## **Supporting Information**

# Designing Promising Molecules for Organic Solar Cells via Machine Learning Assisted Virtual Screening

Harikrishna Sahu,<sup>a</sup> Feng Yang,<sup>a</sup> Xiaobo Ye,<sup>a</sup> Jing Ma,<sup>a</sup> Weihai Fang<sup>a,b</sup> and Haibo Ma<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of MOE, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China; E-mail: haibo@nju.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Photochemistry of Ministry of Education, Department of Chemistry, Beijing Normal University, Bei-

jing 100875, China

# **List of Figures**

S1	Pearson's correlation coefficient ( <i>r</i> ) for each possible combination of two descriptors. These are in the following order: $1.N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$ , $2.N_{\text{het}}^{\text{D}}$ , $3.N_{\text{elec}}$ , $4.\Delta_{\text{HL}}$ , $5.\Delta_{\text{H}}$ , $6.\Delta_{\text{L}}$ , $7.E_{\text{LL}}^{\text{DA}}$ , $8.E_{\text{HL}}^{\text{DA}}$ , $9.\text{IP}(v)$ , $10.E_{\text{fund}}$ , $11.E_{\text{bind}}$ , $12.\lambda_{\text{h}}$ , $13.E_{\text{S}_{1}}$ , $14.f_{\text{osc}}^{\text{S}_{1}}$ , $15.E_{\text{g}}$ , $16.S_{\text{N}}$ , $17.f_{\text{osc}}$ , $18.\Delta_{\text{area}}$ , $19.J_{\text{ph}}$ , $20.C_{\text{H}-1}$ , $21.C_{\text{H}}$ , $22.C_{\text{L}}$ , $23.C_{\text{L}+1}$ , $24.\Delta\mu_{\text{ge}}$ , $25.E_{\text{T}_{1}}$ and $26.$ polarizability.	22
S2	Theoretically predicted vs experimental PCE for the GBRT (a,b) and GBRT* model (c,d). a,c) The test dataset (50 data points) with models trained from 250 data points. b,d) All 300 data points using the LOOCV approach. An inset shows the probability density of prediction errors.	23
S3	Tanimoto (a) and Euclidean (c) distances of the chemical fingerprints and the normalized features for 45/50 closet datapoints to each sample in the testing set, respectively. The differences of PCE ( $\Delta_{PCE}$ ) of testing samples with respect to the corresponding 45/50 closest training data points are shown in (b, Tanimoto distance) and (d, Euclidean distance). $I_{train}$ and $I_{test}$ are indexes of donor molecules in train and test sets, respectively. Tanimoto distances are calculated by using fingerprints generated by the default set of parameters as implemented in RDKit package. <sup>[1]</sup>	24
<b>S</b> 4	Z-scores for 32 building units.	27
S5	Absorption spectra of building units. Half width at half maximum (HWHM) of each spectrum is $1500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .	29
<b>S</b> 6	Energies of frontier orbitals of building units.	30
S7	Z-scores for combinations of acceptor and $\pi$ -spacer units	30
<b>S</b> 8	Z-scores for combinations of acceptor and end-capping units	31
S9	Z-scores for combinations of $\pi$ -spacer and end-capping units	31

<b>S</b> 10	Joint distributions using kernel density estimation for descriptors ( $N_{atom}^{D}$ , $\Delta_{L}$ and $\Delta_{H}$ ) and	
	the predicted PCEs for CASDSAC (left panel) and CDSASDC (right panel) patterns. The	
	marginal distributions are for the individual variables. The average value of each descriptor	
	is provided in the corresponding subfigure	32
<b>S</b> 11	Joint distributions using kernel density estimation for descriptors ( $\Delta_{\text{HL}}$ , $E_{\text{HL}}^{\text{DA}}$ and $E_{\text{LL}}^{\text{DA}}$ ) and	
	the predicted PCEs for CASDSAC (left panel) and CDSASDC (right panel) patterns. The	
	marginal distributions are for the individual variables. The average value of each descriptor	

# **List of Tables**

<b>S</b> 1	Experimental power conversion of efficiency for 300 SM-OPVs reported in the literature.	
	$N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$ , $E_{\text{H}}$ and $E_{\text{L}}$ represent the number of unsaturated atoms in the main conjugation path,	
	energy of highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and energy of lowest unoccupied	
	molecular orbital (LUMO) of donor molecules. $E_{\rm H}$ and $E_{\rm L}$ of PC <sub>71</sub> BM (PC <sub>61</sub> BM) are -6.69	
	(-6.90) and -2.54 (-2.60) eV, respectively	5
S2	Division of the dataset to 8 groups of each having a specific range of PCE. N represents the	
	number of data points in a group	19
<b>S</b> 3	Predicted PCE for chemically different donor molecules than those present in the training	
	set. Predicted PCEs outside and inside the parentheses are computed using GBRT and ANN	
	models	25
S4	Optical gap of all building units calculated at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level	28
S5	Promising molecules for OPVs with predicted PCE $> 8.0\%$ selected by screening 1000	
	candidates using both GBRT and ANN models. Reported values are predicted using the	
	ANN-model.	34

**Table S1** Experimental power conversion of efficiency for 300 SM-OPVs reported in the literature.  $N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$ ,  $E_{\text{H}}$  and  $E_{\text{L}}$  represent the number of unsaturated atoms in the main conjugation path, energy of highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and energy of lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of donor molecules.  $E_{\text{H}}$  and  $E_{\text{L}}$  of PC<sub>71</sub>BM (PC<sub>61</sub>BM) are -6.69 (-6.90) and -2.54 (-2.60) eV, respectively.

