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Fig. S1 the photos of prepared samples.
Fig. S2 the Raman spectra (a), FITR spectrum (b) of CC and NO$_3^-$ embedded CC.

The CC was first subjected to anodic oxidation in 0.1 M KNO$_3$ for 600 s at 10 mA/cm$^2$ and then rinsed thoroughly water to remove the surface-adsorbed nitrate. As shown in Fig. S2a, the intensity of D band peak of nitrate-inserted CC exhibits higher than that of pristine CC, indicating that more defects are presented in CC after anion inserted$^{1,2}$. Moreover, as observed in Fig. S2b, the FTIR spectrum of treated CC displays an apparent peak at 1384 cm$^{-1}$ assignable to the asymmetric stretching vibration of nitrate functional groups$^3$, indicating that the nitrate anions were successfully inserted into CC.
Fig. S3 the SEM images (a-c) and XRD pattern (d) of Co(OH)$_2$ electrodeposited on CC with NO$_3^-$ intercalation.
Fig. S4 the SEM images (a-c) and XRD pattern (d) of Co$_3$O$_4$/CC.
Fig. S5 SEM low magnification images of Co/CoO-10 (a), Co/CoO-30 (b), Co/CoO-50 (c); XRD patterns of products derived from Co_3O_4 reduced at different temperature in 10% H_2/Ar atmosphere for 4h.
Fig. S6  (a) the curves of current density versus time for Co(OH)$_2$ electrodeposited on CC; (b) SEM image of Co(OH)$_2$ coated on CC without pre-anion insertion (the inset is the high magnification image); (c) SEM image of gap between film and CC without intercalation (the inset is the cross section of single carbon fiber); (d) SEM image of Co$_3$O$_4$ covered on CC without anion intercalation after calcination at air (the inset is a representative single fiber); (e) the cross section of Co(OH)$_2$ film on CC with anion insertion; (f) the cross section of Co$_3$O$_4$ film on CC with anion insertion
Fig. S7 the CV curves of various samples scanned at different rates between +1.036 V and +1.136 V: (a) Co$_3$O$_4$, (b) Co/CoO-10, (c) Co/CoO-30 and (d) Co/CoO-50.
Fig. S8 (a) CV curves of Co/CoO-10 and Co/CoO-30 recorded between 0.22V and 1.0 V (vs RHE) in 0.1 M PBS (pH=7) with a scan rate of 50 mV/s. (b) comparison of TOFs values of Co/CoO-10 and Co/CoO-30.

The TOFs (s\(^{-1}\)) for HER were calculated with the following equation\(^4,5\):

\[
TOF = \frac{I}{2NF}
\]

I: the current recorded in LSV measurement.

The factor 1/2 arrives by taking into account that two electrons are required for forming one hydrogen molecule from two protons.

N: the active sites of various catalysts (in mol), CV measurements carried out in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte (pH=7) with a scan rate of 50 mV/s at the potential windows from 0.22 to 1.0V (vs RHE). The absolute components of the voltammetric charges (cathodic and anodic) tested during one CV cycle were calculated. Assuming one electron redox process, the integrated charge over the whole potential range is divided by two. Then the value was divided by the Faraday constant to obtain the number of active sites for different electrode.

Fig. S9 (a, b) Detail of faradic efficiency measurement of the Co/CoO-30. (c) Amounts of theoretical and experimental hydrogen (H₂)

The Faradaic efficiency (FE) is calculated based on the following formula⁶,⁷:

\[ \text{FE} = \frac{V}{V_m} \left( \frac{Q}{n \times F} \right) \]

In where, \( V \) is the volume of gas evolved during test. \( V_m \) is the molar volume \((24.2 \text{ L mol}^{-1}, 288.5 \text{ K})\), \( Q \) is total quantity of electric charge (C), \( F \) is the Faradic constant \((96485.3 \text{ C mol}^{-1})\) and \( n \) is the number of moles of electrons transferred during the reaction while it is generating 1 mol for gas (which is 2 for H₂).

By comparing the amount of theoretically calculated H₂ with the experimentally measured quantities, it was found that the faradic efficiency (87.7%) was a little far from unity during initial 1.5 h test, indicating the consumption of partial charges for parasitic reactions.
Fig. S10 (a-b) SEM images, (b-c) SEM images from side view, (d) XRD pattern of the sample (Co/CoO-30) after HER test.
Fig. S11 (a) The performance of Co/CoO-30 load on CC with intercalation and without intercalation: (a) LSV curves; (b) Durability test at constant-voltage (-248 mV for CC with intercalation and -208 mV for CC without intercalation); (c-d) The low and magnified SEM images of Co/CoO-30 coated on CC without intercalation after long-term stability test.
Table S1 Comparison of HER Activities for Different catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>$\eta$@10mA/cm² (mV)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co/CoO-30</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_x$@CN</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoO/MoOx</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-MoS$_2$</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-PBCCF-H</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>~270</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@Co$_3$O$_4$-NC</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/CoP-5</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_3$O$_4$@Ni</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/CoO (dark)</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$<em>{0.65}$B$</em>{0.3}$NC800</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@NC--G-800</td>
<td>0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>~210</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>~182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-CoO@3DHPG</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_3$O$_4$@MoS$_2$</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF/NiCoMoO-Ar</td>
<td>1.0 M KOH</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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