Supporting Information

Two-Photon-Excited Ultralong Organic Room Temperature Phosphorescence by Operating Dual-Channel Triplet Harvesting

Zhu Mao, Zhan Yang, Chao Xu, Zongliang Xie, Long Jiang, Feng Long Gu, Juan Zhao,* Yi Zhang, Matthew P. Aldred, and Zhenguo Chi

Experimental Procedures

Chemical Reagents and Materials

The reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources. 2-Bromobenzaldehyde, phenylmagnesium bromide, pyridium chlorochromate (PCC) and carbazole were purchased from J&K and were used directly without any further purification. The solvents ethanol, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from Guangzhou chemical reagents factory.

General Information

The ¹H and ¹³C spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 400 spectrometer ((400 MHz)) in DMSO- d_6 and CDCl₃, respectively. The mass spectra were obtained on thermospectrometers (DSQ & MAT95XP-HRMS). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was carried out using the NETZSCH DSC 204 F1 instrument with a heating rate of 10 K/min in a pure nitrogen flow. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was measured by a Thremo LCQ DECA XP liquid chromatography spectrometry. UV-visible absorption spectra of solutions and solid were performed on Hitachi U-3900 and Shimadzu UV3600 ultraviolet-visible-near-infrared (UV-Vis-NIR) spectrometer, respectively. Steady-state photoluminescence spectra, excitation-emission-matrix spectra, transient decay spectra and photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) were carried out using a Jobin Yvon-Horiba FL-3 spectrofluorometer and equipped with a calibrated integrating sphere. Phosphorescence spectra, temperature-dependent phosphorescence spectra, and temperature-dependent decay curves were obtained through Ocean Optics QE65 Pro CCD integrated with Corning heater and Ocean Optics LED-365 or LED-405 as excitation sources. CIE coordinates were calculated by CIE 1931 Chromaticity coordinated calculator (version 1.6.0.2) based on the delayed spectrum. Excitation-energy-dependent steady-state spectra were recorded with Ocean Optics QE65 Pro CCD and excited with Continuum Minilite YAG laser (repetition rate of 15 Hz). The afterglow photographs were recorded with a Canon EOS 550D Camera.

Synthesis of compound o-Cz



Figure S1. The synthetic route for compound *o*-Cz.

Procedure for the synthesis of (2-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)(phenyl)methanone (o-Cz)

Synthesis of *o*-Cz. The intermediate compound 2BrBP was synthesized according to the previous work.^[1] ¹H NMR data of *o*-Cz recorded in (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz) : δ 8.00–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.88 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.80 (dd, 1H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.74 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.67 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.8Hz), 7.30 (dd, 2H, *J* = 8.3 Hz), 7.23–7.08 (m, 7H), 6.95 (dd, 2H, *J* = 8.4). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃,126 MHz): δ 96.74, 140.90, 137.70, 136.47, 136.18, 132.51, 131.89, 131.20, 128.89, 128.29, 127.79, 126.90, 125.71, 123.20, 119.93, 119.88, 109.98, 109.90. EI-MS m/z: [m]⁺ cald for C₂₅H₁₇NO, 347, found 347. HRMS m/z: [m]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₁₇NO, 347.1310, found 347.1307.

The X-ray crystal structure of *o*-Cz

The single crystal was obtained by slow evaporation of a mixed solvent (DCM and ethanol (2:1)), and the X-ray diffraction data was collected from the instrument Agilent Technologies Gemini A Ultra system with Cu-K α

| radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å | A) at 275(6) K | and resolved | using dire | ect methods | with | OLEX2. | The | CCDC | number | of <i>o</i> - |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------|--------|-----|------|--------|---------------|
| Cz is 1885292. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for o-Cz. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Empirical formula | C25 H17 N O | | | |
| Formula weight | 347.39 | | | |
| Temperature | 275(6) K | | | |
| Wavelength | 1.54184 Å | | | |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | | | |
| Space group | $P2_1/c$ | | | |
| Unit cell dimensions | a = 11.5634(2) Å alpha = 90 deg. | | | |
| | b = 15.7484(2) Å beta = 96.1930(10) deg. | | | |
| | c = 10.0607(2) Å gamma = 90 deg. | | | |
| Volume | 1821.41(5) Å ³ | | | |
| Z | 4 | | | |
| Density (calculated) | 1.267 mg/m^3 | | | |
| Absorption coefficient | 0.601 mm ⁻¹ | | | |
| F(000) | 728.0 | | | |
| Crystal size | 0.20 x 0.20 x 0.40 mm ³ | | | |
| Theta range for data collection | 7.69 to 148.344 | | | |
| Index ranges | -14<=h<=11, -19<=k<=17, -12<=l<=10 | | | |
| Reflections collected | 11841 | | | |
| Independent reflections | 3644 [Rint = 0.0214, Rsigma = 0.0159] | | | |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.906 | | | |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3644/0/245 | | | |
| Goodness-of-fit on F ² | 1.057 | | | |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $R_1 = 0.0390, wR_2 = 0.1168$ | | | |
| R indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0417, wR_2 = 0.1203$ | | | |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.15 and -0.13 e.Å ⁻³ | | | |

