | 7.14 | 1.77 | 1.14 | 1.93 |
| 0.5 | 10.3 | 5.27 | 4.95 | 7.70 | 1.80 | 1.39 | 2.53 |
| 0.6 | 10.3 | 4.87 | 4.46 | 7.93 | 1.91 | 1.38 | 3.86 |
| 0.7 | 10.3 | 2.16 | 2.06 | 10.7 | 2.04 | 1.60 | 3.19 |
| 0.8 | 10.7 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 8.59 | 2.60 | 1.56 | 5.40 |
| 0.9 | 10.3 | 2.41 | 2.05 | 9.90 | 1.44 | 1.40 | 3.92 |
| 1.0 | 11.8 | 4.23 | 3.49 | 7.82 | 2.40 | 1.74 | 5.17 |
| Annealed | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 4.12 | 3.53 | 3.56 | 5.25 | 1.96 | 1.34 | 1.77 |
| 0.1 | 5.58 | 4.80 | 4.14 | 5.99 | 1.95 | 0.874 | 1.33 |
| 0.2 | 4.87 | 4.59 | 4.11 | 10.8 | 4.26 | 2.98 | 4.05 |
| 0.3 | 5.75 | 4.45 | 3.87 | 6.47 | 1.66 | 1.02 | 2.16 |
| 0.4 | 5.60 | 3.78 | 3.38 | 9.22 | 3.95 | 2.42 | 6.01 |
| 0.6 | 4.95 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 11.7 | 4.74 | 2.93 | 12.1 |
| 0.8 | 5.24 | 3.89 | 3.99 | 12.9 | 3.51 | 2.47 | 9.76 |
| 1.0 | 4.69 | 1.83 | 2.62 | 6.45 | 1.49 | 1.35 | 4.67 |

Table S1: Summary of R-values from the combined structural refinement of the PXRD and NPD data.





Figure S2: PXRD and NPD patterns of the indicated nanocrystalline samples and corresponding Rietveld fits obtained by combined refinement of a constrained structural model.





Figure S3: PXRD and NPD patterns of the indicated nanocrystalline samples and corresponding Rietveld fits obtained by combined refinement of a constrained structural model.





Figure S4: PXRD and NPD patterns of the indicated nanocrystalline samples and corresponding Rietveld fits obtained by combined refinement of a constrained structural model.





Figure S5: PXRD and NPD patterns of the indicated nanocrystalline samples and corresponding Rietveld fits obtained by combined refinement of a constrained structural model.

Rietveld refinements (x=0.4-1.0, Annealed)



Figure S6: PXRD and NPD patterns of the indicated nanocrystalline samples and corresponding Rietveld fits obtained by combined refinement of a constrained structural model.

Peak sharpening and hematite impurity



Figure S7: Enhancement of selected q-region of the PXRD data for the x=0.0, x=0.4 and x=1.0 samples before and after annealing illustrating the slight peak sharpening after annealing. The additional peaks arising from the presence of a small amount (<5%) of hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) in the x=1.0 sample are indicated in the figure.

Transmission electron microscopy images

TEM (x=0.2, As-synthesized)



Figure S8: Additional TEM images of the as-synthesized Zn_{0.2}Co_{0.8}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.2) sample.

TEM (x=0.4, As-synthesized)



Figure S9: Additional TEM images of the as-synthesized Zn_{0.4}Co_{0.6}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.4) sample.

TEM (x=0.8, As-synthesized)



Figure S10: Additional TEM images of the as-synthesized Zn_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.8) sample.

TEM (x=0.2, Annealed)



Figure S11: Additional TEM images of the annealed $Zn_{0.2}Co_{0.8}Fe_2O_4$ (x=0.2) sample.

TEM (x=0.4, Annealed)



Figure S12: Additional TEM images of the annealed $Zn_{0.4}Co_{0.6}Fe_2O_4$ (x=0.4) sample.

TEM (x=0.8, Annealed)



Figure S13: Additional TEM images of the annealed $Zn_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Fe_2O_4$ (x=0.8) sample.