S No.	Acceptor	PCE (%)	$N_{\rm atom}^{\rm D}$	$E_{\mathrm{H}}$	$E_{\rm L}$	Ref.
1	PC71BM	9.84	130	-5.94	-2.39	[2]
2	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.54	68	-6.20	-2.05	[3]
3	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.45	130	-6.02	-2.40	[2]
4	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.36	68	-6.12	-2.01	[4]
5	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.09	54	-6.14	-2.31	[5]
6	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.05	59	-6.26	-2.27	[6]
7	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	9.01	68	-6.23	-2.07	[7]
8	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	9.00	86	-5.83	-2.44	[8]
9	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.95	99	-5.83	-2.11	[9]
10	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.91	99	-5.84	-2.10	[10]
11	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.90	68	-6.10	-2.02	[11]
12	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.85	99	-5.77	-2.05	[12]
13	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.54	64	-5.89	-2.07	[13]
14	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.50	74	-6.12	-2.03	[14]
15	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	8.34	114	-5.69	-2.47	[15]
16	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.34	62	-5.88	-2.06	[16]
17	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.33	68	-6.24	-2.05	[17]
18	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.27	64	-5.89	-2.07	[10]
19	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.26	58	-6.16	-2.02	[18]
20	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.24	64	-5.87	-2.10	[13]
21	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.23	90	-6.02	-1.97	[19]
22	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.13	82	-5.89	-2.46	[20]
23	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	8.04	78	-5.78	-2.40	[21]

24	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.02	68	-6.12	-2.01	[22]
25	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.02	90	-6.02	-1.97	[23]
26	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.02	60	-6.17	-2.35	[24]
27	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	8.00	48	-5.88	-2.07	[25]
28	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.95	102	-5.74	-2.27	[26]
29	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.91	62	-6.30	-2.26	[27]
30	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.85	74	-6.21	-2.05	[14]
31	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.83	52	-5.94	-2.10	[28]
32	PC71BM	7.83	58	-6.17	-2.03	[29]
33	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.81	84	-6.12	-2.20	[30]
34	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.80	68	-6.12	-2.01	[31]
35	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.79	64	-6.12	-2.04	[32]
36	PC71BM	7.75	72	-6.14	-1.97	[33]
37	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	7.74	80	-5.86	-2.19	[34]
38	PC71BM	7.72	78	-6.12	-2.01	[31]
39	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.70	70	-6.14	-2.16	[35]
40	PC71BM	7.61	54	-6.18	-2.30	[24]
41	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.60	74	-6.09	-2.01	[36]
42	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.55	59	-6.26	-2.27	[6]
43	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.54	60	-6.27	-2.17	[37]
44	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.47	74	-5.77	-2.32	[20]
45	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.44	54	-6.44	-2.39	[38]
46	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.36	62	-6.28	-2.07	[39]
47	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.35	62	-6.04	-2.20	[40]
48	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	7.30	86	-5.87	-2.30	[41]
49	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.24	46	-6.15	-3.10	[42]
50	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.21	68	-6.12	-2.01	[43]
51	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.21	78	-5.98	-2.09	[44]

52	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	7.20	80	-6.04	-2.26	[34]
53	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	7.18	58	-6.19	-2.04	[31]
54	PC71BM	7.06	66	-5.93	-1.92	[45]
55	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.98	80	-5.82	-1.99	[46]
56	PC71BM	6.91	56	-6.15	-1.76	[47]
57	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.89	112	-6.02	-1.98	[48]
58	PC71BM	6.86	110	-5.91	-2.32	[49]
59	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.86	48	-6.04	-2.14	[50]
60	PC71BM	6.84	99	-5.84	-2.13	[9]
61	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.83	64	-6.01	-2.37	[51]
62	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.83	80	-5.70	-2.37	[52]
63	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.75	66	-6.13	-2.02	[53]
64	PC71BM	6.73	46	-6.42	-2.26	[38]
65	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.71	52	-6.43	-2.32	[54]
66	PC71BM	6.67	134	-5.83	-2.12	[10]
67	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.67	34	-6.27	-2.23	[55]
68	PC71BM	6.59	76	-5.85	-2.48	[56]
69	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.59	52	-6.01	-1.93	[57]
70	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.59	48	-5.97	-2.10	[50]
71	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.52	50	-5.85	-2.05	[58]
72	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.49	84	-6.05	-1.83	[59]
73	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.47	49	-5.93	-2.01	[60]
74	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.43	62	-5.77	-1.89	[16]
75	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.42	99	-5.82	-2.14	[12]
76	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.40	54	-5.91	-1.99	[61]
77	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.40	70	-5.79	-2.06	[62]
78	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.38	58	-6.19	-2.04	[63]
70	PC71BM	6.37	64	-6.22	-2.26	[27]

80	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.30	86	-5.81	-2.23	[41]
81	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.30	62	-5.87	-1.76	[64]
82	PC71BM	6.30	72	-5.93	-1.74	[65]
83	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.30	67	-5.89	-2.32	[66]
84	PC71BM	6.27	79	-6.05	-2.28	[67]
85	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.26	68	-6.06	-2.01	[43]
86	PC71BM	6.23	74	-6.22	-2.44	[68]
87	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.20	48	-5.88	-2.05	[69]
88	PC71BM	6.20	62	-5.93	-1.71	[35]
89	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.17	49	-6.07	-1.98	[70]
90	PC71BM	6.15	52	-6.13	-2.07	[71]
91	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.15	64	-6.24	-2.26	[37]
92	PC71BM	6.14	67	-6.14	-1.90	[72]
93	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.11	54	-6.34	-2.29	[73]
94	PC71BM	6.11	52	-6.55	-1.96	[74]
95	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.10	51	-6.09	-2.01	[75]
96	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	6.10	67	-5.96	-2.32	[66]
97	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.07	48	-6.20	-2.13	[76]
98	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.07	26	-6.24	-1.82	[77]
99	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.05	52	-5.74	-1.72	[78]
100	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.04	64	-6.20	-1.85	[59]
101	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.02	44	-6.18	-2.13	[79]
102	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.00	42	-6.39	-2.29	[80]
103	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	6.00	62	-5.87	-1.91	[81]
104	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.98	55	-5.57	-1.92	[82]
105	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.95	72	-6.37	-2.71	[17]
106	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.94	41	-6.65	-2.90	[83]
107	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.93	72	-5.96	-2.05	[84]

108	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.89	60	-5.78	-2.02	[62]
109	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.88	66	-5.93	-1.94	[85]
110	PC71BM	5.87	74	-6.04	-2.20	[86]
111	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.84	52	-6.08	-2.01	[87]
112	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.80	67	-5.88	-2.27	[66]
113	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.80	86	-5.92	-2.44	[66]
114	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.79	62	-5.96	-2.04	[88]
115	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.76	60	-5.95	-2.09	[89]
116	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.70	67	-5.95	-2.41	[66]
117	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.69	54	-6.07	-2.19	[90]
118	PC71BM	5.67	56	-6.27	-2.06	[53]
119	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.67	56	-6.07	-1.90	[85]
120	PC71BM	5.67	80	-5.93	-2.15	[91]
121	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.66	64	-5.80	-2.00	[13]
122	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.65	80	-5.68	-2.41	[92]
123	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.65	62	-6.11	-2.13	[93]
124	PC71BM	5.62	60	-6.08	-2.30	[94]
125	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.61	74	-5.77	-2.01	[95]
126	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.60	72	-5.93	-1.60	[65]
127	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.58	56	-6.12	-2.02	[96]
128	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.56	56	-5.81	-2.00	[62]
129	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.51	74	-5.83	-1.98	[46]
130	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.50	58	-6.19	-2.07	[97]
131	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.50	64	-5.94	-2.05	[98]
132	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.50	62	-6.06	-2.15	[99]
133	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.50	67	-5.95	-2.32	[66]
134	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.47	32	-5.72	-1.59	[100]
135	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.45	42	-6.03	-2.16	[101]

