Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Sustainable Energy & Fuels. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supplementary Information

## Organic ligand promoting the electrocatalytic activity of cobalt oxide for hydrogen evolution reaction

Chien-Hong Chen<sup>a</sup>,\* Tzung-Wen Chiou<sup>b</sup>,\* Han-Chun Chang,<sup>a</sup> Wei-Liang Li,<sup>b</sup> Chi-Yen Tung<sup>b</sup> and Wen-Feng Liaw<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Medical Applied Chemistry, Chung Shan Medical University and Department of Medical Education, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung 40201, Taiwan
<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan
Email: <u>cchwind@csmu.edu.tw</u> (CHC) and <u>twchiou@mx.nthu.edu.tw</u> (TWC)

## **Experimental section**

**Chemicals.**  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (99.9 %) and NaOH (99.99 %) were bought from Alfa Aesar. 2,2'-dipyridylamine (Hdap) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry. Methanol solvent was bought from Duksan. Sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, >99.0%) was purchased from Fluka. Platinum on carbon (40 wt. % Pt/C, Pt on an activated carbon support) and Nafion® perfluorinated resin solution (5 wt. % in lower aliphatic alcohols and water, contains 15-20% water) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were used directly without further purification. Ultrapure water (18.2 M $\Omega$ ) used in the experiments was supplied by a Millipore System (Direct-Q<sup>®</sup> 3).

**Electrode Preparation.** The electrodeposition was carried out with a standard threeelectrode electrochemical cell containing glassy carbon disk (surface area: 0.0707 cm<sup>2</sup>), a graphite rod (L 100 mm, diam. 3 mm) and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the working, auxiliary and reference electrodes, respectively. The electrolyte solution of  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C was prepared;  $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (0.024 g, 0.1 mmol), Hdpa (0.017g, 0.1 mmol) and NaOH (0.004g, 0.1 mmol) were first dissolved in 5 mL methanol and then the solution after the addition of  $Na_2SO_4$  (14.204 g, 0.1 mol) was added water to 100 mL. Similarly, the preparation of  $Co_3O_4$ -C electrolyte solution was followed above the procedure without Hdpa. The  $Co_3O_4$ -C and  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C films were prepared though controlled potential electrolysis at -1.644 V vs SCE with violently stirring until the constant current (~240 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) for 24 h at ambient temperature. After deposition, the films by careful rinse with water were directly used for electrochemistry tests. 40 wt.% Pt-C (20 mg) was dispersed in a 5 mL mixture solution containing 0.5 mL 5% Nafion solution and 4.5 mL methanol, followed by sonication for 30 min to obtain a homogeneous catalyst ink. The catalyst ink was loaded on the surfaces of the glassy carbon disk electrode to achieve 2.8 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.

**Physical characterization.** Powder X-ray diffraction (pXRD) data were obtained using a Bruker D8 X-ray Powder Diffractometer with a Cu K-α radiation source in the range  $2\theta = 5-80^{\circ}$ . Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectra (EDX) were obtained with a JSM 7000F microscope (JEOL) equipped with an Oxford EDX system. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were collected on a ULVAC-PHI XPS spectrometer equipped with a monochromatized 1486.6 eV Al Kα X-ray line source directed 45° with respect to the sample surface. The spectra were registered at a base pressure of  $<5\times10^{-10}$  torr. Low-resolution survey scans were acquired with a 100 µm spot size between the binding energies of 1-1100 eV. High-resolution scans with a resolution of 0.1 eV were collected between 280-292 (for C), 392-408 (for N), 525-540 (for O) and 770-810 (for Co) eV. IR and ATR-IR spectra were recorded on a ALPHA FT-IR spectrometer and ALPHA Eco ATR with ZnSe crystal, respectively. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 500 spectrometer.

**ESI-MS and ICP-MS experiments**. Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-dpa-C film on the glassy carbon electrode was scraped in 10 mL methanol solution containing a magnetic stirring bar. The solution was sonicated for 1 h and then stirred for overnight. The clarified part of solution was collected for electrospray ionization mass spectrometer (ESI-MS) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) experiments. Coldspray ionization time-of-flight mass (CSI-TOF MS) spectral data were collected on a JMS-T100LP4G (JEOL) mass spectrometer equipped with the CSI source. Typical measurement conditions are as follows: needle voltage: 0 kV, orifice 1 voltage: 100 V, ring lens voltage: 10 V, spray temperature: 0°C. ICP-MS was performed on a Agilent

7500ce. Dry samples were dissolved in concentrated NHO<sub>3</sub>.

