Supporting Information

Nanosheets Assembled Nickel Sulfide Nanosphere with Enriched Ni³⁺ Sites for Efficient Water Splitting and Zinc-Air Batteries

Xiangkai Shi,^a Xiaofei Ling,^a Lanlan Li,^b Cheng Zhong,^{a, c} Yida Deng,^a Xiaopeng Han^{a,*} and Wenbin Hu^{a, c*}

^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Composite and Functional Materials, Key Laboratory of Advanced Ceramics and Machining Technology (Ministry of Education), Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China.

^b School of Materials Science and Engineering, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300310, China.

^c Joint School of National University of Singapore and Tianjin University, International Campus of Tianjin University, Binhai New City, Fuzhou 350207, China.

Corresponding E-mail: xphan@tju.edu.cn (X. Han); wbhu@tju.edu.cn (W. Hu)

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reagents and Materials. Nickel(II) chloride anhydrous (NiCl₂, 98%) and Potassium hydroxide (KOH, 90%) are acquired from Tianjin Kermel company. Ethanol (C_2H_6O , 99.7%), Carbon disulfide (CS_2 , 99%) and Carbon paper (CP) are purchased from Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Research Institute. High purity nitrogen gas (99.99%) and deionized water (18.2 M Ω cm) are presented from Tianjin University.

Materials Synthesis. In this work, NiS_x nanospheres are synthesized by a hydrothermal method. The specific steps are as follows: 0.26 g NiCl₂ is weigh and dissolves in 35 ml deionized water, followed by magnetic stirring until forming a homogeneous solution. After this, 800 μ l ethylenediamine is slowly added and continuous stirrers for 30 minutes. Then, 250 μ l colloidal CS₂ is added into the solution after stirring for 30 minutes to form a uniform mixture, and the autoclave is placed in oven at 180°C for 8h, after nature cooling in fuming cupboard, the samples are separated by centrifugation, washed using deionized water and ethanol two times. Finally, the NiS product is collected after lyophilizing. As for the synthesis of Ni₃S₄ and NiS₂ samples, the adding amount of CS₂ just is changed to 450 μ l and 550 μ l, respectively.

Materials Characterization. The synthesized compounds are characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) which are produced by Bruker/D8 Advanced with Cu K α radiation source (λ =0.15418 nm) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscope (XPS) which are collected by a Escalab 250Xi. The morphologies and elemental analysis of samples are studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi s4800, 30KV) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100F, 200KV). Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) is measured by an AutosorbiQ instrument (Quantachrome U.S.).

Electrocatalytic Measurements. The electrochemical measurement is tested using the Iviumstat electrochemical workstation. The OER, ORR and HER performances are measured in a three electrode system in a 1.0 M KOH electrolyte, platinum electrode and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) are used as counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively and prepared samples are designed as working electrode.

S-2

Overall water splitting is tested in a two electrode system. For making the working electrode for HER and OER, first to dispose the CP and mix 10 mg NiS_x samples into 400 µl deionized water, then add 500 µl ethanol and 100 µl Nafion to form a homogeneous solution. For ORR electrode, 3 mg Vulcan carbon and 7 mg NiS_x mixed powder was used to prepare the catalyst ink. The working electrode is a CP (1 cm*1 cm) covered with 150 μ l prepared solution. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) are conducted firstly to reach a steady state. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of HER are obtained at - 0.8 V \sim - 1.6 V, OER LSV curves are at 0.9 V \sim 0 V, ORR LSV curves are at 0.1 \sim - 0.8 V and the scanning speed is 5 mV s⁻¹. The collected data is calculated according to the *iR*-compensation, the equation is $E_{corr} = E_{mea} - iR_s$. And all data is transformed to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) following the equation $E_{vs\cdot RHE} = E_{vs.SCE} + 0.059 * pH + 0.241$. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is measured with the frequencies from 10 kHz to 0.01 Hz. A series of CV curves are tested at 50, 70, 90, 110, 130 mV s⁻¹ scanning rates to obtain the electrochemical capacitance (C_{dl}) dataset. The aim to testify the stability of samples, ChronoPotentiometry data at 10 mA cm⁻² current density is collected. Using water drainage method, the amount of O_2 and H_2 are gathered to prove the faradaic efficiency of catalysts by comparing the amount of O_2 and H_2 theoretical calculation. The Zinc-air battery is assembled by Zn plate (1*2 cm) as anode and NiS₂ electrode as the cathode. The electrolyte is 6.0 M KOH and 0.2 M ZnCl₂, which is saturated with O₂ before test. The open-circuit, discharge curve and the charge-discharge performance are measured using LAND-CT2001A testing devices.



Fig. S1 SEM images of (a) NiS, (b) Ni_3S_4 , and (c) NiS_2 .



Fig. S2 N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms of NiS, Ni_3S_4 , and NiS_2 .



Fig. S3 EDS spectra of NiS, Ni_3S_4 and NiS_2 .



Fig. S4 TEM and HRTEM images of (a, b) NiS and (c, d) Ni_3S_4 . The measured distance of 0.26 and 0.28 nm is assigned to the (101) and (113) planes of NiS and Ni_3S_4 .



Fig. S5 Cycle voltammograms of (a) NiS, (b) Ni $_3S_4$ and (c) NiS $_2$ from 1.067 to 1.167 Vin1.0MKOHatdifferentscanrates.



Fig. S6 Ni 2P XPS spectrum of NiS_2 (a) and (b) after 1000 OER cycles.