136	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.44	54	-6.18	-1.97	[102]
137	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.44	54	-6.01	-1.96	[103]
138	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.42	64	-6.13	-2.06	[104]
139	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.40	90	-5.75	-2.08	[105]
140	PC71BM	5.37	34	-6.17	-2.17	[106]
141	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.37	52	-5.83	-1.90	[28]
142	PC71BM	5.30	66	-6.15	-1.97	[107]
143	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.30	74	-5.75	-1.69	[108]
144	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.28	35	-6.67	-2.92	[83]
145	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.26	64	-6.14	-2.05	[109]
146	PC71BM	5.25	68	-6.08	-1.79	[110]
147	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.24	36	-5.82	-1.93	[111]
148	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.23	78	-5.79	-1.95	[44]
149	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.22	74	-6.12	-1.85	[59]
150	PC71BM	5.21	42	-5.93	-2.15	[101]
151	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.21	90	-5.75	-2.08	[49]
152	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.19	64	-5.83	-1.84	[112]
153	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.16	66	-6.06	-1.95	[107]
154	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.15	62	-5.96	-2.03	[113]
155	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.11	56	-6.22	-2.04	[53]
156	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.10	44	-6.07	-1.89	[114]
157	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.09	62	-6.01	-2.04	[115]
158	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.07	62	-6.10	-1.79	[97]
159	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.07	82	-5.97	-2.18	[116]
160	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.05	57	-6.19	-2.08	[117]
161	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.05	58	-6.15	-2.09	[118]
162	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.05	26	-6.78	-2.42	[119]
163	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	5.04	57	-6.17	-2.04	[120]

164	PC71BM	5.03	82	-5.88	-2.11	[121]
165	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	5.03	64	-6.10	-2.29	[122]
166	PC71BM	4.99	64	-6.04	-1.95	[123]
167	PC71BM	4.97	60	-5.94	-2.14	[124]
168	PC71BM	4.97	55	-5.48	-1.82	[82]
169	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.96	38	-5.70	-1.56	[125]
170	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.93	59	-6.01	-1.95	[126]
171	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.92	64	-5.96	-2.01	[127]
172	PC71BM	4.91	54	-6.32	-1.87	[59]
173	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.90	56	-6.08	-1.98	[96]
174	PC71BM	4.88	32	-5.92	-1.74	[100]
175	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.86	61	-6.01	-2.00	[128]
176	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.84	51	-6.11	-1.82	[129]
177	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.83	110	-5.80	-2.04	[49]
178	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.80	26	-6.88	-2.28	[119]
179	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.80	62	-6.02	-2.12	[130]
180	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.78	80	-5.67	-2.31	[131]
181	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.76	22	-6.44	-2.21	[132]
182	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.75	58	-6.01	-1.99	[115]
183	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.73	62	-6.01	-2.21	[133]
184	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.72	40	-6.12	-2.36	[42]
185	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.70	62	-6.16	-1.96	[97]
186	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.68	68	-6.23	-1.94	[134]
187	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.67	28	-6.14	-1.63	[77]
188	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.66	34	-6.14	-2.14	[135]
189	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.65	56	-5.65	-2.02	[136]
190	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.65	32	-5.57	-1.48	[137]
191	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.65	34	-6.13	-2.12	[135]

192	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.64	74	-5.94	-2.09	[86]
193	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.63	41	-6.27	-2.09	[138]
194	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.62	62	-6.17	-1.78	[139]
195	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.61	43	-6.53	-2.87	[140]
196	PC71BM	4.60	63	-5.95	-2.19	[141]
197	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.60	68	-5.98	-1.95	[142]
198	PC71BM	4.58	41	-6.13	-1.96	[93]
199	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.57	48	-6.05	-2.20	[143]
200	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.56	54	-6.20	-1.99	[63]
201	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.56	64	-6.04	-1.95	[123]
202	PC71BM	4.56	42	-5.77	-1.73	[78]
203	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.54	62	-6.03	-2.10	[127]
204	PC71BM	4.52	52	-6.13	-2.01	[71]
205	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.50	22	-6.53	-1.99	[144]
206	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.46	47	-6.20	-2.01	[145]
207	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.45	50	-6.04	-1.93	[146]
208	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.43	66	-6.05	-1.98	[147]
209	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.40	38	-6.04	-2.06	[148]
210	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.40	50	-6.03	-1.95	[149]
211	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.40	74	-5.72	-1.77	[108]
212	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.40	56	-5.89	-1.93	[150]
213	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.40	54	-5.98	-2.02	[151]
214	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.36	28	-6.41	-2.20	[152]
215	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.34	56	-6.18	-2.19	[153]
216	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.33	64	-6.06	-2.29	[154]
217	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.32	43	-6.26	-2.35	[155]
218	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.32	40	-5.98	-2.07	[156]
219	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.25	70	-5.94	-1.92	[157]

220	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.25	114	-6.00	-2.10	[158]
221	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.25	54	-5.82	-1.92	[159]
222	PC71BM	4.24	35	-5.90	-1.97	[160]
223	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.21	64	-6.05	-2.10	[161]
224	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.20	64	-5.89	-2.07	[162]
225	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.20	48	-6.06	-1.97	[163]
226	PC71BM	4.19	60	-5.91	-2.06	[62]
227	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.18	22	-6.28	-2.16	[164]
228	PC71BM	4.18	52	-6.10	-2.05	[115]
229	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.18	34	-6.06	-2.07	[135]
230	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.16	64	-6.28	-2.17	[165]
231	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.15	46	-6.42	-2.08	[53]
232	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.14	51	-6.16	-1.96	[128]
233	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.12	61	-5.99	-1.92	[128]
234	PC71BM	4.09	52	-6.47	-2.14	[166]
235	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.08	50	-5.98	-2.12	[90]
236	PC71BM	4.07	48	-6.25	-2.02	[57]
237	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.06	54	-5.80	-1.90	[167]
238	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.05	55	-6.12	-2.04	[168]
239	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.04	64	-5.94	-2.01	[161]
240	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.04	64	-5.85	-1.77	[112]
241	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.02	68	-5.69	-2.23	[169]
242	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	4.00	68	-6.10	-1.96	[170]
243	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	4.00	49	-6.18	-2.02	[168]
244	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.97	70	-6.05	-2.11	[171]
245	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.97	28	-5.93	-1.84	[111]
246	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.96	27	-6.25	-2.20	[172]
247	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.95	63	-6.47	-2.47	[173]