Electrochemical measurements. All electrochemical experiments were performed with a CH Instrument 621b potentiostat. Fundamental electrochemical testing was carried out, consisting of samples as the working electrode, a graphite rod (L 100 mm, diam. 3 mm) auxiliary electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) reference electrode. All potentials reported in this paper were converted from vs SCE to vs reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). RHE = SCE + 0.244 + 0.059×pH. In all experiments, the iR compensation was performed by CHI model 621b software. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained in 1.0 M  $\mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}$  at a scan rate of 2 mV/s. Tafel slopes were calculated using the Polarization curves by plotting overpotential against log(current density). Controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) experiments were conducted in 1.0 M NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub> stirred constantly. The auxiliary electrode in CPE cell was separated from the solution of the working electrode by a medium-porosity sintered-glass frit. The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was evaluated in terms of double-layer capacitance. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) scans were conducted in static solution by sweeping the potential from the more positive to negative potential and back at 8 different scan rates: 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 40 and 80 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. This range is typically a 0.1 V potential window centered on the opencircuit potential (OCP) of the system. The capacitance was determined from the tenth cyclic voltammetry curve of each scan rate. The electrochemical double-layer capacitance,  $C_{DL}$ , as given by  $i_c = vC_{DL}$  ( $i_c$ : current density from CV, v: scan rate). The specific capacitance for a flat surface is generally found to be in the range of 20-60 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>. We used a value of 40 mFcm<sup>-2</sup> in the following calculations of the electrochemical active surface area. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out in a frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with an amplitude of 5 mV at an overpotential of 270 mV. The curve fitting was performed by Zview2 software.

**Determinations of Faradaic Efficiency and TOF.** Quantification of the produced H<sub>2</sub> gas was performed by gas chromatography (SRI 8610C) equipped with a molecular sieves (MS-13x) column and helium ionization detector (HID). Helium was used as the carrier gas. Calibration curves were built by the injection of the known amounts of pure H<sub>2</sub>. The amounts of H<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the solution were corrected by the Henry's law ( $K_{\rm H} = 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$  mol/atm·L for H<sub>2</sub>).

Faradaic efficiency (%) = [Charge (CPE)/2/96485]×100%/[Volume (H<sub>2</sub> gas of GC detection)/24.5]

 $TON = [Charge (CPE)/2/96485] \times [Faradaic efficiency (\%)]/[number of catalyst (mol)]$ The number of catalyst was determined from ICP analysis.

 $TOF = [TON]/[time of CPE] (s^{-1})$ 



d.



Figure S1. (a) The magnified X-ray diffraction patterns of fresh graphite, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-C and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-dpa-C. The stars are the diffraction peaks of residual sodium sulfate. SEM images of (b) fresh graphite plate and (c,d) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-C.



**Figure S2.** (a) EDX spectrum and (b-e) SEM-EDX elemental maps (Co, N and O elements are shown as blue, green and red, respectively) of  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C.



**Figure S3.** (a) Survey, (b) O 1s and (c) C 1s XPS spectra of  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C and  $Co_3O_4$ -C.



**Figure S4**. The corresponding Tafel plots of  $Co_3O_4$ -C (red),  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C (blue) and 40% Pt/C electrodes (green) in 1.0 M NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub> (scan rate 2 mVs<sup>-1</sup>).



**Figure S5**. (a) The amount of  $H_2$  gas obtained by theoretical calculation (red) and experimental measurements (black) versus time for the controlled potential electrolysis of 1.0 M NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub> employing Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-dpa-C as working electrode at applied overpotential of 270 mV. (b) The calibration curve of  $H_2$ .



Figure S6. LSV curves of the  $Co_3O_4$ -dpa-C before and after CPE for 45 h in 1.0 M  $NaOH_{(aq)}$  at an applied overpotential of 270 mV (scan rate 2 mVs<sup>-1</sup>).