Fig. S7 HRTEM images of NiS₂ (a) before and (b) after 1000 OER cycles. After 1000 cycles, the NiS₂ catalyst reveals the formation of amorphous NiO/NiOOH. In XPS spectra, the area of Ni³⁺ gets larger than original NiS₂ (Fig. S5), which indicates the generation of higher valence state of Ni³⁺ after OER test.



Fig. S8 Discharge curve of the Zn-air battery of NiS_2 electrode at 10 mA cm⁻²



Fig. S9 Charge/discharge polarization curves at 10 mA cm⁻² in Zn-air battery for NiS_2 electrode.



Fig. S10 Top view of the adsorption models of H^* and OH^* intermediates on the surface of (a, b) NiS, (c, d) Ni₃S₄ and (e, f) NiS₂. Cyan=Ni, yellow=S, white=H, red=O.

Catalysts	EDS		XPS		
	Ni (%)	S (%)	Ni (%)	S (%)	
NiS	48.75	51.25	50.12	49.88	
Ni ₃ S ₄	41.17	58.83	43.62	56.38	
NiS ₂	32.90	67.10	34.44	65.56	

Table S1. EDS and XPS results of Ni and S atomic percentage in NiS, Ni $_3$ S4, and NiS2.

Table S2. Comparison of performance parameters of NiS, Ni_3S_4 , and NiS_2 in this work.

		Overpotential	Tafel			
Catalysta	Reaction	At 10mA cm ⁻²	slope		C _{dl}	BET areas
Catalysts		(mv)/Half-wave	(mV dec⁻	κ _{ct} (Ω2)	(mF cm ⁻²)	(m² g-1)
		potential (V)	¹)			
	OER	241	60	1.89		
NiS ₂	HER	147	105	2.09	11.3	40.69
	ORR	0.80	82			
	OER	270	71	3.01		
Ni ₃ S ₄	HER	187	124	3.15	9.8	37.49
	ORR	0.77	92			
	OER	322	105	4.32		
NiS	HER	220	145	4.54	6.07	22.24
	ORR	0.74	97			
Pt/C	HER	29	68			
RuO ₂	OER	310	61			

Catalyst	Electrode	Electrolyte	Current density (mA cm-2)	Overpotentia I (mV)	Referenc e
NiS ₂ nanosphere	Carbon paper	1.0 M KOH	10	241	This work
Co-P film	Copper foil	1.0 M KOH	10	345	1
N-doped Ni_3S_2	Nickel foam	1.0 M KOH	100	330	2
Ni _{0.85} Se films	Glassy carbon	1.0 M NaOH	10	320	3
MoS ₂ /NiS nanowire	Nickel foam	1.0 M KOH	15	271	4
Nanocrystallin e NiS particles	Carbon paper	1.0 M KOH	10	345	5
NiCo ₂ S ₄ nanowires	Ni foam	1.0 M KOH	15	320	6
NiS sheres	Ni foam	1.0 M KOH	50	335	7

Table S3. Comparison of OER performance parameters in this work with the resultsin references.

Table S4 Comparison of HER performance parameters in this work with the results inreferences.

Catalyst	Electrode	Electrolyte	Current density (mA cm-2)	Overpotentia I (mV)	Reference
NiS ₂ nanosphere	Carbon paper	1.0 M KOH	-10	147	This work
CoO _x @CN	GCE	1.0 M KOH	-10	232	8
NiSe ₂	Nickel foam	0.5M H ₂ SO ₄	-10	190	9
CoS ₂ HNSs	Carbon	1.0 M KOH	-10	193	10

	paper				
MoS ₂ /NiS	MoS ₂ /NiS nanowire Nickel foam	0.5 M	-10	89	4
nanowire		H_2SO_4			

Table S5 Comparison of HER performance parameters in this work with the results inreferences.

Compounds	$ riangle G_{\mathrm{H}^*}$ (eV)	$ riangle G_{OH^*}$ (eV)	d _{H-Ni} /d _{O-Ni} /d _{O-H} (Å)
NiS	-1.9741	-0.5460	1.852/1.996/0.978
Ni ₃ S ₄	0.1738	0.0850	1.458/1.857/0.983
NiS ₂	-0.0058	1.0109	1.466/1.890/0.981

References

- 1 N. Jiang, B. You, M. Sheng, Y. Sun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6251-6254.
- 2 P. Chen, T. Zhou, M. Zhang, Y. Tong, C. Zhong, N. Zhang, L. Zhang, C. Wu, Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701584.
- 3 X. Wu, D. He, H. Zhang, H. Li, Z. Li, B. Yang, Z. Lin, L. Lei, X. Zhang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 10688-10694.
- 4 Z. Zhai, C. Li, L. Zhang, H.-C. Wu, L. Zhang, N. Tang, W. Wang, J. Gong, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 9833-9838.
- 5 W. Dai, Y. Pan, N. Wang, S. Wu, X. Li, Y.-a. Zhu, T. Lu, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **218**, 115-118.
- 6 A. Sivanantham, S. Shanmugam, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 4660-4660.
- 7 W. Zhu, X. Yue, W. Zhang, S. Yu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1486-1489.
- 8 H. Jin, J. Wang, D. Su, Z. Wei, Z. Pang, Y. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, **137**, 2688-2694.
- 9 I. H. Kwak, H. S. Im, D. M. Jang, Y. W. Kim, K. Park, Y. R. Lim, E. H. Cha, J. Park, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, **8**, 5327-5334.
- 10 X. Ma, W. Zhang, Y. Deng, C. Zhong, W. Hu, X. Han, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 4816-4824.