Facile synthetic approach towards vasorelaxant active 4hydroxyquinazoline-4-carboxamides

Marian N. Aziz,^a Siva S. Panda,^b ElSayed M. Shalaby,^c Nehmedo G. Fawzy^a and Adel S. Girgis^{*,a}

^a Department of Pesticide Chemistry, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt

^b Department of Chemistry & Physics, Augusta University, Augusta, GA 30912, USA

^c X-Ray Crystallography Lab., Physics Division, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza

12622, Egypt

* Author for correspondence: girgisas10@yahoo.com

Supplementary material

Table titles

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters of compounds 10c and 13d.

Table S2. Selected intramolecular bond lengths (Å) of compounds 10c and 13d.

 Table S3. Selected intramolecular bond angles (°) of compounds 10c and 13d.

Table S4. Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for compounds 10c and 13d.

 Table S5. Descriptors of the BMLR-QSAR model for the synthesized vasorelaxant quinazolines (13a-i).

Table S6. Observed/estimated vasorelaxant properties of the synthesized quinazolines(13a-i) according to 2D-QSAR model.

 Table S7. Molecular descriptor values of the BMLR-QSAR model for the synthesized vasorelaxant quinazolines (13a-i).

 Table S8. Best fit values and estimated vasodilation activity values for the tested

 quinazolines (13a-i) according to the 3D-pharmacophore modeling.

Figure captions

Fig. S1. IR spectrum of compound 10c (KBr pellet).

- Fig. S2. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 10c in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S3. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 10c in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S4. IR spectrum of compound 13a (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S5. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13a in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S6. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13a in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S7. IR spectrum of compound 13b (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S8. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13b in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S9. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13b in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S10. IR spectrum of compound 13c (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S11. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13c in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S12. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13c in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S13. IR spectrum of compound 13d (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S14. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13d in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S15. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13d in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S16. IR spectrum of compound 13e (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S17. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13e in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S18. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13e in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S19. IR spectrum of compound 13f (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S20. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13f in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S21. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13f in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S22. IR spectrum of compound 13g (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S23. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13g in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S24. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13g in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S25. IR spectrum of compound 13h (KBr pellet).
- **Fig. S26.** ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **13h** in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S27. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13h in DMSO- d_6 .
- Fig. S28. IR spectrum of compound 13i (KBr pellet).
- Fig. S29. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13i in CDCl₃.
- Fig. S30. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13i in CDCl₃.
- Fig. S31. A view of the unit cell contents for 10c showing the H-bonds as dashed lines.

Fig. S32. A view of the unit cell contents for 13d showing the H-bonds as dashed lines.

Fig. S33. Effect of synthesized compounds (**10c**, **13a-i**) and Doxazosin on contracture induced by norepinephrine hydrochloride (NE.HCl) in rat thoracic aortic rings.

Fig. S34. Potency (IC₅₀, μ M) of the tested compounds on contracture induced by norepinephrine hydrochloride in rat thoracic aortic rings compared with Doxazosin used as a reference standard.

Fig. S35. (A) Constraint distances "H-1 – H-2 = 5.886, H-1 – HBD = 4.853, H-2 – HBD = 5.669 Å", (B) Constraint angle "H-2 – H-2 – HBD = 62.86 °" of the generated 3D-pharmacophore for the tested quinazoline-4-carboxamides **13a–i** which contains two hydrophobics (H-1 and H-2; light blue) and one hydrogen bonding donor (HBD; purple). **Fig. S36.** 3D-pharmacophore model mapped on the tested quinazoline-4-carboxamides **13a–i**.

Single crystal X-ray studies

Data collections for compounds **10c** and **13d** were performed at the X-ray diffraction beamline (XRD1) of the Elettra Synchrotron, Trieste (Italy).¹ Crystals were dipped in NHV oil (Jena Bioscience GmbH) and mounted on the goniometer head with a nylon loop. Complete datasets were collected at room temperature through the rotating crystal method. Data were acquired using a monochromatic wavelength of 0.700 Å on a Pilatus 2M hybrid-pixel area detector. The diffraction data were indexed and integrated using XDS.²