**Figure S7.** The LSV curves from Fig. 2a normalized to the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA).



**Figure S8.** LSV curves of glassy carbon (black) and Hdpa (purple) electrodes in 1.0 M  $\text{NaOH}_{(aq)}$  (scan rate 2 mVs<sup>-1</sup>). Hdpa electrode was prepared by homogeneously depositing 0.2 mg of Hdpa in methanol solution onto the glassy carbon electrode



Figure S9. IR spectra of (a)  $Na_2SO_4$ , (b) Kdpa and Hdpa (in KBr).



Figure S10. UV-vis spectrum of the extracting Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-dpa-C in methanol solution.



**Figure S11.** LSV curves of blank (black), the extracted  $[Co_4(dpa)_4]$ -cores (blue) and the extracted  $[Co_4(dpa)_4]$ -cores collected 5 times (dark cyan) in 1.0 M NaOH H<sub>2</sub>O-methanol solution (volume ratio = 19:1) (scan rate 2 mVs<sup>-1</sup>).

Catalysts	$\eta_{10}$	Tafel	Condition	Electrode <sup>a</sup>	References			
	(mV)	(mV/dec)						
Carbon-based electrodes:								
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -dpa-C	53	92	1 M NaOH	GC	This work			
Ni-C-N NSs	30.8		1M KOH	GC	J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 14546.			
MoP/SNG	49	54	1 M KOH	GC	ACS Catal., 2017, 3030.			
WC/W <sub>2</sub> C	56	59	1 M KOH	GC	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 15395.			
Mo <sub>2</sub> C@NC	60		1 M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 10752.			
CuNDs/Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	60	76.2	1 M KOH	CF	J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 610.			
Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub> PNS <sub>vac</sub>	63	58	1 M KOH	GC	ACS Catal., 2018, 8077.			
MoSe <sub>2</sub> /MO <sub>x</sub>	64		1 M KOH	GC	Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 1801764.			
Ni(OH)₂@Ni	68	97	1 M KOH	СС	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 7744.			
Ni₃N@CQDs	69	108	1 M KOH	GC	ACS Nano, 2018, 4148.			
MoP-NC	69	52	1 M KOH	СС	Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 1801527.			
MoC	77	50	1 M KOH	GC	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 6006.			
Ni/WC	77	68.6	1 M KOH	GC	Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, 2114.			
Mo <sub>3</sub> P/Mo	78	43	1 M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 14139.			
CoMnP@C	81	55.53	pH = 14	GC	Energy Environ. Sci., 2017, 788.			
Zn <sub>0.3</sub> Co <sub>2.7</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	85		1 M KOH	GC	J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 1359.			
NiFeO <sub>x</sub>	88	150	1 M KOH	CFP	Nat. Commun., 2015, 7261.			
Ni-MoS <sub>2</sub>	98	60	1 M KOH	СС	Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 2789.			
NiO/Ni-CNT	<100	82	1 M KOH	RDE	Nat. Commun., 2014, 4695.			
TiO <sub>2</sub> /Co	106	62	1 M KOH	CF	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 2960.			
CoP/NC	129	58	1 M KOH	GC	ACS Catal., 2017, 3824.			
MoNC <sub>2</sub>	132	90	0.1 M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 16086.			
MoB/g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	133	46	1 M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 496.			
MoC <sub>x</sub>	151	59	1 M KOH	GC	Nat. Commun., 2015, 6512.			
CoMoS <sub>x</sub>	~158 (5)		0.1M KOH	GC	Nat. Mater., 2016, 197.			
Fe/FeO <sub>x</sub>	160	93	1 M NaOH	GC	Dalton Trans., 2018, 7128.			
Co-NRCNT	160 (1)		1 M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 4372.			
CoN <sub>x</sub> /C	170	75	1 M KOH	GC	Nat. Commun., 2015, 7992.			
α-Mo <sub>2</sub> C	176		1 M KOH	GC	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 8361.			
Co <sub>2</sub> P/WC@NC	180	90	1 M KOH	GC	ChemSusChem, 2018, 1082.			
c-CoSe <sub>2</sub>	190	85	1 M KOH	СС	Adv. Mater., 2016, 7527.			
NiS <sub>2</sub> /MoS <sub>2</sub>	204	65	1 M KOH	GC	ACS Catal., 2017, 6179.			
NiMo <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	257	98	0.1M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2016, 15240.			

