## High-performance Spinel LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Carbon Core-shell Cathode Materials for Li-ion Batteries

Vadivel Selvamani,<sup>a,b</sup> Nutthaphon Phattharasupakun,<sup>a,b</sup> Juthaporn Wutthiprom,<sup>a,b</sup> and Montree Sawangphruk <sub>a,b</sub>,\*

<sup>a</sup>Centre of Excellence for Energy Storage Technology (CEST), Vidyasirimedhi Institute of Science and Technology, Rayong 21210, Thailand.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, School of Energy Science and Engineering, Vidyasirimedhi Institute of Science and Technology, Rayong 21210, Thailand.

Corresponding author: montree.s@vistec.ac.th



Fig. S1 Thermogravimetric curves of neat LMO, physically blended LMO-carbon composite (PLMO) and mechanical force reinforced carbon composite (LMOCS) in oxygen at 10° min.



Fig. S2 (a) Capacity retention as a function of cycle number and (b) Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles at a current density of 0.1 A  $g^{-1}$  for MCMB anode (inset: magnified view).



Fig. S3 EIS spectra of (a) Core-shell LMO and (b) P-LMO before and after cycling along with fitting and (c) Graph of  $Z_{re}$  plotted against  $\omega^{-1/2}$  at low frequency region for LMOCS and PLMO before and after cycling.



Fig. S4 Randle's equivalent circuit generated by the fitting software.

S. no	Materials	C- Rate	Specific capacity (mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	References
1.	MnO coated LMO	0.5	105	2
2.	S-doped LMO	1.0	105	7
3.	La-Sr-Mn-O coated LMO	0.2	122	12
4.	MWCNT-LMO	0.5	70	15
5.	N-doped carbon	0.2	123	16
6.	LMO with $FeF_3$ coated	0.5	100	20
7.	Mechano-fused core-shell	0.2	129	Our work
	type LMO-carbon composite	1.0	118	

Table 61 Flootwashersiaal newformanaa aanaariaan table far different LNO material
Table. SI Electrochemical performance comparison table for different LIVIO materials