## Supplementary information

Gaseous CO and toluene co-oxidation over monolithic core-

shell Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based hetero-structured catalysts

Shengpeng Mo,<sup>a</sup> Qi Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Yuhai Sun,<sup>a</sup> Mingyuan Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jiaqi Li,<sup>a</sup>

Quanming Ren,<sup>a</sup> Mingli Fu,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Junliang Wu,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Limin Chen<sup>a,b,c</sup> and Daiqi

Ye<sup>a,b,c</sup> \*

<sup>a</sup> School of Environment and Energy, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006,

PR China

<sup>b</sup> National Engineering Laboratory for VOCs Pollution Control Technology and Equipment, Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Centre, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment and Pollution Control (SCUT), Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Centre, Guangzhou 510006, PR China E-mail address: cedqye@scut.edu.cn (D. Ye)

## **Experimental section**

## Material characterizations

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of the monolithic samples were performed by using a Panalytical X'Pert PRO system with Cu-K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda$ =1.5406Å) radiation operated at 40kV and 40mA. The data were collected with at a scan rate of 10° min<sup>-1</sup> within the range of scattering angle 2 $\theta$  of 5 to 90°.

The size and morphology of samples were characterized by using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, JEOL JSM-6700F) with an acceleration voltage of 15 kV, 10 mM. The microstructures of the samples were characterized on a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010F) at a voltage of 200 kV.

A Micromeritics ASAP2020 was used to measure the surface areas and pore size distribution of the samples at -196 °C. Before the tests, the corresponding samples were degassed at 150 °C for 6 h to remove physically adsorbed impurities.

The hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) and oxygen temperature programmed desorption (O<sub>2</sub>-TPD) measurement was measured on an Automated Catalyst Characterization System (Autochem 2920, MICROMERITICS) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The corresponding samples (1 cm × 3 cm ×1.6 mm) was placed in a quartz reactor under a gas flow (5% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar, 30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>) with a constant rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> up to 700 °C. The temperature programmed desorption of O<sub>2</sub> (O<sub>2</sub>-TPD) with 1 cm × 3 cm ×1.6 mm catalysts was pre-heated in 5% O<sub>2</sub>/He flow from room temperature to 300 °C and held for 1h (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), and then cooling to room temperature. The catalysts were heated from room temperature to 800 °C at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> in pure He (30 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).

The Raman spectrum of the monolithic samples was performed on a Renishaw RM2000 Raman Spectrometer (laser wavelength = 532 nm) with a scan number of 10 from 100 to 900 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a resolution of 1 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was performed by using an XLESCALAB 250Xi electron spectrometer from VG Scientific with monochromatic Al Ka (1486.6 eV) radiation, and the binding energy was calibrated based on the C 1s peaks at 284.8 eV.

The collected exhaust gas was detected by mass spectrometry (HPR-20 QIC, Benchtop Gas

Analysis System).

All of the operando diffuse reflectance infrared FT spectroscopy (DRIFTS) results were collected on an FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet IS50R FT-IR) equipped with a Harrick DRIFT cell and an MCT/A detector in the range of 650–8000 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 32 scans at a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> using a KBr window. In a typical experiment, the powder samples were pre-treated in pure N<sub>2</sub> (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) at 300 °C for 1 h to remove the residuals. After cooled down to 35 °C, and a background spectrum was collected at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution for 32 scans in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Then, the reactant gas (1vol. % CO or 500 ppm toluene) was continuously introduced into the in-situ reaction chamber. The DRIFTS spectra (4000–650 cm<sup>-1</sup>) were collected and continuously recorded for 1 h to realize the adsorption equilibrium.

## Catalytic activity measurements

Catalytic activities over each monolithic sample for 1.0 vol.% CO or 1000 ppm toluene combustion reaction were carried out using a fixed-bed quartz tubular micro-reactor ( $\varphi$ = 6 mm) with quartz wool packed at both ends of the catalyst bed, and gas hour space velocity (GHSV= 10,000 h<sup>-1</sup>) was controlled by adjusting the gas volume ratio. The CO/toluene balanced with air (20 vol.% O<sub>2</sub> + balance N<sub>2</sub>) was purged into the reactor at a certain flow rate (100 mL min<sup>-1</sup>). After reacted at the final temperature for 1 h, the concentrations of reactants and products were analyzed on-line by a gas chromatograph (Shimadzu GC-2014) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (FID).

To investigate the effect of water vapor on the catalytic activity, the on-stream CO or toluene oxidation experiment was carried out in the presence and absence of 1.0 or 5.0 vol% water vapor. Typically, an air flow containing gaseous CO/toluene was used for bubbling through water in a 250 mL conical flask at 50 °C to give a water vapor amount of 1.0 or 5.0 vol.%, and CO/toluene was used for catalytic testing.



