CuFeO₂-NiFe₂O₄ hybrid electrode for lithium-ion batteries with ultra-stable electrochemical performance

Jun Young Cheong ^a, Seokwon Lee ^b, Jiyoung Lee ^a, Haeseong Lim^a, Su-Ho Cho ^a, Doh C. Lee ^b and Il-Doo Kim *^a

^a Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science & Technology, 335 Science Road, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science & Technology, 335 Science Road, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea

*Email address: idkim@kaist.ac.kr (I. D. Kim)



Fig. S1. SEM-EDS analysis of (a) CFO and (b) CFO-Ni (0.4).



Fig. S2. XPS spectrum for (a) Cu, (b) Fe, and (c) Ni for CFO-Ni (0.4).



Fig. S3. Charge and discharge profile of CFO in the formation cycle.



Fig. S4. Charge and discharge profile of CFO in the 2nd, 10th, 50th, and 100th cycle.



Fig. S5. Ex situ XRD patterns of (a) CFO and (b) CFO-Ni (0.4) after cycling.

Sample	Capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Current Density (mA g ⁻¹)	Cycles	References
CuFeO ₂ @rGO	587	200	100	[1]
CuFeO ₂ /graphene	670	141.6	100	[2]
CuFeO ₂ (650 °C)	475	354	100	[3]
CFO-Ni (0.4)	147	5000	800	This Work
CFO-Ni (0.4)	500	500	100	This Work

Table S1. Comparison of electrochemical performance for Co_3O_4 NPs by fast formation cycling with previously reported Co_3O_4 -based electrodes.

References

[1] J. Wang, Q. Deng, M. Li, K. Jiang, J. Zhang, Z. Hu, J. Chu, Copper ferrites@reduced graphene oxide anode materials for advanced lithium storage applications, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 8903.

[2] Y. Dong, C. Cao, Y.-S. Chui, J.A. Zapien, Facile hydrothermal synthesis of CuFeO₂ hexagonal platelets/rings and graphene composites as anode materials for lithium ion batteries, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 10151-10154.

[3] L. Lu, J.-Z. Wang, X.-B. Zhu, X.-W. Gao, H.-K. Liu, High capacity and high rate capability of nanostructured CuFeO₂ anode materials for lithium-ion batteries, J. Power Sources 196 (2011) 7025-7029.