**Table S1**. Selected the non-precious HER catalysts in alkaline condition.

Non-carbon-based electrodes: (continue)							
MoNi <sub>4</sub>	15	30	1 M KOH	NF	Nat. Commun., 2017, 15437.		
MoNi/CoMoO <sub>3</sub>	18	35	1M KOH	NF	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 15558.		
Co-Co <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	25	33	1 M KOH	NF	ACS Catal., 2018, 5062.		
Porous-MoO <sub>2</sub>	27	41	1 M KOH	NF	Adv. Mater., 2016, 3785.		
NiCoP	32	37	1 M KOH	NF	Nano Lett., 2016, 7718.		
N–NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> NWs	41	37	1 M KOH	NF	Nat. Commun., 2018, 1425.		
WO <sub>2</sub> HN	48	43	1 M KOH	NF	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 9655.		
Ni <sub>5</sub> P <sub>4</sub>	49	98	1 M NaOH	Ti	Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 1027.		
Ni <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1-x</sub>	55	54	1M KOH	NF	Adv. Sci., 2018, 1800406.		
Cu <sub>95</sub> Ti <sub>5</sub>	60	110	0.1 M KOH		Nat. Commun., 2015, 6567.		
(Co <sub>1-x</sub> Fe <sub>x</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> P	64	45	1 M KOH		Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 2257.		
Ni <sub>2</sub> P	69	118	1 M NaOH	Ti	Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 1027.		
CoMnP	76	52	1 M KOH	Ti	ACS Catal., 2017, 98.		
Fe-CoP	78	92	1M KOH	NF	Adv. Sci., 2018, 1800949.		
Ni <sub>2</sub> P/CeO <sub>2</sub>	84 (20)	87	1 M KOH	Ti mesh	Inorg. Chem., 2018, 548.		
Co(OH)₂@PA	90	91.6	1 M NaOH	NF	Adv. Mater., 2015, 7051.		
meso-FeS₂	96	78	0.1 M KOH	NF	J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 13604.		
N-NiO	100	112.58	1 M KOH	NF	ChemSusChem, 2018, 1020.		
Vc-FeP	108	62	1 M KOH	Ti	ChemSusChem, 2017, 1509.		
Co/Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	129 (20)	44	1 M KOH	NF	Nano Lett., 2015, 6015.		

<sup>a</sup> GC: glassy carbon, CC: carbon cloth, RDE: rotating disk electrode, CFP: carbon fiber paper, CF: carbon fiber, NF: nickel foam.

Catalysts	TOF	η	Condition	electrode	References
	(S <sup>-1</sup> )	(mV)			
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -dpa-C	0.95	270	1M NaOH	GC	This work
CoO NR	0.41	100	1M KOH	CFP	Nat. Commun., 2017, 1509.
Fe-CoP	0.069	200	1M KOH	NF	Adv. Sci., 2018, 1800949.
CFeCoP	0.0024	100	1M NaOH	GP <sup>a</sup>	ACS Appl. Energy Mater, 2018, 5298.
MoNi/CoMoO <sub>3</sub>	0.56	100	1M KOH	NF	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 15558.
NiCoP	8.93	100	1M KOH	NF	Nano Lett., 2016, 7718.
Ni-C-N NSs	8.52	200	1M KOH	GC	J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 14546.
Ni <sub>5</sub> P <sub>4</sub>	0.79	100	1 M NaOH	Ti	Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 1027.
	2.9	200			
Ni <sub>2</sub> P	0.004	100	1 M NaOH	Ti	Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 1027.
	0.014	200			
NiMo	0.2	100	2М КОН	Ti mesh	ACS Catal., 2013, 166.
Ni-MoS <sub>2</sub>	0.32	150	1M KOH	СС	Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 2789.
MoNC <sub>2</sub>	0.148	50	0.1M KOH	GC	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 16086.
	0.465	100			
	1.46	150			
α-Mo <sub>2</sub> C	0.5	176	1М КОН	GC	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 8361.
	0.9	200	]		
	2.5	250			

**Table S2.** TOF values of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-dpa-C and other catalysts.

<sup>a</sup>GP: graphite plates.