Theoretical calculations

Molecular geometries were extracted in single crystals and performed by Gaussian 09W program package with time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) with Beck's three-parameter hybrid exchange functional^[2] and Lee, and Yang and Parr correlation functional^[3] (B3LYP) with 6-31G* basic set. Energy level distribution of the isolated molecules simulated in THF solutions with a cavity of a THF solvent and using the polarizable continuum model. Natural transition orbital (NTO) analysis was extracted based on TD-DFT results and visualized via Gaussview (6.0.16). Spin-orbital couplings (SOC) matrix elements were calculated via the Beijing Density Functional (BDF) program based on B3LYP/6-31G*.^[4] Non-covalent interactions (NCI) of intramolecular and intermolecular interactions analyses were carried out by Multiwfn^[5] with reduced density gradient (RDG) and independent gradient model (IGM), respectively.^[6] The NCI results were plotted via VMD software (version 1.9.3).^[7]

The calculations of the second hyperpolarizabilities

The TPA process corresponds to simultaneous absorption of two photons. During this process, the TPA efficiency can be characterized by the TPA cross section $\delta(\omega)$ at optical frequency $\omega/2\pi$, and it can be directly related to the imaginary part of the third-order hyperpolarizability $\gamma(-\omega;\omega,-\omega,\omega)$, as shown in equation 1

$$\delta(\omega) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\hbar n^2 c^2} L^4(\hbar\omega)^2 \text{Im}[\gamma(-\omega;\omega,-\omega,\omega)]$$
(1)

where $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of the incoming photons, *n* denotes the refractive index of the medium, and *L* corresponds to the localfield factor.

Employing the perturbation theory and the density matrix method, the sum-over-states (SOS) expression can be deduced to evaluate the components of the second hyperpolarizability. The SOS equation for second hyperpolarizability γ is

$$\gamma_{ijkl}(-\omega;\omega,-\omega,\omega) = \frac{1}{6}P(i,j,k,l;-\omega,\omega,-\omega,\omega) \times \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \frac{\langle g|\mu_i|m\rangle \mathbb{Z}n\mathbb{Z}}{m\neq g n\neq g p\neq g} \frac{\langle g|\mu_i|m\rangle \mathbb{Z}n\mathbb{Z}}{(E_m - \hbar\omega - i\Gamma_m)(E_n - i\Gamma_n)(E_p - \hbar\omega - i\Gamma_p)} - \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \frac{\langle g|\mu_i|m\rangle \mathbb{Z}n\mathbb{Z}}{(E_m - \hbar\omega - i\Gamma_m)(E_n - \hbar\omega - i\Gamma_n)(E_n + i\Gamma_n)} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(2)$$

where g, m, n, and p denote the ground state and excited states; $|m\rangle$ is an electronic wave function with energy $\hbar\omega$ relative to the ground electronic state; μ_i is the $\alpha_{th}(=x, y, z)$ component of the dipole operator. Γ_m is the damping factor of excited-state m.^[5, 8-9]

In the present work, all damping factors Γ are set to 0.10 eV. A chosen basis set size of 280 states included in both configuration interaction and SOS expansion is sufficient for convergence for γ of all the molecules. According to the above formula, the second hyperpolarizabilities γ of *p*-Cz and *o*-Cz include the contributions from 280 lowest-lying excited states are calculated by modified Multiwfn program are 411.90 × 10⁻³⁶ esu and 6022.53 × 10⁻³⁶ esu, respectively. Thus, the results indicate that *o*-Cz has larger TPA cross sections and it is a promising candidate for TPA molecule.

