Supporting information for

Transition Metal-Doped Ultrathin RuO₂ Networked Nanowires for Efficient Overall Water Splitting in Broad pH Range

Juan Wang,^{a#} Yujin Ji,^{b#} Rongguan Yin,^b Youyong Li,^{b*} Qi Shao,^a and Xiaoqing Huang^{a*}

^aCollege of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Jiangsu 215123, China ^bInstitute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Soochow University, Jiangsu 215123, China. [#]Juan Wang and Yujin Ji contributed equally to this work. *Email: yyli@suda.edu.cn, hxq006@suda.edu.cn

Experimental Section

Chemicals. Nickel (II) acetate tetrahydrate (Ni(Ac)₂, >98%) was purchased from Strem chemicals Inc. Iron (III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)₃, 97%) and Ruthenium (III) chloride hydrate (RuCl₃·xH₂O, 99.98%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Cobalt (II) acetate tetrahydrate (Co(Ac)₂·4H₂O, 99.9%) was available from Aladdin-reagent Inc. Poly (vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, average M.W. 8000, K15-19) was purchased from J&K Scientific Ltd. Sodium bromide (NaBr, >99), glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆, AR), isopropanol (IPA, AR) and ethylene glycol (EG, AR) were available from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Preparation of Ru NWs and M-doped Ru NWs (M = Fe/Co/Ni). First, 10 mg RuCl₃·xH₂O, 50 mg PVP, 25 mg NaBr and 30 mg C₆H₁₂O₆ dispersed in 10 mL EG. The r mixture was maintained at 190 °C about 4 h in an oil bath after ultrasonicated treatment for 30 min. The products were washed by using ethanol/acetone solution. The preparations of Fe-doped Ru NWs, Co-doped Ru NWs and Ni-doped Ru NWs were obtained by adding 5 mg Fe(acac)₃, 5 mg Co(Ac)₂ and 2 mg Ni(Ac)₂ into the mixture, respectively.

Synthesis of M-doped RuO₂ NWs. M-doped Ru NWs were loaded on the Vulcan VCX72 carbon (20wt%, determined by ICP-AES) in 10 mL ethanol with ultrasonicated for 1 h. The resulting homogeneous mixture was washed with ethanol/acetone solution. The powders were annealed at 200 °C for 30 min in air conditions. The products were denoted as RuO₂ NWs, Fe-doped RuO₂ NWs, Co-doped RuO₂ NWs and Ni-doped RuO₂ NWs, respectively.

Characterizations. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a HITACHI HT7700 transmission electron microscope with voltage of 120 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM), scanning transmission electron microscopy energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) element mappings and high-angle annular dark-field STEM (HAADF-STEM) were carried out on a FEI Tecnai F20 transmission electron microscope with voltage of 200 kV. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Shimadzu XRD-6000 X-ray diffractometer with a range from 20° to 80° and using a Cu Ka X-ray as source ($\lambda = 1.540598$ Å). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was done with an SSI S-Probe XPS Spectrometer. The concentration of catalyst was determined by the inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (710-ES, Varian, ICP-AES).

Electrochemical measurements. Electrochemical measurements of all samples were performed by using CHI660 electrochemical workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai) with three-electrode system. The glassy carbon (GC), saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and graphite rod were used as working electrode, reference electrode and counter electrode, respectively. For electrochemical measurements, 2 mg catalyst was dispersed in 900 μ L IPA, 100 μ L H₂0 and 10 μ L Nafion (5%) with ultrasonication treatment about 0.5h to obtain catalyst ink. Then, 20 μ L catalyst ink was deposited on the GC electrode. After that, electrochemical measurements were carried out in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, 0.05 M H₂SO₄, 0.1 M KOH and 1.0 M KOH. All of polarization curves were obtained at the potential range with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ and 95% IR compensation. The long-term stabilities for water were tested by using a two-electrode system.