248	PC71BM	3.94	59	-6.19	-1.91	[72]
249	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.91	52	-5.84	-1.81	[174]
250	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.83	62	-5.93	-2.02	[127]
251	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.76	69	-5.90	-1.95	[70]
252	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.75	63	-5.93	-2.08	[46]
253	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.73	64	-6.02	-2.07	[127]
254	PC71BM	3.73	35	-5.85	-1.96	[160]
255	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.70	52	-5.99	-1.87	[175]
256	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.70	32	-6.73	-2.55	[176]
257	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.66	64	-5.92	-1.98	[127]
258	PC71BM	3.64	42	-6.73	-2.47	[54]
259	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.59	48	-5.87	-2.08	[124]
260	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.54	35	-5.84	-1.86	[160]
261	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.53	51	-6.14	-1.86	[128]
262	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.51	52	-5.85	-1.76	[174]
263	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.47	37	-6.07	-2.42	[177]
264	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.45	32	-6.13	-1.72	[178]
265	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.40	54	-5.96	-2.08	[162]
266	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.40	37	-6.87	-2.36	[179]
267	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.40	47	-5.90	-1.73	[129]
268	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.34	54	-6.15	-1.97	[123]
269	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.27	37	-6.34	-2.06	[168]
270	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.26	61	-6.15	-1.83	[180]
271	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.26	76	-5.95	-2.09	[181]
272	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.24	58	-6.12	-1.98	[182]
273	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.23	43	-6.24	-2.32	[155]
274	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.21	69	-5.86	-2.07	[183]
275	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.20	68	-5.85	-1.86	[142]

276	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.14	54	-6.15	-1.97	[123]
277	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.10	62	-5.83	-1.76	[174]
278	PC71BM	3.09	74	-5.86	-2.03	[95]
279	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	3.07	71	-5.96	-2.06	[168]
280	PC71BM	3.07	28	-6.13	-2.29	[184]
281	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	3.04	32	-6.06	-1.69	[185]
282	PC71BM	3.02	48	-5.91	-2.06	[50]
283	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	2.89	80	-6.03	-1.73	[186]
284	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.70	22	-5.70	-1.73	[187]
285	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.59	25	-6.45	-1.87	[188]
286	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	2.56	66	-5.94	-2.23	[189]
287	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.50	42	-5.70	-1.89	[190]
288	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	2.38	43	-6.06	-2.47	[177]
289	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.33	40	-6.00	-2.13	[191]
290	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.30	18	-6.01	-1.36	[192]
291	PC <sub>71</sub> BM	2.30	52	-5.99	-1.78	[193]
292	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	2.10	30	-6.20	-1.93	[194]
293	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.76	40	-6.24	-2.05	[195]
294	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.74	20	-6.36	-2.04	[196]
295	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.54	25	-6.55	-1.94	[196]
296	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.34	33	-5.77	-1.79	[197]
297	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.17	25	-5.90	-1.67	[197]
298	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.00	24	-5.76	-1.68	[198]
299	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	0.52	26	-5.66	-2.11	[199]
300	PC <sub>61</sub> BM	0.50	22	-5.94	-1.82	[200]
	1					

#### **1** Descriptors for ML models

For the first time, 13 descriptors related to the energy conversion process are introduced in our recent work, <sup>[201]</sup> namely, number of unsaturated atoms in the main conjugation path of donor molecules ( $N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$ ), vertical ionization potential (IP( $\nu$ )), polarizability, energy of the electronic transition with the largest oscillator strength ( $E_{\text{g}}$ ), reorganization energy for holes ( $\lambda_{\text{h}}$ ), hole-electron binding energy ( $E_{\text{bind}}$ ), energy of the electronic transition to the lowest-lying triplet state ( $E_{\text{T}_1}$ ), the energetic difference of HOMO of donor and LUMO of acceptor ( $E_{\text{HL}}^{\text{DA}}$ ), the energetic difference of LUMO of donor and LUMO of acceptor ( $E_{\text{LL}}^{\text{DA}}$ ), change in dipole moment in going from the ground state to the first excited state for donor molecules ( $\Delta \mu_{\text{ge}}$ ), the energetic difference of LUMO and LUMO+1 of acceptors ( $\Delta_{\text{L}}^{\text{A}}$ ), and the energetic differences of HOMO and HOMO-1 ( $\Delta_{\text{H}}=E_{\text{HOMO}}-E_{\text{HOMO}-1}$ ) and LUMO and LUMO+1 ( $\Delta_{\text{L}}=E_{\text{LUMO}+1}-E_{\text{LUMO}}$ ) of donors. Detailed descriptions for these 13 descriptors and their relations with the energy conversion process are provided in ref[[201]]. In this work, a number of new descriptors are also taken into account such as

(1) The largest oscillator strength for the electronic transition,  $f_{osc}$ . It determines the probability of interaction of a molecule with light over a certain range of energy. This parameter is directly related to the photon absorption.

(2,3) Energy of the first electronic transition,  $E_{S_1}$  and the associated oscillator strength,  $f_{osc}^{S_1}$ .  $E_{S_1}$  is the minimum energy of a photon required for absorption by a molecule. Also, in order to examine the energetic condition for the singlet fission process, it is important to consider both  $E_{S_1}$  and  $E_{T_1}$  as descriptors. Further, it is worth to include  $f_{osc}^{S_1}$  as a descriptor, as it describes the importance of the first electronic transition.

(4) Maximal photogenerated current,  $J_{ph}$ . The maximum obtainable current density can be evaluated by assuming that photon absorption occurs at energies  $\geq E_{S_1}$ , and it is calculated by numerically integrating with the energy range from  $E_{S_1}$  to the superior limit of the sunlight wavelength (AM1.5 condition) using the following equation,

$$J_{\rm ph} = e \int_{E_{\rm S_1}}^{\infty} \phi_{\rm ph}(\lambda) d\lambda. \tag{1}$$

Here,  $\phi_{ph}$  and *e* are solar photon flux density and elementary charge, respectively. The reference density was obtained from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM G173-03, AM1.5g). This parameter is known to be directly correlated with the  $J_{SC}$ .