The structures were solved by SUPERFLIP³ implemented in the CRYSTALS program suit.⁴ Fourier analysis and refinement were performed by the full-matrix leastsquares methods based on F² implemented in CRYSTALS. The hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically in their idealized positions.⁵The general-purpose program, PLATON⁶ was used for the structure analysis and presentation of the results. ORTEP-3 for Windows⁷ and *MERCURY*⁸ programs were used for molecular graphics representations. Essential crystal and refinement data (Table S1) are reported below. Geometrical parameters are summarized in ESI Tables S2, S3. Crystallographic data for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 1913146 and CCDC 1913147. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [Fax: 144(0)1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Vasodilation studies

The vasodilation activity screening was undertaken by Pharmacology Department, National Research Centre, Egypt, according to the standard *in vitro* bioassay technique,⁹ by testing the effects of the synthesized agents **10c** and **13a-i** and compared with Doxazosin (α_1 -AR antagonist) on isolated thoracic aortic rings of male Wistar rats (200–250 g) pre-contracted with norepinephrine hydrochloride. After light ether anesthesia, the rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The aortae were immediately excised, freed of extraneous tissues, and prepared for isometric tension recording. Aorta was cut into (3–5 mm width) rings and each ring was placed in a vertical chamber "10 ml

jacketed automatic multi-chamber organ bath system (Model no. ML870B6/C, Panlab, Spain)" filled with Krebs solution composed of (in mM): NaCl, 118.0; KCl, 4.7; NaHCO₃, 25.0; CaCl₂, 1.8; NaH₂PO₄, 1.2; MgSO₄, 1.2; glucose, 11.0 and oxygenated with carbogen gas (95% O2/5% CO₂) at 37 ± 0.5 °C. Each aortic ring was mounted between two stainless steel hooks passed through its lumen. The lower hook was fixed between two plates, while the upper one was attached to a force displacement transducer (Model no. MLT0201, Panlab, Spain) connected to an amplifier (PowerLab, AD Instruments Pty. Ltd.), which was connected to a computer. The Chart for windows (v 3.4) software was used to record and elaborate data.

Preparations were stabilized under 2 g resting tension during 2 h, and then the contracture response to norepinephrine hydrochloride (10^{-6} M) was measured before and after exposure to increasing concentrations of the tested synthesized compounds. The tested compounds were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) as stock solution (10 ml of 0.005 M). Control experiments were performed in the presence of DMSO alone, at the same concentrations as those used with the derivatives tested, which demonstrated that the solvent did not affect the contractile response of isolated aorta. The observed vasodilation activity screening data for the synthesized compounds **10c**, **13a-i** and doxazosin are expressed as IC₅₀ (μ M) concentration necessary for 50% reduction of maximal norepinephrine hydrochloride induced contracture.

2D-QSAR study

The synthesized quinazoline (**13a-i**) revealing variable vasorelaxant properties were utilized for developing the 2D-QSAR modeling by CODESSA-Pro (comprehensive descriptors for structural and statistical analysis) software. Geometry of the compounds was initially optimized by AM1 technique using hyperChem 8.0 then, uploaded to CODESSA-Pro for final geometrical structure optimization by MOPAC.¹⁰ CODESSA-Pro calculated 670 molecular descriptors (constitutional, topological, geometrical, charge-related, semi-empirical, thermodynamic, molecular-type, atomic-type and bondtype descriptors) for the exported agents. Mathematical transformation of the experimental values (including IC₅₀, 1/IC₅₀, log(IC₅₀) and 1/ log(IC₅₀) μ M) were used searching for the best QSAR model. The best multi-linear regression (BMLR) technique was utilized which is a stepwise search for the best *n*-parameter regression equations (where *n* stands for the number of descriptors used), based on the highest R^2 (squared correlation coefficient), R^2 cvOO (squared cross-validation "leave one-out, LOO" coefficient), R^2 cvMO (squared cross-validation "leave many-out up to 20% of the training set, LMO" coefficient), *F* (Fisher statistical significance criteria) values, and s^2 (standard deviation). The QSAR up to 2-descriptor model describing the biological activity of the active agents were generated (obeying the thumb rule of about 4.5:1 which is the ratio between the data points and the number of QSAR descriptor).