**Fig. S1** The catalytic behaviors for CO/toluene oxidation over metal substrates (Ni foam, Fe foam and Cu foam). Simple conditions: 1.0% CO or 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air; Mixture conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO and 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air. All the reactions were kept at



**Fig. S2** (a) CO conversion and (b) toluene conversion curves in different atmospheres over the  $Co_3O_4$  samples in-situ grown on different metal substrates as a function of temperature. Simple conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO or 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air; Mixture conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO and 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air. All the reactions were kept at GHSV= 10,000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S3** Different pollutants conversion in different atmospheres over the powder (a)  $Co_3O_4$ nanoplate and (b) Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts as a function of temperature. Simple conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO or 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air; Mixture conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO and 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air. All the reactions were kept at WHSV= 60,000 mL g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. S4** The CO<sub>2</sub> yield curves of (a) CO oxidation, (b) toluene oxidation, and (c) CO-toluene cooxidation over the monolithic Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based NA catalysts, respectively. Simple conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO or 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air; Mixture conditions: 1.0 vol.% CO and 1000 ppm toluene balanced with air. All the reactions were kept at GHSV= 10,000 h<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S5 XRD patterns of the hetero-structured NA samples in-situ grown on Ni foam, labels a–e corresponded to  $Co_3O_4$ ,  $Co_3O_4$ @ $Co_3O_4$ ,  $Co_3O_4$ @ $Co_2MnO_4$ ,  $Co_3O_4$ @ $Co_2CuO_4$ , and  $Co_3O_4$ @ $MnO_2$ , respectively.



Fig. S6 EDS analysis and elemental mapping of  $Co_3O_4$  ( $Co_3O_4$ ),  $Co_3O_4$  ( $Co_2MnO_4$ ) and  $Co_3O_4$  ( $Co_2CuO_4$ ) samples.



Fig. S7 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms of all the samples.



Fig. S8 Mass spectra of exhaust gas collected at different conditions over Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst.



Fig. S9 (a) CO conversion and (b) toluene conversion curves at different reaction conditions over the  $Co_3O_4@Co_3O_4$  catalyst as a function of time. All the reactions were kept at GHSV= 10,000 h<sup>-1</sup>.

different atmospheres.									
		Simple conditions				Mixture conditions			
Samples	СО		toluene		СО		toluene		
	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	$T_{99}/^{o}C$	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	115	140	273	280	245	250	246	250	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	64	100	243	260	216	230	217	230	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>2</sub> MnO <sub>4</sub>	70	100	254	260	245	250	246	250	
$Co_3O_4@Co_2CuO_4$	90	110	244	250	218	230	223	240	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MnO <sub>2</sub>	170	230	242	280	225	260	240	280	
Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	213	220	206	220	270	280	272	280	

Table S2 Physical and Chemical parameters of all the samples.

	Mass						
Samples	loadings of	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{BET}}$	V <sub>pore</sub>	$C a^{3+}/C a^{2+}$	$O_{\alpha}$	$O_{\beta}$	$O_{\gamma}$
	per unit area	$(m^2g^{-1})$	$(cm^3g^{-1})$	0 /00	%	%	%
	$(mg \ cm^{-2})$						
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	2.586	27.49	0.131	1.85	54.21	21.65	22.28
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	3.356	60.92	0.204	2.36	46.02	29.76	23.5
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>2</sub> MnO <sub>4</sub>	3.394	48.88	0.144	2.19	50.47	29.15	20.37
$Co_3O_4$ (a) $Co_2CuO_4$	3.271	31.38	0.151	2.32	48.16	29.56	22.28
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MnO <sub>2</sub>	3.374	51.82	0.137	1.86	71.35	12.18	16.47
Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	_	259.91	0.934	_	_	_	_
Ni foam	_	21.65	0.059	_	_	-	_

		Simple conditions					
Samples	moisture	С	0	toluene			
		$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C		
	Dry	64	100	243	260		
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	1 vol.%	113	140	255	260		
	5 vol.%	118	150	265	270		
	Dry	70	100	254	260		
Ca O @Ca MaO	1 vol.%	130	170	257	270		
$CO_3O_4(\underline{w}CO_2MIIO_4)$	5 vol.%	137	180	260	270		

**Table S3** Catalytic performances of CO and/or toluene oxidation over  $Co_3O_4@Co_3O_4$  and  $Co_3O_4@Co_2MnO_4$  catalysts at different atmospheres.

**Table S4** Catalytic performances of as-synthesized  $Co_3O_4$ -based catalysts for CO and toluene cooxidation in different moisture.

		1.0 vol.% H <sub>2</sub> O				5.0 vol.% H <sub>2</sub> O			
Samples	СО		toluene		СО		toluene		
	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	$T_{99}/^{o}C$	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	$T_{99}/^{o}C$	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	$T_{50}/^{o}C$	T <sub>99</sub> /°C	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	272	280	273	280	275	280	274	280	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	253	260	253	260	256	270	263	270	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Co <sub>2</sub> MnO <sub>4</sub>	264	270	258	270	265	270	263	270	
$Co_3O_4$ $@Co_2CuO_4$	262	270	263	280	263	280	263	280	
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @MnO <sub>2</sub>	234	280	250	290	240	280	255	290	