(5) Area of absorption spectra for donors,  $\Delta_{area}$ . The absorption spectrum was calculated using the following equation with an half-width half-maximum of 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the first 20 electronic excitations,

$$\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \varepsilon_i(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \cdot e^2 \cdot N}{1000 \cdot \ln(10) \cdot c^2 \cdot m_e} \cdot \frac{f_i}{\sigma} exp[-(\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_i}{\sigma})^2].$$
(2)

Area of each spectrum was calculated using the composite trapezoidal rule. This descriptor is expected to correlate with the  $J_{SC}$ .

(6) The most favourable excited state for electronic transition in donor molecules,  $S_N$ . For many molecules, excitation other the first electronic transition has the largest oscillator strength, and in these cases, there is a high probability of hole-electron recombination. To account this effect,  $S_N$  is considered as a descriptor.

(7,8) Contribution of HOMO/HOMO-1 for the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_N$  transition,  $C_H/C_{H-1}$ . In our previous study,<sup>[201]</sup> it is noticed that orbitals other than HOMO also contribute to the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_N$  transition; thus these two descriptors may be related to the photon absorption.

(9,10) Contribution of LUMO/LUMO+1 for the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_N$  transition,  $C_L/C_{L+1}$ . Orbitals energetically close to LUMO also participate in photon absorption, and therefore, these parameters may influence the  $J_{SC}$ .

(11) *HOMO-LUMO gap for donors*,  $\Delta_{\text{HL}}$ . It is calculated as the difference of HOMO and LUMO energies of donor molecules, generally used as an optical gap instead of the  $E_{\text{g}}$  for its low computational cost.

(12) Fundamental gap for donors,  $E_{\text{fund}}$ . It is calculated as the difference between ionization potential and electron affinity, which is related to the energy required for the electron-hole separation.

(13) Number of  $\pi$ -electrons in donors,  $N_{elec}$ . In OPVs, excitons are formed by excitations of  $\pi$ electrons from occupied to unoccupied orbitals; thus  $N_{elec}$  may be closely related to the energy conversion process.

(14) Number of heteroatoms present in the main conjugation path for donors,  $N_{het}^{D}$ . The presence of heteroatoms such as nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen, in a conjugated molecule may regulate the geometry through non-covalent interactions and influence its photophysical properties.

(15) *Name of acceptors, Acceptor.* This is a categorical descriptor to distinguish two acceptors:  $PC_{61}BM$  and  $PC_{71}BM$ .

#### 2 ML models

In our recent work,<sup>[201]</sup> a number of ML techniques, such as *k*-nearest neighbour (*k*NN), artificial neural network (ANN), random forest (RF) and gradient boosting regression tree (GBRT), were used to build models using 13 descriptors in combination with the stratified sampling technique and 10-fold cross-validation method, and the GBRT model is found to outperform other ML-models with an impressive *r* of 0.77. However, there is an inherent limitation of all tree-based models that they can not predict beyond the data used to train the model. To overcome this limitation, in this work, both GBRT and ANN models are built from 300 experimental data and a set of descriptors carefully chosen from the complete set of 28 descriptors.

At first, we create 8 groups/strata (Table S2) based on the PCE, and the data points are randomly divided within each group to create train (250 data points) and test (50 data points) subsets. The best set of hyper-parameters are obtained by 10-fold cross-validation over the train set in combination with the stratified sampling method. Once ML-models are optimised, the performance of each model is evaluated using the test set (a completely separate dataset). Leave-one-out cross-validation and error analysis are also carried out to further ascertain the stability of models. This procedure is followed to build all the ML-models reported in this work.

 Table S2 Division of the dataset to 8 groups of each having a specific range of PCE. N represents the number of data points in a group.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PCE	$\leq$ 3%	3-4%	4-5%	5-6%	6-7%	7-8%	8-9%	>9
N	18	41	76	64	47	28	19	7

Selection of a proper set of descriptors is quite challenging as there is a possibility of non-linear correlations between them. In this work, the polarizability of donor molecules is not considered as a descriptor due to its high computational cost and strong correlation with the  $N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$  (r > 0.8). We start to build models using GBRT technique and 12 descriptors (except polarizability) mentioned in our previous work.<sup>[201]</sup> The performance of this model is found to be increased when  $\Delta_{L}^{A}$  is replaced with a categorical descriptor: *Acceptor*. Then we start to include new descriptors one by one, and reoptimize hyper-parameters of the model to get optimum results. Out of total 28 descriptors used in this process, the best performance for the GBRT model is obtained with the following 13 descriptors: (1)  $N_{\text{atom}}^{D}$ , (2)  $N_{\text{het}}^{D}$ , (3)  $E_{\text{LL}}^{DA}$ , (4)  $\lambda_{\text{h}}$ , (5)  $E_{\text{HL}}^{DA}$ , (6)  $\Delta_{\text{H}}$ , (7)  $\Delta_{\text{L}}$ , (8) IP(v), (9)  $E_{\text{g}}$ , (10)  $\Delta\mu_{\text{ge}}$ , (11)  $E_{\text{bind}}$ , (12)  $E_{\text{T}_{1}}$  and (13) *Acceptor*. The same set of descriptors was used to build an ANN model. A simple GBRT model (GBRT<sup>\*</sup>) was also built using 7 easily accessible descriptors such as:  $N_{\text{atom}}^{D}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{H}}$ ,  $\Delta_{\text{HL}}$ ,  $E_{\text{HL}}^{DA}$ ,  $E_{\text{LL}}^{DA}$  and *Acceptor*.

#### **3** Calculation of PCE using the Scharber model

The PCE of a photovoltaic cell is commonly expressed as

$$PCE = \frac{V_{OC}J_{SC}FF}{P_{in}},$$
(3)

where  $P_{in}$  is the intensity of incident light.  $V_{OC}$  was calculated from the energetic difference of HOMO of donor ( $E_{HOMO}^{Donor}$ ) and LUMO of acceptor molecules ( $E_{LUMO}^{Acceptor}$ ) with an empirically determined energy loss of 0.3 eV:

$$V_{\rm OC} = \frac{1}{e} (E_{\rm LUMO}^{\rm Acceptor} - E_{\rm HOMO}^{\rm Donor} - 0.3) eV.$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

 $J_{SC}$  is calculated by assuming absorption of all photons above the first electronic transition ( $E_{S_1}$ ) of conjugated molecules, given by the expression:

$$J_{\rm SC} = e \int_{E_{\rm S_1}(\lambda)}^{\infty} EQE\Phi_{\rm AirMass1.5}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$
(5)

Both external quantum efficiency (EQE) and FF were set to 0.65. The reference AM1.5g solar spectrum, provided by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), was used as incident light.