Computational details. All spin-unrestricted first-principles calculations were ran by using Vienna Abinitio Simulation Package (VASP) software with the projected augmented wave (PAW).^{1,2} The exchangecorrelation functional adopts the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) formula proposed by Perdew-Burke- Ernzerhof (PBE) and the convergence standards of energy and force was corresponding to 10^{-4} eV and -0.05 eV/Å, respectively, during self-consistent calculations.³ The cut-off energy was set about 500 eV. Meanwhile, the empirical Grimme method (DFT-D2) was used to consider weak van der Waals interaction of HER/OER intermediates into consideration.⁴ Besides, the Methfessel-Paxton smearing method with a sigma value 0.05 eV is set to consider the electronic partial occupancies. In the RuO₂ system, the (110) surface of 3 layered RuO₂ is cleaved from its bulk structure (a=3.14 Å, b=c=4.54Å) with a 15 Å vacuum height to avoid the image coupling of periodic boundary condition. The bottom layer is constrained during optimization to simulate the bulk counterpart. The adsorption free energy of G(*H) and G(*OH) is calculated by:

$$G(*H) = E(*H) - E(*) - \frac{1}{2}E(H_2) + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S$$

$$G(*OH) = E(*OH) - E(*) - (E(H_2O) - \frac{1}{2}E(H_2)) + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S$$

where E(*OH) and E(*H) are the total energy of substrate after the adsorption of H and OH. E(*) is the total energy of substrate without adsorption of intermediates. $E(H_2O)$ and $E(H_2)$ are the total energy of H_2O and H_2 in gas phase. ΔZPE is the zero-point energy based on finite differences method and the ΔS is the contribution of entropy.

Supporting Figures and Tables.



Fig. S1 Size distributions of (a) Co-doped Ru NWs, (b) Ni-doped Ru NWs, (c) Fe-doped Ru NWs and (d) Ru NWs.



Fig. S2 TEM images of (a, b) Ru NWs, (c, d) Fe-doped Ru NWs and (e, f) Ni-doped Ru NWs. (g) HADDF-STEM image and (h) STEM-EDS element mappings of Ni-doped Ru NWs.



Fig. S3 SEM-EDS spectra of (a) Fe-doped Ru NWs and (b) Ni-doped Ru NWs.



Fig. S4 PXRD patterns of M-doped Ru NWs.



Fig. S5 TEM images of carbon supported (a, b) RuO₂ NWs, (c, d) Fe-doped RuO₂ NWs and (e, f) Nidoped RuO₂ NWs.



Fig. S6 PXRD patterns of M-doped RuO₂ NWs.



Fig. S7 OER polarization curves of Ru NWs in (a) $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$, (b) 1.0 M KOH, (c) $0.05 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and (d) 0.1 M KOH.



Fig. S8 HER polarization curves of Ru NWs in (a) $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$, (b) 1.0 M KOH, (c) $0.05 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and (d) 0.1 M KOH.



Fig. S9 OER polarization curves of commercial RuO_2 in (a) 0.5 M H₂SO₄, (b) 1.0 M KOH, (c) 0.05 M H₂SO₄ and (d) 0.1 M KOH.



Fig. S10 OER polarization curves of commercial Ir/C, RuO_2 NWs and Co-doped RuO_2 NWs in (a) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (b) 1.0 M KOH. HER polarization curves of commercial Pt/C, RuO_2 NWs and Ni-doped RuO₂ NWs in (c) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (d) 1.0 M KOH. The solid line is the 1st cycle and the dotted line is the 1000th cycle.



Fig. S11 TEM images of carbon supported (a, b) Co-doped RuO₂ NWs and (c, d) Ni-doped RuO₂ NWs after water splitting in 0.5 M H₂SO₄.



Fig. S12 TEM images of carbon supported (a, b) Co-doped RuO₂ NWs and (c, d) Ni-doped RuO₂ NWs after water splitting in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S13 SEM-EDS spectra of Co-doped RuO_2 NWs after water splitting in (a) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (b) 1.0 M KOH. SEM-EDS spectra of Ni-doped RuO_2 NWs after water splitting in (c) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (d) 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S14 PXRD patterns of Co-doped RuO_2 NWs after water splitting in (a) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and 1.0 M KOH and Ni-doped RuO_2 NWs after water splitting in (b) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S15 Ru 3p XPS spectra of (a) Co-doped RuO_2 NWs and (b) Ni-doped RuO_2 NWs after water splitting in 0.5 M H₂SO₄. Ru 3p XPS spectra of (c) Co-doped RuO₂ NWs and (d) Ni-doped RuO₂ NWs after water splitting in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S16 TEM images of commercial Ir/C (a, b) before water splitting. TEM images of commercial Ir/C after water splitting in (c, d) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and (e, f) 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S17 TEM images of commercial Pt/C (a, b) before water splitting. TEM images of commercial Pt/C after water splitting in (c, d) $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and (e, f) 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S18 XPS spectra of Ru 3p of M-doped RuO₂ NWs.

