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## 1. Detailed experimental section

## (1) Synthesis

(4,8-bis(5-(2-ethylhexyl)
thio[3,4-b’]-thiophenyl-2-yl)benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene-2,6diyl)bis(trimethylstannane) $\mathbf{1}$ was synthesized according to our previous literature. ${ }^{[1]}$ Unless stated otherwise, all the chemical reagents and solvents were obtained commercially and were used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried by potassium sodium alloy under refluxing condition.


Synthesis of compound 2: Compound $1(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Ethyl 2-bromothiophene-3-carboxylate (580 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in anhydrous toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was deoxygenated with argon for 20 min . The solution mixture was stirred at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , and then cooled to room temperature. 100 mL of water was added and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removing the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/dichloromethane ( $1: 2$ ) as an eluent yielding a pale yellow solid ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4,30(\mathrm{q}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 163.01,147.96,142.02,140.62,139.58,138.72,137.69,137.02,135.82,130.76$, $129.55,125.34,125.24,124.07,120.68,117.37,61.03,41.50,35.29,32.42,28.92,25.51,23.08,14.23$, 14.07, 10.87. MS (ESI) m/z: [M] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{8}$, 998.18; found, 998.01.


Synthesis of compound 3: A Grignard reagent was prepared by the following procedure: To a suspension of magnesium turnings ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-4 drops of 1,2-dibromoethane in dry THF ( 6 mL ) was slowly added 1-bromo-4-hexylbenzene $(2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 8.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . To a solution of compound $3(780 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen was added the prepared Grignard reagent dropwise at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at reflux for 16 h. The reaction solution was extracted with diethylether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, a brown solid was obtained and directly used for next step reaction without further purification. To a solution of this brown solid in octane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sulfuric acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ slowly. The resulting solution was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After removal of the octane under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted by diethyl ether ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). Then, the crude products were purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleum ether/dichloromethane (10: 1) to give a yellow solid ( 510 mg , 2 steps overall yield $43 \%$ ).


Synthesis of compound 4: In a dry three-necked round-bottomed flask, compound 3 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ). The mixture was deoxygenated with argon for 20 min . At -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexane, $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After 1 h of stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, anhydrous DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to this solution. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. Brine ( 25 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After the solvent had been removed, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleumether/dichloromethane (1:1) as eluent, yielding a yellow solid ( $384 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 9.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-$ $6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $40 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 24) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 182.57,165.00,154.41,150.61,147.76$, $146.01,143.77,142.05,141.35,140.98,137.60,135.54,134.72,130.78,128.40,128.18,127.53,125.64$, $122.61,116.92,63.43,41.52,38.17,35.56,35.47,35.33,32.56,32.48,31.89,31.52,31.42,29.06,29.01$,
25.73, 25.61, 23.14, 22.75, 22.72, 14.26, 14.23, 10.97, 10.86. MS (ESI) m/z: [M] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{98} \mathrm{H}_{110} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{8}$, 1574.63; found,1574.45.


Synthesis of BTT-MIC: To a three-necked round bottom flask were added compound $4(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076$ mmol ), DCI-M ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and chloroform ( 20 mL ). The mixture was deoxygenated with nitrogen for 20 min and then stirred at reflux for 16 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into methanol $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleumether/dichloromethane (1:1) as eluent yielding a purple solid ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 6.63-6.65 (m, 4H), $6.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-$ $1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 187.26,186.89,164.65,159.38$, $159.33,155.38,155.33,151.31,151.19,150.65,146.91,146.81,145.46,145.03,141.35,141.12,140.17$, $140.08,139.98,139.95,139.89,139.20,136.62$, $136.44,136.15,136.09,135.68,135.62,134.79,134.30$, $134.23,133.61,133.46,133.37,130.78,127.43,127.22,126.49,125.17,124.50,124.09,123.01,122.58$, $121.69,121.23,121.16,67.18,66.64,62.24,40.50,34.52,34.43,34.33,34.20,31.48,31.44,30.83,30.81$, 30.47 , $30.38,28.67,28.04,27.99,27.97,27.92$, $24.69,24.60,22.10,21.70,21.67,21.48,20.97,13.25$, 13.19, 9.95, 9.88. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: [M] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{124} \mathrm{H}_{122} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{8}, 1954.73$, found 1954.09.


