Electronic Supplementary Information for

Bimetallic Ag₃Cu porous networks for ambient electrolysis of nitrogen

to ammonia

Hongjie Yu, Ziqiang Wang,* Dandan Yang, Xiaoqian Qian, You Xu, Xiaonian Li,

Hongjing Wang* and Liang Wang*

State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Green-Chemical Synthesis Technology, College of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

*Corresponding authors' E-mails: zqwang@zjut.edu.cn; hjw@zjut.edu.cn; wangliang@zjut.edu.cn



Fig. S1 EDX spectrum of the Ag₃Cu BPNs.



Fig. S2 SEM images of the (a) Ag, (b) AgCu, (c) AgCu₃, and (d) Cu nanostructures prepared under the typical synthesis condition.



Fig. S3 (a) UV-vis curves of indophenol assays with NH_4^+ ions after incubating for 1 h at room temperature; (b) calibration curve used for estimation of NH_3 by NH_4^+ ion concentration. The absorbance at 680 nm was measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer, and the fitting curve shows good linear relation of absorbance with NH_4^+ ion concentration (Y = 0.7407X + 0.088, R² = 0.999) of three times independent calibration curves.



Fig. S4 (a) UV-vis curves of various N_2H_4 H₂O concentration after incubating for 15 min at room temperature; (b) calibration curve used for estimation of N_2H_4 H₂O concentration. The absorbance at 455 nm was measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer, and the fitting curve shows good linear relation of absorbance with N_2H_4 H₂O concentration (Y = 0.517X + 0.045, R² = 0.999) of three times independent calibration curves.



Fig. S5 The UV-vis absorption spectra and corresponding yield of N₂H₄ at selected potentials.



Fig. S6 (a-e) Cyclic voltammograms and (f) capacitive current densities at 0.45 V derived from CV curves against scan rate for different samples.



Fig. S7 (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes after electrolysis for different times, and (b) the relationship between the amount of ammonia formation and the electrolysis time.



Fig. S8 UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes stained with indophenols indicator under different conditions.



Fig. S9 (a) The long-term stability test of the Ag_3Cu BPNs for 20 h and corresponding UV-vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes before and after electrolysis. (b) the comparison of NRR performance before and after electrolysis.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	NH ₃ yield rate	FE(%)	Ref.
Ag ₃ Cu BPNs	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	24.59 μg h ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ _{cat.} 9.84 μg h ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	13.28	This work
Porous bromide derived Ag film	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$1.27 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	7.36	[1]
Ag nanosheets	0.1 M HCl	$2.83 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	4.8	[2]
Pd _{0.2} Cu _{0.8} /rGO	0.1 M KOH	$2.80 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ mg^{-1}_{\ cat.}$	~0.6	[3]
Porous PdRu	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25.92 $\mu g h^{-1} m g^{-1}_{cat.}$	1.53	[4]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanorods	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$15.9 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} m g^{-1}{}_{cat.}$	0.94	[5]
TiO ₂ -rGO	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	15.13 $\mu g h^{-1} m g^{-1}_{cat.}$	3.3	[6]
pAu/NF	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	9.42 $\mu g h^{-1} cm^{-2}$	13.36	[7]
TiO ₂	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$5.61 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	2.5	[8]
MoS ₂ /CC	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$4.94 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	1.17	[9]
Au HNCs	0.5 M LiClO ₄	$3.90 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	30.2	[10]
Fe ₃ O ₄ /Ti	0.1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	$3.43 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	2.6	[11]
Fe ₂ O ₃ -CNT	diluted KHCO ₃	$0.22 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	0.15	[12]
Fe/Fe ₃ O ₄	0.1 M PBS	$0.19 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$	8.29	[13]

 Table S1. Summary of the representative catalysts on electrocatalytic NRR at ambient conditions.

References

- [1] L. Ji, X. F. Shi, A. M. Asiri, B. Z. Zheng and X. P. Sun, Inorg. Chem., 2018, 57, 14692–14697.
- [2] H. H. Huang, L. Xia, X. F. Shi, A. M. Asiri and X. P. Sun, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 11427–11430.
- [3] M. M. Shi, D. Bao, S. J. Li, B. R. Wulan, J. M. Yan and Q. Jiang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1800124.
- [4] Z. Q. Wang, C. J. Li, K. Deng, Y. Xu, H. R. Xue, X. N. Li, L. Wang and H. J. Wang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 2400–2405.
- [5] X. J. Xiang, Z. Wang, X. F. Shi, M. K. Fan and X. P. Sun, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, 10, 4530–4535.
- [6] X. X. Zhang, Q. Liu, X. F. Shi, A. M. Asiri, Y. L. Luo, X. P. Sun and T. S. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 17303–17306.
- [7] H. J. Wang, H. J. Yu, Z. Q. Wang, Y. H. Li, Y. Xu, X. N. Li, H. R. Xue and L. Wang, *Small*, 2019, 15, 1804769.
- [8] R. Zhang, X. Ren, X. F. Shi, F. Y. Xie , B. Z. Zheng, X. D. Guo and X. P. Sun, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 28251–28255.
- [9] L. Zhang, X. Q. Ji, X. Ren, Y. J. Ma, X. F. Shi, Z. Q. Tian, A. M. Asiri, L. Chen, B. Tang and X.
 P. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1800191.
- [10] M. Nazemi, S. R. Panikkanvalappil and M. A. El-Sayed, Nano Energy, 2018, 49, 316-323.
- [11]Q. Liu, X. X. Zhang, B. Zhang, Y. L. Luo, G. W. Cui, F. Y. Xie and X. P. Sun, *Nanoscale*, 2018, 10, 14386–14389.
- [12]S. Chen, S. Perathoner, C. Ampelli, C. Mebrahtu, D. Su and G. Centi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 2699–2703.
- [13]L. Hu, A. Khaniya, J. Wang, G. Chen, W. E. Kaden and X. F. Feng, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 9312–9319.