Table S1 Summary of recently reported OER electrocatalysts in different electrolytes.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	Current density	Overpotential (mV)	Reference
Co-doped RuO ₂ NWs	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	200	This work
Rh ₂ P/C	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	5 mA cm ⁻²	510	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139, 5494-5502 (2017).
ZnFe _{0.4} Co _{1.6} O ₄	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	~340	Adv. Mater. DOI: 10.1002/adma.2018 02912.
Au@Ir NRB	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	296	Small 12 , 3908- 3913 (2016).
HG-NiFe	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	310	<i>Sci. Adv.</i> 4 , eaap7970 (2018).
CFP/NiCo ₂ O ₄ / CoO _{0.53} Ni _{0.47} LMOs	0.1 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	34	Nanoscale 8 , 1390- 1400 (2016).
PdNi/CNFs-1:2	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	289	<i>Electrochim. Acta</i> 246 , 17-26 (2017).
Co ₃ O ₄	0.1 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	~320	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 36-39 (2016).
Fe/P/C	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	330	Nano Energy 33 , 221-228 (2017).

 Table S2 Summary of recently reported HER electrocatalysts in different electrolytes.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	Current density	Overpotential (mV)	Reference
Ni-doped RuO ₂ NWs	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	52	This work
Li-PPS NDs	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	91	Nat. Catal. 1, 460-468 (2018).
Ru/C ₃ N ₄ /C	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	79	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 16174-16181 (2016).
Pt ₃ Ni ₃ NWs	0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄	5 mA cm ⁻²	60	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 128, 13051-13055 (2016).
FeP S-5mg	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	74	ACS Catal. 7, 4026- 4032 (2017).
Au@CoP	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	1 mA cm ⁻²	160	Nano Energy 50 , 273- 280 (2018).
3DGN/IrO2	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	277	Adv. Mater. 28, 7640- 7645 (2016).
FeP NWs/rGO	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	107	Adv. Sci. 2 , 1500120 (2015).
Au-Cu/CNFs-1:2	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	83	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9 , 19756- 19765 (2017).

Table S3 Summary of recently reported overall water splitting electrocatalysts in different electrolytes.

Catalyst	Electrolyte	Current density	Potential (V)	Reference
Co-doped RuO ₂ NWs	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.537	This work
Ni-doped RuO ₂ NWs	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.542	TINS WOLK
Ru ₂ Ni ₂ SNs/C Ru ₂ Ni ₂ SNs/C	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.58	Nano Energy 47 , 1-7 (2018).
IrNi NCs IrNi NCs	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.58	<i>Adv. Funct. Mater.</i> 27 , 1700886 (2017).
RuO ₂ /NiO/CF RuO ₂ /NiO/CF	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.50	<i>Small</i> 14 , 1704073 (2018).
IrCoNi CFP IrCoNi CFP	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	2 mA cm ⁻²	1.56	Adv. Mater. 29 , 1703798 (2017).
CFP/NiCo ₂ O ₄ CFP/NiCo ₂ O ₄	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	18 mA cm ⁻²	1.5	<i>Adv. Funct. Mater.</i> 25 , 6814-6822 (2015).
CoP/NCNHP CoP/NCNHP	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.64	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 , 2610-2618 (2018).
$NiS_2/CoS_2 NiS_2/CoS_2 $	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.78	Adv. Mater. 29 , 1704681 (2017).
Co ₃ O ₄ -MTA Co ₃ O ₄ -MTA	1.0 M KOH	10 mA cm ⁻²	1.53	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56 , 1324-1328 (2017).

Notes and references

- G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B* 1996, **54**, 11169.
 P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B* 1994, **50**, 17953.
 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, **77**, 3865.
 S. Grimme, *J. Comput. Chem*, 2006, **27**, 1787.