ZX shadow/ZX rectangle is a geometrical descriptor with *t*-criterion value (level of significance) = 9.331. Due to its high coefficient value (3.03178), compounds with high descriptor value reveal low biological properties and vice versa, as shown for compounds **13h**, **13i** which possess descriptor values = 0.61476, 0.71826 corresponding to predicted values = 164, 441 μ M, respectively (ESI Tables S5–S7). Relative shadow areas of a molecule can be calculated by equ. (1).¹¹

Where, *C* is the contour of projection for the molecule on the plane (defined by principal axes k = XY, XZ or YZ plane), v - x or y, $\rho - y$ or z and $S^{(k)} = X \cdot Y; X \cdot Z$ or $Y \cdot Z$.

Topographic electronic index (all pairs) is a charge-related descriptor with negative sign in the QSAR model (coefficient value = -0.356238). This is why the compounds with high descriptor value possess high predicted efficacy and vice versa as exhibited for pairs **13h/13i** (descriptor values = 5.55987, 5.23453, respectively). Topological electronic indices can be calculated by equ. (2, 3).¹¹

$$T_{1}^{E} = \sum_{(i < j)}^{N_{SA}} \frac{|q_{i} - q_{j}|}{r_{ij}^{2}} \dots (2)$$
$$T_{2}^{E} = \sum_{(i < j)}^{N_{b}} \frac{|q_{i} - q_{j}|}{r_{ij}^{2}} \dots (3)$$

Where, q_i is the partial charge on the *i*th atom. r_{ij} is the distance between the *i*th and *j*th atoms. N_{SA} is the number of non-hydrogen atom in the molecule. N_b is the number of bonds between non-hydrogen atom in the molecule.

3D-Pharmacophore modeling study

3D-Pharmacophoric study was undertaken by the standard technique utilizing Discovery Studio 2.5 software for the vasorelaxant active quinazolines **13a-i**.¹²

References

- A. Lausi, M. Polentarutti, S. Onesti, J. R. Plaisier, E. Busetto, G. Bais, L. Barba, A. Cassetta, G. Campi, D. Lamba, A. Pifferi, S. C. Mande, D. D. Sarma, S. M. Sharma and G. Paolucci, Status of the crystallography beamlines at Elettra, *Eur. Phy. J. Plus* 2015, 130: 43, 1–8.
- 2. W. Kabsch, XDS, Acta Cryst. Sect. D 2010, 66, 125–132.
- L. Palatinus and G. Chapuis, *SUPERFLIP* a computer program for the solution of crystal structures by charge flipping in arbitrary dimensions, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2007, 40, 786–790.
- P. W. Betteridge, J. R. Carruthers, R. I. Cooper, K. Prout and D. J. Watkin, *CRYSTALS* version 12: software for guided crystal structure analysis, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2003, 36, 1487.
- 5. R. I. Cooper, A. L. Thompson and D. J. Watkin, *CRYSTALS* enhancements: dealing with hydrogen atoms in refinement, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2010, **43**, 1100–1107.
- A. L. Spek, Structure validation in chemical crystallography, *Acta Cryst. Sect. D* 2009, 65, 148–155.
- L. J. Farrugia, WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update, J. Appl. Cryst. 2012, 45, 849–854.
- C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek and P. A. Wood, *Mercury CSD* 2.0 – new features for the visualization and investigation of crystal structures, J. *Appl. Cryst.* 2008, 41, 466–470.
- E. M. Shalaby, A. S. Girgis, H. Farag, A. F. Mabied and A. N. Fitch, Synthesis, Xray powder diffraction and DFT calculations of vasorelaxant active 3-(arylmethylidene)pyrrolidine-2,5-diones, *RSC Adv.* 2016, 6, 112950–112959.
- 10. A. M. Srour, S. S. Panda, A. M. M. Salman, M. A. El-Manawaty, R. F. George, E.

M. Shalaby, A. N. Fitch, N. G. Fawzy and A. S. Girgis, Synthesis and molecular modeling studies of bronchodilatory active indole-pyridine conjugates, *Future Med. Chem.* 2018, **10**, 1787–1804.

- A. R. Katritzky, R. Petrukhin, I. Petrukhina, A. Lomaka, D. B. Tatham and M. Karelson, CODESSA-Pro software manual 2005, pp. 44, 48.
- S. S. Panda, O. S. Detistov, A. S. Girgis, P. P. Mohapatra, A. Samir and A. R. Katritzky, Synthesis and molecular modeling of antimicrobial active fluoroquinolone-pyrazine conjugates with amino acid linkers, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 26, 2198–2205.