Scanning transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy data

STEM-EDS quantitative analysis summary

Table S2: Elemental atomic percentages (at%) and stoichiometry (x EDS) obtained from the quantitative analysis of the energy dispersive X-ray spectra.

| x | Zn at% (%) | Co at% (%) | Fe at% (%) | O at% (%) | x EDS Zn ²⁺ / <i>M</i> ²⁺ |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| As-synthesized | | | | | |
| 0.2 | 2.6(3) | 10(1) | 24(2) | 64(6) | 0.21(3) |
| 0.4 | 4.0(4) | 5.9(6) | 19(2) | 71(7) | 0.40(5) |
| 0.8 | 8.1(8) | 1.9(3) | 18(2) | 72(7) | 0.56(7) |
| Annealed | | | | | |
| 0.2 | 2.6(3) | 10(1) | 24(2) | 63(6) | 0.20(3) |
| 0.4 | 5.0(5) | 6.8(7) | 22(2) | 66(6) | 0.42(5) |
| 0.8 | 8.1(8) | 2.0(2) | 18(2) | 72(7) | 0.80(10) |



STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.2, As-synthesized)

Figure S14: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the as-synthesized Zn_{0.2}Co_{0.8}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.2) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.





Figure S15: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the as-synthesized Zn_{0.4}Co_{0.6}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.4) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.

STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.8, As-synthesized)



Figure S16: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the as-synthesized Zn_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.8) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.

STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.2, Annealed)



Figure S17: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the annealed Zn_{0.2}Co_{0.8}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.2) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.

STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.4, Annealed)



Figure S18: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the annealed Zn_{0.4}Co_{0.6}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.4) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.

STEM-EDS maps + spectrum (x=0.8, Annealed)



Figure S19: STEM-HAADF image, STEM-DF images and elemental maps illustrating the distribution of the constituent elements in the annealed Zn_{0.8}Co_{0.2}Fe₂O₄ (x=0.8) sample. In the bottom right corner, the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum from the region (gray area) and fit to the spectrum (dashed black line) is shown.

Vibrating sample magnetometry data



Hysteresis curves (x=0.0-1.0, As-synthesized)

Figure S20: Room temperature field-dependent magnetization curves of the indicated as-synthesized Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe₂O₄ samples and a magnification of the low H region.



Figure S21: Room temperature field-dependent magnetization curves of the indicated annealed Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe₂O₄ samples and a magnification of the low H region.

Coercive field and magnetic remanence



Figure S22: A) Coercive field (H_c) as function of Zn-content for as-synthesized and annealed $Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ samples. B) Remanent magnetization (σ_r) as function of Zn content for as synthesized and annealed $Zn_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ samples.

References

- 1. F. Gozuak, Y. Koseoglu, A. Baykal and H. Kavas, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2009, **321**, 2170-2177.
- 2. H. Sozeri, Z. Durmus and A. Baykal, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2012, **47**, 2442-2448.
- 3. R. Topkaya, A. Baykal and A. Demir, J. Nanopart. Res., 2013, 15, 1359.
- 4. E. Hema, A. Manikandan, P. Karthika, S. A. Antony and B. R. Venkatraman, *J Supercond Nov Magn*, 2015, **28**, 2539-2552.
- 5. Y. Koseoglu, A. Baykal, F. Gozuak and H. Kavas, *Polyhedron*, 2009, **28**, 2887-2892.
- 6. A. K. Azad, A. K. M. Zakaria, M. Y. Jewel, A. Khan, S. M. Yunus, I. Kamal, T. K. Datta and S. G. Eriksson, *Aip. Conf. Proc.*, 2015, **1660**, 090050.
- 7. H. Malik, A. Mahmood, K. Mahmood, M. Y. Lodhi, M. F. Warsi, I. Shakir, H. Wahab, M. Asghar and M. A. Khan, *Ceram. Int.*, 2014, **40**, 9439-9444.
- 8. T. Slatineanu, A. R. Iordan, V. Oancea, M. N. Palamaru, I. Dumitru, C. P. Constantin and O. F. Caltun, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B-Adv.*, 2013, **178**, 1040-1047.
- 9. R. S. Yadav, J. Havlica, M. Hnatko, P. Sajgalik, C. Alexander, M. Palou, E. Bartonickova, M. Bohac, F. Frajkorova, J. Masilko, M. Zmrzly, L. Kalina, M. Hajudchova and V. Enev, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2015, **378**, 190-199.
- 10. V. Mameli, A. Musinu, A. Ardu, G. Ennas, D. Peddis, D. Niznansky, C. Sangregorio, C. Innocenti, N. T. K. Thanh and C. Cannas, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 10124-10137.