Armchair shaped polymeric nitrogen $N_{8}\ chains\ confined\ in$

h-BN matrix at ambient conditions: stability and vibration

analysis

Shuang Liu^a, Bo Liu^a, Zhen Yao^a, Shijie Liu^b, Xuhan Shi^a, Shifeng Niu^a, Bingbing Liu^{*a}

a. State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China. Email :liubb@jlu.edu.cn

b. School of Physics and Engineering and Henan Key Laboratory of Photoelectric Energy Storage Materials and Applications, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, 471003, P. R. China.

As shown in Fig.S1, in order to evaluate the energetic stability of armchair form relative to the zigzag N_8 confined inside h-BN matrix, we performed the geometry optimization for both the zigzag and armchair N_8 @h-BN structures. After the relaxation, we found that the zigzag N_8 chain relaxed into the twisty armchair N_8 chain, indicating that the confined zigzag N_8 chain is unstable. For comparison, we found that the armchair N_8 @h-BN structure (c) is more stable than the twisty armchair N_8 @h-BN structure (b), due to the lower energy of the former. Thus, the armchair N_8 @h-BN structure is more stable than the zigzag N_8 @h-BN structure.



Fig.S1. (a) Unit cell of zigzag N₈@h-BN crystal structure. (b) Unit cell of twisty armchair N₈@h-BN crystal structure after the relaxation. (c) Unit cell of armchair N₈@h-BN crystal structure.

In order to obtain the optimal arrangement of N_8 @h-BN structure, we construct the 1×2×2 supercell structure of h-BN matrix and put the single N_8 molecule in the different directions. As shown in Fig.S2 (a), the N_8 molecule of figures 1, 2, and 3 laying on the *oxy* plane with its stretching direction point to the *oz*, *oyz* and *oy* directions, respectively. For the figures 4 and 5, the titled (45°) and perpendicular directions are selected with the stretching direction of N₈ molecule point to the *oz* direction (Shown in *oxy* plane). As the built five typical models, the DFT total energy calculation is performed by self-consistent calculation method. As shown in Fig.S2 (b), the energy differences (ΔE) of different molecular orientation of N₈ molecule are presented. It can be seen that the N₈ molecule in *oz* direction is more suitable than that in *oyz* and *oy* directions [($\Delta E_1 < \Delta E_5 < \Delta E_4$) $< \Delta E_2 < \Delta E_3$], while the laying N₈ molecule is more suitable than the tilted and standing N₈ molecule ($\Delta E_1 < \Delta E_5 < \Delta E_4$). Thus, the optimal arrangement of N₈@h-BN structure is the laying N₈ molecule in the *oz* direction.



Fig.S2. (a) Images of five typical arrangements of N_8 molecule between h-BN matrix are presented. (b) The energy difference (ΔE) of different molecular arrangements.

For the N₈@h-BN periodic structure, the N₈ composes of two unit cells of nitrogen chain (4 atoms); while the h-BN composes of $1 \times 4 \times 3$ unit cell structure. The N₈ lying on the *oc* direction. The details of the lattice constants are as follows.

For h-BN: oa=6.661 Å, ob=oc=2.504 Å.

For N₄: *oa*=4.635 Å, *ob*=5.165 Å, *oc*=3.927 Å.

The lattice mismatch $\delta = |(3*L_{BN}-2*L_{N4})/2*L_{N4}|=0.0435$; L refers to lattice constants *oc* (N₈ chain is in the oc direction). According to the reports, if $|\delta| < 5\%$, the lattice matches well. (A. Zur, and T. C. McGill, Journal of Applied Physics 1984, 55, 378 and He, Z., Zhang, M et.al, Journal of Nanchang University (Natural Science), 2006,vol 30). The result of lattice mismatch ensures that the system size at computationally affordable level.