Figure S1 Pearson's correlation coefficient (*r*) for each possible combination of two descriptors. These are in the following order:  $1.N_{\text{atom}}^{\text{D}}$ ,  $2.N_{\text{het}}^{\text{D}}$ ,  $3.N_{\text{elec}}$ ,  $4.\Delta_{\text{HL}}$ ,  $5.\Delta_{\text{H}}$ ,  $6.\Delta_{\text{L}}$ ,  $7.E_{\text{LL}}^{\text{DA}}$ ,  $8.E_{\text{HL}}^{\text{DA}}$ ,  $9.\text{IP}(\nu)$ ,  $10.E_{\text{fund}}$ ,  $11.E_{\text{bind}}$ ,  $12.\lambda_{\text{h}}$ ,  $13.E_{\text{S}_1}$ ,  $14.f_{\text{osc}}^{\text{S}_1}$ ,  $15.E_{\text{g}}$ ,  $16.S_{\text{N}}$ ,  $17.f_{\text{osc}}$ ,  $18.\Delta_{\text{area}}$ ,  $19.J_{\text{ph}}$ ,  $20.C_{\text{H}-1}$ ,  $21.C_{\text{H}}$ ,  $22.C_{\text{L}}$ ,  $23.C_{\text{L}+1}$ ,  $24.\Delta\mu_{\text{ge}}$ ,  $25.E_{\text{T}_1}$  and 26. polarizability.



**Figure S2** Theoretically predicted vs experimental PCE for the GBRT (a,b) and GBRT\* model (c,d). a,c) The test dataset (50 data points) with models trained from 250 data points. b,d) All 300 data points using the LOOCV approach. An inset shows the probability density of prediction errors.



Figure S3 Tanimoto (a) and Euclidean (c) distances of the chemical fingerprints and the normalized features for 45/50 closet datapoints to each sample in the testing set, respectively. The differences of PCE ( $\Delta_{PCE}$ ) of testing samples with respect to the corresponding 45/50 closest training data points are shown in (b, Tanimoto distance) and (d, Euclidean distance).  $I_{train}$  and  $I_{test}$  are indexes of donor molecules in train and test sets, respectively. Tanimoto distances are calculated by using fingerprints generated by the default set of parameters as implemented in RDKit package.<sup>[1]</sup>

**Table S3** Predicted PCE for chemically different donor molecules than those present in the training set. Predicted PCEs outside and inside the parentheses are computed using GBRT and ANN models.

Donor:Acceptor	Experimental PCE (%)	Predicted PCE (%)	Reference
DR2TDTCz:PC71BM	6.75	5.98 (5.78)	[202]
(DTBT-TPA) <sub>2</sub> An:PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.66	3.64 (3.12)	[203]
(DPP-TPA) <sub>2</sub> An:PC <sub>61</sub> BM	1.3	2.97 (4.11)	[203]
CAT3STIF:PC71BM	4.81	5.74 (5.49)	[204]
CAT3STIF:PC61BM	4.06	4.70 (4.97)	[204]
CAT3DBS:PC <sub>61</sub> BM	0.34	4.55 (4.67)	[204]
CAT3STB:PC <sub>61</sub> BM	0.02	4.77 (4.75)	[204]

### 4 Hypergeometric distribution and z-score

The hypergeometric distribution is a discrete probability distribution that describes the probability of getting k observations in a subpopulation of size n without replacement, given that there are K observations in the entire population of size N.

Z-score is the measure of distance between a data point and the population mean, expressed in standard deviations. For hypergeometric distribution, it is calculated as the difference between the frequency of a molecule in the top set and its expectation value, divided by the standard deviation for the distribution  $(\sigma(k))$ :

$$z = \frac{k - \langle k \rangle}{\sigma(k)}.\tag{6}$$

Here,  $\langle k \rangle$  is the expectation value of k calculated as  $\langle k \rangle = nK/N$ , and

$$\sigma(k) = \sqrt{\frac{nK(N-n)(N-K)}{N^2(N-1)}}.$$
(7)



Figure S4 Z-scores for 32 building units.

Units	<i>E</i> <sub>g</sub> (eV)	<i>E</i> <sub>g</sub> (nm)	Units	<i>E</i> <sub>g</sub> (eV)	<i>E</i> <sub>g</sub> (nm)
D1	5.26	235.8	<b>S</b> 1	8.42	147.2
D2	4.83	256.7	S2	7.51	165.0
D3	3.86	321.5	<b>S</b> 3	6.22	199.2
D4	4.06	305.2	S4	4.41	281.1
D5	3.86	321.1	S5	3.93	315.5
D6	4.16	297.7	C1	4.38	282.8
D7	4.43	279.6	C2	4.42	280.2
D8	4.03	307.6	C3	4.91	252.4
D9	4.13	300.2	C4	5.36	231.2
D10	3.90	318.1	C5	4.43	279.6
D11	3.56	348.7	C6	4.46	277.7
D12	4.21	294.7			
D13	4.78	259.2			
D14	4.44	279.3			
D15	3.74	331.2			
A1	4.42	280.7			
A2	4.40	281.8			
A3	3.79	327.5			
A4	3.58	346.4			
A5	2.93	422.6			
A6	3.77	328.9			

Table S4 Optical gap of all building units calculated at the M06-2X/6-31G(d) level.



Figure S5 Absorption spectra of building units. Half width at half maximum (HWHM) of each spectrum is 1500 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S6 Energies of frontier orbitals of building units.



Figure S7 Z-scores for combinations of acceptor and  $\pi$ -spacer units.



Figure S8 Z-scores for combinations of acceptor and end-capping units.



Figure S9 Z-scores for combinations of  $\pi$ -spacer and end-capping units.



**Figure S10** Joint distributions using kernel density estimation for descriptors ( $N_{atom}^{D}$ ,  $\Delta_{L}$  and  $\Delta_{H}$ ) and the predicted PCEs for CASDSAC (left panel) and CDSASDC (right panel) patterns. The marginal distributions are for the individual variables. The average value of each descriptor is provided in the corresponding subfigure.