Parameters	Compd. 10c	Compd. 13d					
Crystal data	I						
Chemical formula	$C_{11}H_{10}N_2O_3$	$C_{20}H_{21}N_3O_3$					
M _r	218.21	351.40					
Crystal system, space	Monoclinic, C2/c	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$					
group							
Temperature (K)	293	293					
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	10.926 (2), 21.310 (4), 8.9155	17.500 (4), 13.189 (3), 17.709 (4)					
	(18)						
β (°)	94.02 (3)	117.61 (3)					
$V(Å^3)$	2070.7 (4)	3621.9 (17)					
Ζ	8	8					
Radiation type	Synchrotron	Synchrotron					
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	0.10	0.09					
Crystal size (mm)	$0.07 \times 0.07 \times 0.06$	$0.10 \times 0.09 \times 0.06$					
Data collection							
Absorption	Multi-scan	Multi-scan					
correction	DENZO/SCALEPACK	DENZO/SCALEPACK					
	(Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)	(Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)					
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.99, 0.99	0.99, 0.99					
No. of measured,	26527, 3404, 3317	67134, 11051, 10075					
independent and							
observed [I >							
$2.0\sigma(I)$] reflections							
R _{int}	0.024	0.021					
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (Å^{-1})$	0.735	0.714					
Refinement	Refinement						

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters of compounds 10c and 13d.

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)],$	0.066, 0.185, 1.20	0.045, 0.110, 1.18
$wR(F^2), S$		
No. of reflections	3317	10075
No. of parameters	149	470
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.39, -0.32	0.31, -0.29
CCDC deposition	1913146	1913147
number		

Geometric parameters	Compd.	Geometric parameters	Compd. 13d	
Geometric parameters	10c	Geometric parameters	Moiety 1	Moiety 2
01—C2	1.216	N1-C1	1.3605	1.361
C2—N3	1.4325	N1—C2	1.3914	1.3914
C2—N14	1.3398	N2—C1	1.3831	1.3803
N3—C4	1.4347	N2—C8	1.4734	1.476
N3—C11	1.391	N2-C15	1.4422	1.4407
C4—C5	1.3904	N3—C9	1.34	1.3399
С4—С9	1.4023	N3—C10	1.4749	1.4708
С5—С6	1.3911	N3—C14	1.4683	1.4627
С6—С7	1.388	C1—O1	1.2315	1.2341
С7—С8	1.394	C2—C3	1.4014	1.4001
С8—С9	1.3929	C2—C7	1.3918	1.3901
C9—C10	1.4501	C3—C4	1.3827	1.384
C10-C11	1.5439	C4—C5	1.3889	1.3846
C10—O13	1.2134	С5—С6	1.3891	1.3883
C11—O12	1.2114	С6—С7	1.3914	1.3934
N14—C15	1.4582	С7—С8	1.5131	1.5111
C15—C16	1.455	С8—С9	1.5658	1.5642
		C8—O2	1.4108	1.4074
		С9—О3	1.2346	1.2325
		C10—C11	1.5109	1.5113
		C11—C12	1.5207	1.5148
		C12—C13	1.5205	1.5208
		C13—C14	1.525	1.5237
		C15—C16	1.3878	1.3911
		C15—C20	1.3886	1.3871
		C16—C17	1.392	1.3884
		C17—C18	1.3799	1.3751

 Table S2. Selected intramolecular bond lengths (Å) of compounds 10c and 13d.

	C18—C19	1.3725	1.3779
	C19—C20	1.396	1.394

 Table S3. Selected intramolecular bond angles (°) of compounds 10c and 13d.