Synthesis of BTT-FIC: To a three-necked round bottom flask were added compound $4(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076$ mmol), DCI-F ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and chloroform ( 20 mL ). The mixture was deoxygenated with nitrogen for 20 min and then stirred at reflux for 16 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into methanol $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using petroleumether/dichloromethane (1:1) as eluent yielding a purple solid ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6,72(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.63(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 186.72,167.84,165.88,165.28,158.88,156.91,153.40,151.90,148.06,147.96$, $142.45,142.25,142.18,142.08,141.22,141.19,141.00,138.12,137.98,137.02,136.94,136.66,135.19$, $135.11,134.33,134.25,132.97,131.88,128.50,128.41,128.28,127.52,126.40,122.76,121.44,116.96$, $116.89,114.74,114.51,114.36,114.29,112.82,112.56,69.18,63.30,41.53,35.54,35.45,35.35,35.23$,
$32.51,32.46,31.86,31.84,31.50,31.40,29.70,29.06,29.02,29.00,28.95,25.71,25.63,23.13,22.72$, $22.70,14.32,14.28,14.22,10.97,10.90$. MALDI-TOF-MS m/z: [M] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{122} \mathrm{H}_{116} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{8}, 1962.68$, found 1962.12.

## (2) Electrochemical characterization

The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy leves of the BTT-MIC and BTT-FIC acceptors were determined by electrochemical cyclic voltammetry (CV). The CV traces of films conducted on a CHI voltammetric analyzer in acetonitrile solution containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate ( $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ ) as supporting electrolyte at room temperature by using a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and conventional three-electrode configuration consisting of a platinum working electrode with 2 mm diameter, a platinum wire counter electrode and a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ wire reference electrode. The potential of ferrocene 0.40 V vs SCE is used as internal standard. On the basis of 4.8 eV below vacuum for the energy level of $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}+$, the HOMO and LUMO levels of the both BTT-MIC and BTT-FIC acceptors were calculated from the onset oxidation potentials ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {onset }}{ }^{\mathrm{ox}}$ ) and onset reduction potentials ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {onset }}{ }^{\text {red }}$ ) respectively according to the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HOMO}=-\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {onset }}{ }^{\mathrm{ox}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}+}+4.8 \mathrm{~V}\right) \\
& \mathrm{LUMO}=-\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {onset }}{ }^{\text {red }}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}+}+4.8 \mathrm{~V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## (3) Photovoltaic Device Fabrication

The patterned indium tin oxide (ITO) glass coated substrates (sheet resistance $15 \Omega / \square$ ) were consecutively cleaned in ultrasonic baths containing detergent, de-ionized water and ethanol, respectively. The cleaned ITO substrates were blow-dried by high pure nitrogen gas and then treated by oxygen plasma for 1 min to improve its work function and clearance. Then, poly- $(3,4-$ ethylenedioxythiophene):poly-(styrenesulphonicacid) (PEDOT:PSS) (clevios P VP Al 4083, purchased from H.C. Starck co. Ltd.) thin films were fabricated on the cleaned ITO substrates by spin-coating method at 5000 round per minute (RPM) for 40 s , and then annealed at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes in ambient conditions. After annealing treatment, the ITO substrates coated PEDOT:PSS films were transferred to a high-purity nitrogen-filled glove box to fabricate active layers. The donor materials PM6 was purchased from Solarmer Materials Inc and used as received. The materials PM6:BTT-MIC and PM6:BTT-FIC were all dissolved in chlorobenzene (with or without 1,8 -diiodooctane) to prepare $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ blend solutions. The blend solutions were spin-coated on PEDOT:PSS films at 2200 rpm in a high purity nitrogen-filled glove box to fabricate the active layers. Subsequently, the active layers were then annealed for 10 min under different temperatures. The optimized thickness of the active layer is $\sim 100 \mathrm{~nm}$, which was measured by Ambios Technology XP-2 stylus Profiler. After that, PDIN solution ( $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ in methanol with $0.25 \mathrm{vol} \%$ acetic acid) was spin-coated on the top of active layers at 5000 RPM for 30 s . The cathode of Al was deposited by thermal evaporation with a shadow mask under $10^{-4} \mathrm{~Pa}$ and the thickness of 100 nm was monitored by a quartz crystal microbalance. Therefore, organic solar cells (OSCs) were fabricated with the architecture of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/PDIN/Al. The active area of PSCs is about $3.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, which is defined by the vertical overlap of ITO anode and Al cathode.

## (3) Space charge-limited current (SCLC) device fabrication

The structure of electron-only devices is $\mathrm{ITO} / \mathrm{ZnO} /$ active layer/ Al and the structure of holeonly devices is ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/ $\mathrm{MoO}_{3} / \mathrm{Ag}$. The fabrication conditions of the active layer films are same with those for the solar cells. The charge mobilities are generally described by the Mott-Gurney equation: ${ }^{[2-4]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{r} \varepsilon_{0} \mu \frac{V^{2}}{L^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the current density, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space $\left(8.85 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{cm}\right), \varepsilon_{r}$ is the dielectric constant of used materials, $\mu$ is the charge mobility, $V$ is the applied voltage and $L$ is the active layer thickness. The $\varepsilon_{r}$ parameter is assumed to be 3 , which is a typical value for organic materials. In organic materials, charge mobility is usually field dependent and can be described by the disorder formalism, typically varying with electric field, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{L}$, according to the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{0} \exp \left[0.89 \gamma \sqrt{\frac{V}{L}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ is the charge mobility at zero electric field and $\gamma$ is a constant. Then, the Mott-Gurney equation can be described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{r} \varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0} \frac{V^{2}}{L^{3}} \exp \left[0.89 \gamma \sqrt{\frac{V}{L}}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, The charge mobilities were estimated using the following equation: ${ }^{[4]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{J L^{3}}{V^{2}}\right)=0.89 \gamma \sqrt{\frac{V}{L}}+\ln \left(\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{r} \varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## (4) Solution, Film and Device Characterization

