Supporting Information for:

Preparation and characterization of crosslinked poly(vinylimidazolium) anion exchange membranes for artificial photosynthesis

Blaine M. Carter¹, Laura Keller², Matthias Wessling^{2,3}, and Daniel J. Miller^{1†}

†Corresponding Author, Tel: +1 (510) 495-2353 E-mail: <u>danieljmiller@lbl.gov</u>

¹Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 97420, United States

²RWTH Aachen University, Chemical Process Engineering, Forckenbeckstr. 51, 52074 Aachen, Germany

³DWI – Leibniz Institute for Interactive Materials, Forckenbeckstr. 50, 52074 Aachen, Germany



Fig. S1. ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound ii

[*1e6] Compound ii 12 34.06 23.86 23.68 23.66 23.66 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 ₹ 77.27 ₹ 77.02 76.76 - 6 œ - 9 4 2 • 150 **50** 200 100 0 [ppm]

Fig. S2. ¹³C (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound ii

[*1e6] Monomer 1 - 3.32 - 8.22 - 7.95 7.33 7.31 7.29 7.28 ₹ 4.21 ₹ 4.19 4.18 - 1.81 1.27 1.24 9.56 2.50 - 00 - 9 4 2 0 16.00 8 2.02 2.05 1.98 9 2.04 4.01 4.00 10 2 4 [ppm]

Fig. S3. ¹H (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) of monomer **1**



Fig. S4. ¹³C (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) of monomer **1**

Fig. S5. ¹H (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) of monomer 2





Fig. S6. ¹³C (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) of monomer **2**

Fig. S7. ¹H (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) of monomer **1a**



Due to the non-selective chemistry, the first reaction step produces monomer **1** that cannot be separated from monomer **1a**. Since monomer **1a** contains a unique CH proton at 9.19 ppm, but both monomer **1** and **1a** contain a CH proton at 9.61 ppm, the ratio of monomer **1a** and **1** is given by:

$$\frac{\text{monomer } \mathbf{1a}}{\text{monomer } \mathbf{1}} = \frac{I_{CH \ at \ 9.19 \ ppm}}{(I_{CH \ at \ 9.61 \ ppm} - I_{CH \ at \ 9.19 \ ppm})/2}$$

The mol % of monomer **1a** can be calculated as follows:

monomer **1a** (mol %) = $\frac{I_{CH at 9.19 ppm}}{I_{CH at 9.19 ppm} + (I_{CH at 9.61 ppm} - I_{CH at 9.19 ppm})/2}$ $= \frac{2 I_{CH at 9.19 ppm}}{I_{CH at 9.19 ppm} + I_{CH at 9.61 ppm}}$



Fig. S8. 13 C (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) of monomer **1a**

Fig. S9. ¹H (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) of monomer 2a



Due to the non-selective chemistry, the first reaction step produces monomer 2 that cannot be separated from monomer 2a. Since monomer 2a contains a unique CH proton at 9.13 ppm, but both monomer 2 and 2a contain a CH proton at 9.52 ppm, the ratio of monomer 2a and 2 is given by:

$$\frac{\text{monomer } 2a}{\text{monomer } 2} = \frac{I_{CH \ at \ 9.13 \ ppm}}{(I_{CH \ at \ 9.52 \ ppm} - I_{CH \ at \ 9.13 \ ppm})/2}$$

The mol % of monomer **2a** can be calculated as follows:

monomer **2a** (mol %) =
$$\frac{I_{CH at 9.13 ppm}}{I_{CH at 9.13 ppm} + (I_{CH at 9.52 ppm} - I_{CH at 9.13 ppm})/2}$$
$$= \frac{2 I_{CH at 9.13 ppm}}{I_{CH at 9.13 ppm} + I_{CH at 9.52 ppm}}$$



Fig. S10. ¹³C (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) of monomer **2a**

Fig. S11. Temperatures used to prepare membranes at different prepolymerization solvent contents. Curing was performed by photopolymerization.

Prepolymerization	Solvent	Monomer	Polymerization
solvent content (wt. %)			temperature (°C)
12.50	Water	1 or 1a	80
25.00	Water	1 or 1a	20
37.50	Water	1 or 1a	20
50.00	Water	1 or 1a	20
31.25	Methanol	1 or 1a	45
37.50	Methanol	1 or 1a	35
43.75	Methanol	1 or 1a	35
50.00	Methanol	1 or 1a	25
25.00	Methanol	2 or 2a	40
31.25	Methanol	2 or 2a	35
37.50	Methanol	2 or 2a	35
50.00	Methanol	2 or 2a	25



Fig. S12. Representative ATR FTIR spectra of monomer **1** before and after polymerization. Polymer was dried in a vacuum oven to remove solvent prior to measurement.







Fig. S14. Representative ATR FTIR spectra of monomer **1** and **1a** before and after polymerization. Polymers were dried in a vacuum oven to remove solvent prior to measurement.



Fig. S15. Representative ATR FTIR spectra of monomer **2** and **2a** before and after polymerization. Polymers were dried in a vacuum oven to remove solvent prior to measurement.

2.10 Thermal characterization

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on dried polymer films using a TA Instruments Q20 (New Castle, DE). All samples were dried under vacuum (< 1 Torr, 40 °C) for a minimum of 24 h before analysis. To erase the thermal history of the samples, they were heated to 200 °C at 10 °C/min or 20 °C/min, held isothermally for 5 min, and then cooled to -80 °C at 5 °C/min or 10 °C/min and held isothermally for 5 min. This cycle was repeated and the second cycle was used for analysis.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on dry polymer films using a TA Instruments Q50 (New Castle, DE). All samples were dried under vacuum (< 1 Torr, 40 °C) for a minimum of 24 h before analysis. Samples were equilibrated at 25 °C, then heated to 500 °C at 5 °C/min and held isothermally for 5 min.