Two-photon induced emission measurements

Two-photon induced emission and intensity-dependent emission spectra were collected at 90° relative to the excitation laser and performed on Princeton Instruments PIXIS400 CCD equipped with Princeton Instrument IsoPlane 160 as monochrometer and Spectra-Physics ultrafast OPO as femtosecond laser excitation source. Two-photon induced phosphorescence were excited by an ultrafast amplified Ti: Sapphire laser with a central wavelength of 808 nm, a pulse length of 95 fs and a repetition rate of 1 kHz. The corresponding spectra and decay curve were recorded by Ocean Optics QE65 Pro CCD with an integral time of 8 ms. The two-photon induced decay lifetime was fitted by Origin. All the above measurements were carried out under ambient conditions. The difference of the two-photon induced steady-state spectral profiles and lifetime compared with the one-photon induced emission is ascribed to the different spectrophotometers used.

Photophysical properties



Figure S2. The overlap integral of the norm $(I_{H/L})$ and the lowest singlet-triplet energy gap (ΔE_{ST}) of the HOMO and LUMO for (a) *p*-Cz and (b) *o*-Cz. The geometries are extracted from single crystals analysis.



Figure S3. Plots of the reduced density gradient (RDG) with isovalue of 0.5 versus the electron density of (a) *p*-Cz and (b) *o*-Cz. The geometries are extracted from single crystal x-ray diffraction analysis.



Figure S4. Solvatochromism of *o*-Cz in dilute solutions $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra and (b) PL spectra, in different polarity solvents.



Figure S5. Powder X-ray diffraction and single crystal simulation diffraction of o-Cz.



Figure S6. Excitation-emission-matrix spectra of *o*-Cz in the solid-state under ambient conditions. Emission intensity enhances with the color changing from green to yellow and to red.



Figure S7. (a) Normalized excitation spectra of *o*-Cz in the solid-state with emission at 467 nm (green line) and 542 nm (red line). (b) Normalized excitation-dependent steady-state emission spectra of *o*-Cz masking at 2nd order Rayleigh with 5 nm slit width.



Figure S8. Phosphorescence spectra (delayed time = 8 ms) of *o*-Cz excited with different wavelengths in the crystalline state. The 365 nm and 405 nm were triggered with LED sources.



Figure S9. Steady-state PL spectra of o-Cz in vaccum (blue line) and oxygen (red line) conditions.



Figure S10. DSC curves of crystalline *o*-Cz on the first heating cycle (red line) and second heating cycle (blue line). The melting point is indicated.



Figure S11. (a) The two-component decay curve of o-Cz. (b) The prompt decay at 472 nm.



Figure S12. (a) Excitation energy-dependent steady-state photoluminescence spectra of *o*-Cz in the solid-state under ambient conditions masking at 532 nm (excited with 355 nm, YAG laser 15 Hz). (b) Normalized spectra profile.



Figure S13. Variation of the emission intensity of *o*-Cz with increasing excitation energy in case of (a) collecting at 467 nm and (b) collecting at 542 nm. A clear linear dependence is observed at low input energy indicating the TADF process at 467 nm.



Figure S14. Fluorescence (room temperature, blue area) and phosphorescence (77 K, delay 8 ms, green area) spectra of (a) *p*-Cz and (b) *o*-Cz in THF solutions $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$.



Figure S15. Delayed emission spectra of crystalline (a) *p*-Cz and (b) *o*-Cz at room temperature (blue line) and 77 K (red line). S₁ and T₁ represent the lowest singlet energy level and the lowest triplet energy level of *o*-Cz monomer, respectively. T* represents the triplet energy level of the intermolecular electronic coupling dimer.

Table S2. Energy distribution of *o*-Cz in THF solution $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ and crystal by both theoretical and experimental calculation.

| Energy / | in | THF | in <i>Crystal</i> | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| eV | Theoretical | Experimental | Theoretical | Experimental | | |
| S_1 | 2.97 | 3.14 | 3.22 | 2.82 | | |
| T ₁ | 2.77 | 2.86 | 3.01 | 2.65 | | |
| $\Delta E_{ m ST}$ | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.17 | | |

Table S3. Energy distribution of *p*-Cz in THF solution $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ and crystal by both theoretical and experimental calculation.

| Energy / | in | THF | in <i>Crystal</i> | | |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| eV | Theoretical | Experimental | Theoretical | Experimental | |
| S_1 | 3.08 | 3.40 | 3.27 | 2.89 | |
| T_1 | 2.62 | 2.79 | 2.87 | 2.57 | |
| $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ | 0.46 | 0.61 | 0.40 | 0.32 | |



Figure S16. Temperature-dependent ultralong emission CIE coordinates of o-Cz under ambient conditions (excitation 405 nm, delay time = 8 ms).

