

Supplementary information for
Regularly tuning the ESIPT reactions of 3-hydroxychromone-based
derivatives by the functional group substitutions

Chaofan Sun, Xing Su, Qiao Zhou and Ying Shi*

Institute of Atomic and Molecular Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012,
China

Corresponding author: Ying Shi

E-mail address: shi_ying@jlu.edu.cn

Fax: +86-431-85168816

Tel: +86-431-85168817

Contents:

Table S1. Calculated transition properties of the studied molecules in DMSO by using TD-DFT/mPW1PW91/6-311++G(d) method.

Table S2. Calculated fluorescence properties of the studied molecules at enol and keto forms in DMSO by using TD-DFT/mPW1PW91/6-311++G(d) method.

Table S3. Obtained HOMO, LUMO energies and energy gaps (Δ_{H-L}) of the studied molecules based on the optimized structures of the enol and keto forms at S_1 state (unit: eV).

Table S1. Calculated transition properties of the studied molecules in DMSO by using TD-DFT/mPW1PW91/6-311++G(d) method.

| Molecule | State | λ_{abs} (nm) | Contribution MO | f |
|----------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| BFHC | S1 | 382 | (70.231%)H→L | 0.8533 |
| | S6 | 262 | (60.321%)H-3→L | 0.1599 |
| BTHC | S1 | 382 | (70.202%)H→L | 0.7726 |
| | S6 | 263 | (61.559%)H-3→L | 0.1569 |
| BSeHC | S1 | 388 | (70.058%)H→L | 0.6396 |
| | S2 | 358 | (69.618%)H-1→L | 0.2256 |
| BDFHC | S1 | 410 | (70.360%)H→L | 0.9850 |
| | S5 | 294 | (67.414%)H→L+1 | 0.1861 |
| BDTHC | S1 | 427 | (70.227%)H→L | 0.6208 |
| | S2 | 368 | (69.472%)H-1→L | 0.4931 |
| BDSHC | S1 | 440 | (70.103%)H→L | 0.4953 |
| | S2 | 379 | (69.353%)H-1→L | 0.6469 |

Table S2. Calculated fluorescence properties of the studied molecules at enol and keto forms in DMSO by using TD-DFT/mPW1PW91/6-311++G(d) method.

| Molecule | Form | State | λ_{flu} (nm) | Contribution MO | f |
|----------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------------|--------|
| BFHC | enol | S1 | 431 | (70.419%)H→L | 0.9436 |
| | keto | S1 | 567 | (71.288%)H→L | 0.7199 |
| BTHC | enol | S1 | 432 | (70.369%)H→L | 0.8875 |
| | keto | S1 | 564 | (71.210%)H→L | 0.7095 |
| BSeHC | enol | S1 | 438 | (70.289%)H→L | 0.8071 |
| | keto | S1 | 567 | (71.138%)H→L | 0.6908 |
| BDFHC | enol | S1 | 464 | (70.488%)H→L | 1.1117 |
| | keto | S1 | 592 | (71.293%)H→L | 0.9297 |
| BDTHC | enol | S1 | 482 | (70.257%)H→L | 0.8250 |
| | keto | S1 | 601 | (71.016%)H→L | 0.8629 |
| BSeHC | enol | S1 | 494 | (70.088%)H→L | 0.7028 |
| | keto | S1 | 614 | (70.602%)H→L | 0.7727 |

Table S3. Obtained HOMO, LUMO energies and energy gaps (Δ_{H-L}) of the studied molecules based on the optimized structures of the enol and keto forms at S_1 state (unit: eV).

| Molecule | Enol | | | Keto | | |
|----------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| | HOMO | LUMO | Δ_{H-L} | HOMO | LUMO | Δ_{H-L} |
| BFHC | -5.896 | -2.848 | 3.048 | -5.512 | -3.222 | 2.291 |
| BTHC | -5.897 | -2.857 | 3.040 | -5.526 | -3.213 | 2.314 |
| BSeHC | -5.878 | -2.873 | 3.005 | -5.526 | -3.221 | 2.305 |
| BDFHC | -5.691 | -2.869 | 2.822 | -5.406 | -3.224 | 2.182 |
| BDTHC | -5.658 | -2.904 | 2.754 | -5.412 | -3.237 | 2.175 |
| BSeHC | -5.624 | -2.920 | 2.704 | -5.399 | -3.248 | 2.151 |