**Figure S11** Joint distributions using kernel density estimation for descriptors ( $\Delta_{HL}$ ,  $E_{HL}^{DA}$  and  $E_{LL}^{DA}$ ) and the predicted PCEs for CASDSAC (left panel) and CDSASDC (right panel) patterns. The marginal distributions are for the individual variables. The average value of each descriptor is provided in the corresponding subfigure.

S No.	molecules	PCE (%)	S No.	molecules	PCE (%)
1	C2-A1-S5-D5-S5-A1-C2	10.8	64	C1-A1-S4-D8-S4-A1-C1	8.7
2	C1-A3-S5-D11-S5-A3-C1	10.7	65	C2-A5-S4-D5-S4-A5-C2	8.7
3	C2-A3-S5-D3-S5-A3-C2	10.7	66	C1-D5-S3-A1-S3-D5-C1	8.7
4	C2-A1-S5-D3-S5-A1-C2	10.5	67	C2-D7-S5-A1-S5-D7-C2	8.7
5	C2-A3-S5-D10-S5-A3-C2	10.4	68	C2-A5-S5-D9-S5-A5-C2	8.6
6	C2-A1-S5-D9-S5-A1-C2	10.4	69	C2-D11-S3-A1-S3-D11-C2	8.6
7	C2-A3-S5-D8-S5-A3-C2	10.3	70	C1-A5-S4-D10-S4-A5-C1	8.6
8	C2-A3-S5-D11-S5-A3-C2	10.2	71	C2-S5-D5-S5-C2	8.6
9	C1-A3-S4-D5-S4-A3-C1	10.1	72	C2-A5-S5-D11-S5-A5-C2	8.5
10	C2-A3-S2-D15-S2-A3-C2	10.1	73	C5-A3-S4-D5-S4-A3-C5	8.5
11	C2-A1-S5-D11-S5-A1-C2	10.1	74	C2-A5-S5-D10-S5-A5-C2	8.5
12	C2-A1-S5-D8-S5-A1-C2	10.0	75	C3-A3-S5-D15-S5-A3-C3	8.5
13	C2-A3-S5-D4-S5-A3-C2	10.0	76	C1-D3-S5-A1-S5-D3-C1	8.5
14	C2-A3-S4-D8-S4-A3-C2	9.9	77	C2-A1-S5-D10-S5-A1-C2	8.5
15	C2-A3-S3-D4-S3-A3-C2	9.9	78	C2-A5-S4-D8-S4-A5-C2	8.4
16	C2-A3-S5-D15-S5-A3-C2	9.8	79	C3-A3-S4-D8-S4-A3-C3	8.4
17	C3-A3-S5-D5-S5-A3-C3	9.6	80	C1-A2-S5-D4-S5-A2-C1	8.4
18	C2-A1-S5-D15-S5-A1-C2	9.6	81	C2-A3-S5-D9-S5-A3-C2	8.4
19	C3-A3-S4-D11-S4-A3-C3	9.6	82	C5-A3-S4-D11-S4-A3-C5	8.4
20	C2-A5-S4-D9-S4-A5-C2	9.6	83	C1-A3-S5-D10-S5-A3-C1	8.4
21	C1-A3-S4-D8-S4-A3-C1	9.5	84	C1-D3-S4-A1-S4-D3-C1	8.4
22	C3-A3-S5-D11-S5-A3-C3	9.4	85	C2-A2-S5-D9-S5-A2-C2	8.4
23	C2-A4-S5-D11-S5-A4-C2	9.4	86	C2-A5-S3-D8-S3-A5-C2	8.4
24	C1-A3-S3-D4-S3-A3-C1	9.4	87	C3-A3-S5-D3-S5-A3-C3	8.4

**Table S5** Promising molecules for OPVs with predicted PCE > 8.0% selected by screening 1000 candidates using both GBRTand ANN models. Reported values are predicted using the ANN-model.

25	C1-A3-S5-D4-S5-A3-C1	9.4	88	C3-A2-S5-D5-S5-A2-C3	8.3
26	C3-A3-S5-D9-S5-A3-C3	9.3	89	C2-A5-S5-D5-S5-A5-C2	8.3
27	C1-A3-S5-D3-S5-A3-C1	9.3	90	C5-A3-S2-D5-S2-A3-C5	8.3
28	C1-A3-S5-D8-S5-A3-C1	9.3	91	C4-A3-S5-D11-S5-A3-C4	8.3
29	C1-A3-S5-D5-S5-A3-C1	9.3	92	C4-A3-S5-D5-S5-A3-C4	8.3
30	C3-A3-S5-D10-S5-A3-C3	9.3	93	C4-A3-S4-D5-S4-A3-C4	8.3
31	C1-A3-S5-D7-S5-A3-C1	9.2	94	C2-D5-S4-A5-S4-D5-C2	8.3
32	C1-A3-S5-D9-S5-A3-C1	9.2	95	C1-A2-S5-D7-S5-A2-C1	8.3
33	C3-A3-S4-D5-S4-A3-C3	9.2	96	C2-A4-S5-D3-S5-A4-C2	8.3
34	C2-D5-S3-A1-S3-D5-C2	9.2	97	C2-D11-S4-A3-S4-D11-C2	8.3
35	C2-A4-S5-D10-S5-A4-C2	9.2	98	C1-A5-S1-D4-S1-A5-C1	8.3
36	C3-A3-S3-D4-S3-A3-C3	9.2	99	C5-A3-S3-D5-S3-A3-C5	8.2
37	C3-A3-S5-D7-S5-A3-C3	9.1	100	C2-A5-S5-D8-S5-A5-C2	8.2
38	C3-A1-S5-D5-S5-A1-C3	9.1	101	C5-A3-S4-D9-S4-A3-C5	8.2
39	C2-A4-S5-D5-S5-A4-C2	9.1	102	C1-A5-S5-D5-S5-A5-C1	8.2
40	C1-A2-S5-D5-S5-A2-C1	9.0	103	C4-A3-S5-D3-S5-A3-C4	8.2
41	C1-A3-S4-D14-S4-A3-C1	9.0	104	C5-A3-S5-D3-S5-A3-C5	8.2
42	C2-A4-S5-D9-S5-A4-C2	9.0	105	C5-A3-S3-D11-S3-A3-C5	8.2
43	C3-A3-S4-D10-S4-A3-C3	9.0	106	C1-A3-S2-D8-S2-A3-C1	8.2
44	C3-A1-S3-D5-S3-A1-C3	9.0	107	C3-A2-S5-D1-S5-A2-C3	8.2
45	C1-A3-S5-D15-S5-A3-C1	9.0	108	C2-A6-S5-D5-S5-A6-C2	8.2
46	C2-A3-S5-D5-S5-A3-C2	8.9	109	C2-A6-S5-D4-S5-A6-C2	8.2
47	C1-A1-S5-D8-S5-A1-C1	8.9	110	C1-A2-S5-D3-S5-A2-C1	8.1
48	C2-A1-S5-D14-S5-A1-C2	8.8	111	C5-A3-S4-D3-S4-A3-C5	8.1
49	C2-A5-S5-D4-S5-A5-C2	8.8	112	C2-A5-S4-D14-S4-A5-C2	8.1
50	C1-A3-S4-D15-S4-A3-C1	8.8	113	C5-A3-S5-D5-S5-A3-C5	8.1
51	C2-D3-S4-A1-S4-D3-C2	8.8	114	C2-D11-S3-A3-S3-D11-C2	8.1
52	C1-A5-S3-D11-S3-A5-C1	8.8	115	C3-A2-S5-D9-S5-A2-C3	8.1