Table S4. Temperature-dependent afterglow CIE coordinates of o-Cz under ambient conditions (excitation 405 nm, delay time = 8 ms)

| Temperature / K | CIE / x | CIE / y |
|------------------------|---------|---------|
| 297 | 0.4161 | 0.4264 |
| 305 | 0.3853 | 0.4235 |
| 313 | 0.3520 | 0.4183 |
| 323 | 0.3199 | 0.4116 |
| 337 | 0.3017 | 0.4070 |
| 343 | 0.2943 | 0.4050 |



Figure S17. Molecular packing mode of *o*-Cz with a view from (a) an axis, (b) b axis and (c) c axis.



Figure S18. Molecular packing in the crystal lattice of *o*-Cz. The blue dotted lines represent intermolecular interactions of C=O···H and C-H··· π .



Figure S19. Herringbone aggregation and hydrogen bonds (upper) in the crystal lattice of *o*-Cz, and the visualization of corresponding interaction regions with an isovalue of 0.005.



Figure S20. The intermolecular electronic coupling of the T_1^* state in the herringbone dimer. The transition of the S_0 - T_1^* occurred between the herringbone dimer. T_1^* represents the lowest triplet state of the dimer.



Figure S21. NTO distributions of S₁, lower-lying T₁, T₂ and T₃ at crystalline geometric structure of *o*-Cz. The n proportion represents the $n\pi^*$ configuration proportions of the corresponding excited states.



Figure S22. NTO distributions of S₁, lower-lying T₁, T₂ and T₃ at crystalline geometric structure of *p*-Cz. The n proportion represents the $n\pi^*$ configuration proportions of the corresponding excited states.



Figure S23. (a) Two-photon induced emission with varying input laser intensity; (b) Normalized emission profiles. The difference of the two-photon induced steady-state spectral profiles compared with the one-photon induced emission is due to the different spectrophotometers used.



Figure S24. Variation of the emission intensity of o-Cz with increasing excitation energy triggered by a femtosecond laser (collected at 470 nm).



Figure S25. Phosphorescence spectra (delayed time = 8 ms) of o-Cz excited at different wavelengths in the crystalline state. The up-conversion excitation of 808 nm was triggered by a femtosecond laser and the 405 nm was triggered using an LED source. The 405 nm is nearly half of 808 nm.



Figure S26. The decay curve of the *o*-Cz with the 808 nm laser excitation (collected at 542 nm). The shorten phosphorescence lifetime of the two-photon-excitation can be ascribed to the different spectrophotometers.



Figure S27. Steady spectrum of *p*-Cz in crystalline state.



Figure S28. Photographs of *p*-Cz under NIR-light irradiation and after the light source has been switched off.

Structure information



Figure S29. HPLC of o-Cz in acetonitrile solution (with a purity of 99.80%).

Figure S31. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of *o*-Cz in CDCl₃.

Figure S32. EI of o-Cz.

Figure S33. HRMS of *o*-Cz.

References

- [1] Pu, X.; Qi, X.; Ready, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10364–10365.
- [2] Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- [3] Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785-789.
- [4] Liu, W.; Wang, F.; Li, L. J. Theor. Comput. Chem., 2003, 02, 257–272.
- [5] Lu, T.; Chen, F. J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580–592.
- [6] (a) Johnson, E. R.; Keinan, S.; Sanchez, P. M.; Garcıa, J. C.; Cohen, A. J.; Yang, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6498–6506; (b) Lefebvre, C.; Rubez, G.; Khartabil, H.; Boisson, J. C.; Garcia, J. C.; Henon, E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 17928–17936.
- [7] Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. J. Mole. Graph., 1996, 14, 33-38.
- [8] Caylor, C. L.; Dobrianow, I.; Kimmr, C.; Thome, R. E.; Zipfel, W.; Webb, W. W. Phys. Rev. E, 1999, 59, 3831–3834.
- [9] Orr, B. J.; Ward, J. F. Mol. Phys., 1971, 20, 513-526.