Geometric parameters	Compd.	Geometric parameters	Compd. 13d	
	10c	Geometric parameters	Moiety 1	Moiety 2
01—C2—N3	119.83	C1—N1—C2	123.7	124.07
01—C2—N14	125.33	C1—N2—C8	118.9	119.58
N3-C2-N14	114.82	C1—N2—C15	117.49	117.98
C2—N3—C4	124.3	C8—N2—C15	119.7	119.35
C2—N3—C11	125.84	C9—N3—C10	117.59	118.75
C4—N3—C11	109.53	C9—N3—C14	127.06	128.03
N3—C4—C5	128.72	C10—N3—C14	114.45	113.22
N3—C4—C9	110.16	N2-C1-N1	116.22	116.19
C5—C4—C9	121.09	N2-C1-O1	122.31	122.26
C4—C5—C6	116.82	N1-C1-01	121.44	121.51
C5—C6—C7	122.64	N1—C2—C3	120.69	120.7
С6—С7—С8	120.48	N1—C2—C7	118.81	118.92
С7—С8—С9	117.57	C3—C2—C7	120.5	120.38
C4—C9—C8	121.38	C2—C3—C4	119.37	119.4
C4—C9—C10	108.33	C3—C4—C5	120.52	120.67
C8—C9—C10	130.23	C4—C5—C6	119.89	119.76
C9-C10-C11	105.46	C5—C6—C7	120.43	120.49
C9—C10—O13	131.93	C2—C7—C6	119.26	119.29
C11—C10—O13	122.53	C2—C7—C8	117.17	117.53
C10-C11-N3	106.49	С6—С7—С8	123.37	122.89
C10-C11-O12	125.61	C7—C8—N2	109.32	109.75
N3-C11-O12	127.9	С7—С8—С9	111.82	112.19
C2—N14—C15	121.81	N2—C8—C9	111	109.77
N14—C15—C16	112.9	С7—С8—О2	106.77	106.63
		N2-C8-O2	109.74	109.97
		C9—C8—O2	108.07	108.47
		C8—C9—N3	121.12	120.48
		С8—С9—О3	116.26	116.91
		N3—C9—O3	122.56	122.6
		N3—C10—C11	111.21	110.49
		C10-C11-C12	110.71	110.88
		C11—C12—C13	109.84	111.17

C12—C13—C14	111.86	111.92
C13—C14—N3	111.4	110.61
N2-C15-C16	119.01	118.95
N2-C15-C20	121.26	121.15
C16—C15—C20	119.67	119.83
C15—C16—C17	120.02	119.98
C16—C17—C18	120.29	120.3
C17—C18—C19	119.74	119.81
C18—C19—C20	120.79	120.79
C19—C20—C15	119.48	119.25

 Table S4. Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for compounds 10c and 13d.

Compd.	<i>D</i> —Н…А	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
	$N14$ — $H141$ ···O 12^{i}	0.92(2)	1.99(3)	2.709(2)	134.6(13)
10c	C8—H81…O1 ⁱⁱ	0.95(3)	2.48(4)	3.414(2)	168.0(12)
	C5—H51…O13 ⁱⁱ	0.95(4)	2.66(3)	3.300(3)	124.9(13)
	N1—H11…O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.87	1.96	2.827(2)	180
	O2—H21…O3 ^{iv}	0.86	2.20	2.925(3)	141
13d	C20—H201…O3 ^{iv}	0.95	2.56	3.485(3)	166
	N21—H211…O21 ^v	0.87	1.95	2.819(2)	177
	O22—H221…O23 ^{iv}	0.84	2.13	2.837(2)	141

Symmetry codes: (i) -x,1-y,1-z; (ii) -1/2+x,1/2-y,1/2+z; (iii) 1-x,2-y,2-z; (iv) 1-x,1-y,2-z;

 Table S5. Descriptors of the BMLR-QSAR model for the synthesized vasorelaxant quinazolines (13a-i).

Entry	ID	Coefficient	S	t	Descriptor	
1	0	2.33137	0.320	7.281	Intercept	
2	D_1	3.03178	0.325	9.331	ZX Shadow/ZX Rectangle	
3	D_2	-0.356238	0.040	-8.949	Topographic electronic index (all	
					pairs)	
$N = 9, n = 2, R^2 = 0.970, R^2 \text{cvOO} = 0.905, R^2 \text{cvMO} = 0.937, F = 98.592, s^2 = 0.001$						
$Log(IC_{50}, \mu M) = 2.33137 + (3.03178 \text{ x } D_1) - (0.356238 \text{ x } D_2)$						

Table S6. Observed/estimated vasorelaxant properties of the synthesized quinazolines(13a-i) according to 2D-QSAR model.

