Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supplementary Information for

## Renewable flexible supercapacitors based on all-lignin-based hydrogel electrolytes and nanofiber electrodes

Jeong Hee Park, <sup>‡</sup>a Harpalsinh Hardevsinh Rana, <sup>‡</sup>a Jun Young Lee<sup>\*</sup>a and Ho Seok Park <sup>\*abc</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, 2066, Seobu-ro, Jangan-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do 440-746, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Health Sciences and Technology, Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Sciences and Technology (SAIHST), Sungkyunkwan University, 2066, Seobu-ro, Jangan-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do 440-746, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> SKKU Advanced Institute of Nano Technology (SAINT), Sungkyunkwan University, 2066, Seobu-ro, Jangan-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do 440-746, Republic of Korea

‡These authors are equally contributed to work

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: phs0727@skku.edu, jylee7@skku.edu

## Characterizations

Solid-state <sup>13</sup>C NMR and liquid <sup>13</sup>C NMR analyses were carried out using 400 MHz Bruker solidstate NMR and 500 MHz Bruker FT-NMR at KBSI Seoul Western Center, respectively. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were conducted by Seiko Exstar 6000 in the temperature range of 25 - 600 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C min-1 under a nitrogen atmosphere. The morphology of all samples was studied with field emissionscanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, LEO SUPRA 55, 15kV) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, EM912 Omega, 120 kV). The structural characterization were carried out by an X-ray diffraction (XRD) using D8 Advance powder diffraction (wavelength = 1.5406 Å) and Raman spectroscopy using in Via Raman microscope. N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms were obtained by a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller apparatus (BET, BELSORP-mini II). The specific surface areas of the materials were calculated from BET method and the pore size distributions were derived by the BJH mode.



**Figure S1.** (a) Synthetic scheme of lignin hydrogel, (b) State of reaction mixture before and after crosslinking, (c) schematic illustration of device geometry.

For electrochemical characterization, we have used device having  $1 \text{ cm } x \ 1 \ \text{cm}$  electrodeelectrolyte assembly, which was then sandwiched between two  $1.5 \ \text{cm} \ x \ 1 \ \text{cm}$  Au-coated PETs (0.5 cm extra length for connection, so final device geometry is  $2 \text{ cm} \ x \ 2 \ \text{cm}$ ). Similarly, for tandem configuration and bending test,  $3 \ \text{cm} \ x \ 1 \ \text{cm}$  electrode-electrolyte assembly was sandwiched between two  $3.5 \ \text{cm} \ x \ 1 \ \text{cm}$  Au-coated PETs and the final device dimension is  $4 \ \text{cm} \ x \ 1 \ \text{cm}$ , which can be very easily bent to different angles.



**Figure S2.** (a) <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of PEGDGE. (b) FT-IR spectra of the lignin, lignin hydrogel, and PEGDGE.



**Figure S3**. (a) SEM image of lignin hydrogel before swelling. (b, c) TGA and DTG curves of the pristine lignin and lignin hydrogel.



**Figure S4.** (a, b) SEM and HR-TEM images of pristine PAN ECNFs. (c) Raman spectra of for different lignin/PAN ECNFs. (d, e)  $N_2$  adsorption isotherms and pore size distribution plots for different ECNFs. (f) SEM image of lignin/PAN (75/25) ECNFs.



**Figure S5.** (a) GCD profiles of lignin-based SCs at current densities from 0.5 to 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>. (b) CV curves of the lignin-based SC and two SCs using PVA/KOH (1.0 and 3.3 M) hydrogel at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. (c) CV curves of lignin-based SCs at bending angles of 60°, 90°, 120°, and 180° at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S6.** (a, b) CV curves at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> and GCD profiles at a constant current of 5 mA for the single and tandem configuration of lignin-based SCs.

**Table S1.** Specific surface area, total pore volume and mean pore diameter comparison analysis based on BET method for only PAN, lignin/PAN (25/75), (50/50) and (75:25) ECNFs, respectively.

Sample	PAN	25:75	50:50	75:25
Specific surface area [ $m^2g^{-1}$ ]	80.0	607.5	918.5	1176.0
Total pore volume [ $cm^3g^{-1}$ ]	0.054	0.329	0.394	0.568
Mean pore diameter [ $^{nm}$ ]	2.681	2.170	1.715	1.930

**Table S2**. Elemental compositions of different lignin/PAN ECNFs analyzed by XPS analysis.

Sample (Lignin/PAN)	Atomic % of C	Atomic % of O	Atomic % of S
Lignin (0/100)	77.68	5.5	0
Lignin (25/75)	78.58	12.13	0.28
Lignin (50/50)	79.23	16.5	0.35
C-Lignin (0/100)	87.29	7.45	0
C-Lignin (25/75)	87.33	9.95	0.13
C-Lignin (50/50)	87.89	9.83	0.23

\* C - after carbonization

Electrode	Electrolyte	Specific capacitance (F g <sup>-1</sup> )	Capacity retention (Cycle stability)	Coulombic efficiency	Ref.
Lignin/PAN nanofiber	Lignin/KO H	129 at 0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup> 108 at 5.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	95% after 10,000 cycles at 5.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	99% at 5.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	This wor k
3D porous graphitic biomass carbon	PVA/KOH	222 at 0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup> 127 at 5.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	84% after 10,000 cycles at 5.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	N/A	[1]
N,S co-doped 3D graphene hydrogel	PVA/KOH	148 at 2.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	69% after 4,000 cycles at 2.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	N/A	[2]
CNF/PANI	PVA/H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	201 at 0.25 A g <sup>-1</sup> 100 at 4.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	80% after 6,000 cycles at 1.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	99% at 1.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Polyuretane/ rGO/SWCNT	PVA/H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	43 at 1.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	95% after 1,000 cycles at 1.0 A g $^{-1}$	N/A	[4]
MoSe <sub>2</sub> /MWCNT	PVA/KOH	52 at 0.6 A g <sup>-1</sup> 25 at 1.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	93% after 1,000 cycles at 100 mV s <sup>-1</sup>	97% at 1.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	[5]
Porous carbon nanofiber	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	67 at 0.2 A g <sup>-1</sup> 61 at 0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup>	69% after 2,000 cycles at 1 A g <sup>-1</sup>	N/A	[6]
Polypyrrole hydrogel	PVA/H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	190 at 0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup> 101 at 8.0 A g <sup>-1</sup>	90% after 3,000 cycles at 1.0 A g $^{-1}$	N/A	[7]

**Table S3.** Comparison of various symmetric flexible supercapacitors based on carbonfreestanding electrodes and aqueous gel or liquid electrolytes.

## References

- 1. Y. Gong, D. Li, C. Luo, Q. Fu and C. Pan, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 4132-4140.
- 2. W. Zhang, Z. Chen, X. Guo, K. Jin, Y. Wang, L. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. Sun and T. Zhang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **278**, 51-60.
- 3. F. Miao, C. Shao, X. Li, N. Lu, K. Wang, X. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Energy*, 2016, **95**, 233-241.
- 4. H. T. Jeong, J. F. Du, Y. R. Kim, C. J. Raj and B. C. Kim, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **777**, 67-72.
- 5. S. S. Karade and B. R. Sankapal, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2017, 802, 131-138.
- 6. Y. Liu, J. Zhou, L. Chen, P. Zhang, W. Fu, H. Zhao, Y. Ma, X. Pan, Z. Zhang and W. Han, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 23515-23520.
- 7. J. Bo, X. Luo, H. Huang, L. Li, W. Lai and X. Yu, J. Power Sources, 2018, 407, 105-111.