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advanced II ( 400 MHz ) spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. The high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap Xl using ESI. The ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption spectra of neat and blend films were obtained using a Shimadzu UV-3101 PC spectrometer. The current density-voltage $(J-V)$ curves of all PSCs were measured in a high-purity nitrogen-filled glove box using a Keithley 2400 source meter. AM 1.5 G irradiation at $100 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ provided by An XES-40S2 (SAN-EI Electric Co., Ltd.) solar simulator (AAA grade, $70 \times 70 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ photobeam size), which was calibrated by standard silicon solar cells (purchased from Zolix INSTRUMENTS CO. LTD). The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of solar cells were measured in air conditions by a Zolix Solar Cell Scan 100. The morphology of the active layers was investigated by atomic force microscopy (AFM) using a Dimension Icon AFM (Bruker) in a tapping mode. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the active layers were obtained by using a JEOL JEM-1400 transmission electron microscope operated at 80 kV .

## 2. Additional Experimental Results



Fig. S1. The $\ln \left(\mathrm{JL}^{3} / \mathrm{V}^{2}\right)$ vs $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{L})^{0.5}$ curves of electron-only ITO/ $\mathrm{ZnO} /$ BTT-MIC or BTT-FIC/Al device.


Fig. S2. The $J-V$ curves of BTT-MIC or BTT-FIC based OSCs with (a or d) different ratios of donor to acceptor, (b or e) different contents of DIO as additive and (c or f) different annealing temperature under AM 1.5 G illumination at intensity of $100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$.

Table S1 The photovoltaic parameters of the BTT-MIC or BTT-FIC based OSCs

| PM6:BTT-MIC | DIO | Annealing | PCE | $J_{s c}$ | $V_{o c}$ | FF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\mathrm{wt} \%]:[\mathrm{wt} \%]$ | $[\mathrm{vol} \%]$ | $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $[\%]$ | $\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right]$ | $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $[\%]$ |



Fig. S3. The $\ln \left(\mathrm{JL}^{3} / \mathrm{V}^{2}\right)$ vs $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{L})^{0.5}$ curves of a) electron-only ITO/ $\mathrm{ZnO} /$ active layer/Al device and b) holeonly ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/ $\mathrm{MoO}_{3} / \mathrm{Ag}$ devices.

Table S2 Key parameters abstracted from $J_{p h}-V_{e f f}$ curves of the optimized OSCs

|  | $\begin{gathered} J_{\text {sat }} \\ {\left[\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J_{p h}{ }^{*} \\ {\left[\mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J_{p h}{ }^{\&} \\ {\left[\mathrm{~mA}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J_{p h} * / J_{\text {sat }} \\ {[\%]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline J_{p h}^{\ell /} / J_{s a t} \\ {[\%]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{G}_{\text {max }} \\ {\left[\mathbf{1 0}^{28} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BTT-MIC | 18.65 | 15.90 | 12.51 | 85.25 | 67.08 | 1.16 |
| BTT-FIC | 19.52 | 18.54 | 15.47 | 94.97 | 79.25 | 1.22 |

$\boldsymbol{J}_{\text {sat }}$ is the $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{h}}$ under condition of $\boldsymbol{V}_{\text {eff }}=4 \mathrm{~V}$;
$J_{p h} *$ is the $\boldsymbol{J}_{p h}$ at short circuit condition;
$J_{p h}{ }^{\&}$ is the $J_{p h}$ at maximum power output condition.

Table S3 The $\mu \mathrm{e}, \mu \mathrm{h}$ and $\mu \mathrm{e} / \mu \mathrm{h}$ in the BTT-MIC and BTT-FIC based OSCs

|  | $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{Vs}^{-1}\right]$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{h}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{Vs}^{-1}\right]$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{e}} / \mu_{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ldots$ | $5.15 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.38 \times 10^{-4}$ | 2.16 |
| PM6:BTT-MIC | $5 . \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |
| PM6:BTT-FIC | $7.61 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.70 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1.33 |



Fig. S4. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S5. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



Fig. S6. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S7. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$




Fig. S8. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound BTT-MIC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$




Fig. S9. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound BTT-MIC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S10. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound BTT-FIC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Fig. S11. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound BTT-FIC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
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