Entry Compd	Observed	Log[observed	Predicted	Log[predicted	Error ^a	
Entry	Compu.	(IC ₅₀ , µM)	(IC ₅₀ , µM)]	(IC ₅₀ , µM)	(IC ₅₀ , µM)]	
1	13a	302	2.48001	292	2.46575	10
2	13b	415	2.61805	421	2.62458	-6
3	13c	392	2.59329	380	2.57985	12
4	13d	332	2.52114	328	2.51622	4
5	13e	250	2.39794	268	2.42867	-18
6	13f	305	2.4843	303	2.481	2
7	13g	298	2.47422	270	2.43184	28
8	13h	158	2.19866	164	2.21454	-6
9	13i	416	2.61909	441	2.64423	-25

 a Error is the difference between the observed and estimated IC_{50} (μM) vasorelaxant values.

Entry	Compound	Descriptors ^a		
		D_1	<i>D</i> ₂	
1	13a	0.65032	5.15739	
2	13b	0.66837	4.86514	
3	13c	0.66393	4.9529	
4	13d	0.66933	5.17745	
5	13e	0.65465	5.29831	
6	13f	0.63608	4.99336	
7	13g	0.67779	5.4864	
8	13h	0.61476	5.55987	
9	13i	0.71826	5.23453	

 Table S7. Molecular descriptor values of the BMLR-QSAR model for the synthesized vasorelaxant quinazolines (13a-i).

^{*a*} $D_1 = ZX$ Shadow/ZX Rectangle, $D_2 =$ Topographic electronic index (all pairs).

Table S8. Best fit values and estimated vasodilation activity values for the tested quinazolines (**13a-i**) according to the 3D-pharmacophore modeling.

Entry	Compd.	Observed (IC ₅₀ , µM)	Estimated (IC ₅₀ , µM)	Error ^a	Fit value
1	13a	302	324	-22	5.551
2	13b	415	445	-30	5.413
3	13c	392	363	29	5.502
4	13d	332	319	13	5.557
5	13e	250	237	13	5.686
6	13f	305	400	-95	5.459
7	13g	298	318	-20	5.559
8	13h	158	150	8	5.885
9	13i	416	337	79	5.534

^{*a*} Error is the difference between the observed and estimated IC_{50} (μ M) vasorelaxant values.



Fig. S1. IR spectrum of compound 10c (KBr pellet).



Fig. S2. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 10c in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S3. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 10c in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S4. IR spectrum of compound 13a (KBr pellet).



Fig. S5. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13a in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S6. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13a in DMSO- d_6 .







Fig. S8. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13b in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S9. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13b in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S10. IR spectrum of compound 13c (KBr pellet).



Fig. S11. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13c in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S12. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13c in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S13. IR spectrum of compound 13d (KBr pellet).



Fig. S14. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13d in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S15. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13d in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S16. IR spectrum of compound 13e (KBr pellet).



Fig. S17. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13e in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S18. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13e in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S19. IR spectrum of compound 13f (KBr pellet).



Fig. S20. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13f in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S21. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13f in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S22. IR spectrum of compound 13g (KBr pellet).



Fig. S23. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13g in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S24. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13g in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S25. IR spectrum of compound 13h (KBr pellet).



Fig. S26. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13h in DMSO-*d*₆.



Fig. S27. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13h in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S28. IR spectrum of compound 13i (KBr pellet).



Fig. S29. ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 13i in CDCl₃.



Fig. S30. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 13i in CDCl₃.



Fig. S31. A view of the unit cell contents for 10c showing the H-bonds as dashed lines.



Fig. S32. A view of the unit cell contents for 13d showing the H-bonds as dashed lines.













Fig. S33. Effect of synthesized compounds (**10c**, **13a-i**) and Doxazosin on contracture induced by norepinephrine hydrochloride (NE.HCl) in rat thoracic aortic rings.



Fig. S34. Potency (IC_{50} , μM) of the tested compounds on contracture induced by norepinephrine hydrochloride in rat thoracic aortic rings compared with Doxazosin used as a reference standard.



Fig. S35. (A) Constraint distances "H-1 – H-2 = 5.886, H-1 – HBD = 4.853, H-2 – HBD = 5.669 Å", (B) Constraint angle "H-2 – H-2 – HBD = 62.86 °" of the generated 3D-pharmacophore for the tested quinazoline-4-carboxamides **13a–i** which contains two hydrophobics (H-1 and H-2; light blue) and one hydrogen bonding donor (HBD; purple).











Fig. S36. 3D-pharmacophore model mapped on the tested quinazoline-4-carboxamides 13a–i.