53	C2-A4-S4-D11-S4-A4-C2	8.8	116	C2-D12-S5-A6-S5-D12-C2	8.1
54	C2-A4-S3-D10-S3-A4-C2	8.8	117	C1-A5-S1-D3-S1-A5-C1	8.1
55	C2-A5-S1-D3-S1-A5-C2	8.8	118	C3-A1-S5-D11-S5-A1-C3	8.1
56	C2-A2-S5-D3-S5-A2-C2	8.8	119	C3-A3-S4-D15-S4-A3-C3	8.1
57	C1-A2-S5-D11-S5-A2-C1	8.7	120	C1-D5-S3-A3-S3-D5-C1	8.1
58	C2-A2-S5-D11-S5-A2-C2	8.7	121	C2-D3-S3-A3-S3-D3-C2	8.1
59	C3-A3-S5-D8-S5-A3-C3	8.7	122	C4-A3-S4-D3-S4-A3-C4	8.1
60	C1-A5-S5-D7-S5-A5-C1	8.7	123	C2-A4-S5-D4-S5-A4-C2	8.1
61	C2-D7-S5-A6-S5-D7-C2	8.7	124	C2-A5-S5-D3-S5-A5-C2	8.0
62	C1-A5-S5-D11-S5-A5-C1	8.7	125	C2-D9-S4-A1-S4-D9-C2	8.0
63	C2-D7-S5-A3-S5-D7-C2	8.7	126	C1-A5-S4-D5-S4-A5-C1	8.0

. .

.

.

.

#### References

- [1] RDKit: Cheminformatics and Machine Learning Software, Open-source, 2018, p.
   [http://www.rdkit.org/].
- [2] J. Wan, X. Xu, G. Zhang, Y. Li, K. Feng and Q. Peng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, 10, 1739–1745.
- [3] Z. Wang, X. Xu, Z. Li, K. Feng, K. Li, Y. Li and Q. Peng, Adv. Electron. Mater., 2016, 2, 1600061.
- [4] M. Li, F. Liu, X. Wan, W. Ni, B. Kan, H. Feng, Q. Zhang, X. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Shen, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 6296–6302.
- [5] S. Xu, Z. Zhou, H. Fan, L. Ren, F. Liu, X. Zhu and T. P. Russell, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 17354– 17362.
- [6] Q. Zhang, B. Kan, F. Liu, G. Long, X. Wan, X. Chen, Y. Zuo, W. Ni, H. Zhang, M. Li, Z. Hu, F. Huang, Y. Cao, Z. Liang, M. Zhang, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, 9, 35.
- [7] C. Cui, X. Guo, J. Min, B. Guo, X. Cheng, M. Zhang, C. J. Brabec and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 7469–7475.
- [8] T. Liang, L. Xiao, K. Gao, W. Xu, X. Peng and Y. Cao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 7131– 7138.
- [9] J.-L. Wang, K.-K. Liu, S. Liu, F. Xiao, Z.-F. Chang, Y.-Q. Zheng, J.-H. Dou, R.-B. Zhang, H.-B. Wu, J. Pei and Y. Cao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1036–1046.
- [10] J.-L. Wang, K.-K. Liu, J. Yan, Z. Wu, F. Liu, F. Xiao, Z.-F. Chang, H.-B. Wu, Y. Cao and T. P. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7687–7697.
- [11] K. Sun, Z. Xiao, S. Lu, W. Zajaczkowski, W. Pisula, E. Hanssen, J. M. White, R. M. Williamson,
   J. Subbiah, J. Ouyang, A. B. Holmes, W. W. H. Wong and D. J. Jones, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 6013.
- [12] W. Jin-Liang, L. Kai-Kai, L. Sha, X. Fei, L. Feng, W. Hong-Bin and C. Yong, Sol. RRL, 2018, 2, 1700212.

- [13] J.-L. Wang, F. Xiao, J. Yan, Z. Wu, K.-K. Liu, Z.-F. Chang, R.-B. Zhang, H. Chen, H.-B. Wu and Y. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 1803–1812.
- [14] B. Kan, Q. Zhang, F. Liu, X. Wan, Y. Wang, W. Ni, X. Yang, M. Zhang, H. Zhang, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 8414–8423.
- [15] T. Lai, L. Xiao, K. Deng, T. Liang, X. Chen, X. Peng and Y. Cao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 668–675.
- [16] M. R. Busireddy, C. Madhu, N. R. Chereddy, E. Appalanaidu, G. D. Sharma and J. R. Vaidya, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2018, 2, 81–88.
- [17] D. Deng, Y. Yang, W. Zou, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, K. Lu, W. Ma and Z. Wei, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, DOI:10.1039/C8TA04102D.
- [18] W. Ni, M. Li, X. Wan, H. Feng, B. Kan, Y. Zuo and Y. Chen, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 31977–31980.
- [19] S. Badgujar, G.-Y. Lee, T. Park, C. E. Song, S. Park, S. Oh, W. S. Shin, S.-J. Moon, J.-C. Lee and S. K. Lee, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, 6, 1600228.
- [20] L. Xiao, S. Chen, K. Gao, X. Peng, F. Liu, Y. Cao, W.-Y. Wong, W.-K. Wong and X. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 30176–30183.
- [21] K. Gao, L. Li, T. Lai, L. Xiao, Y. Huang, F. Huang, J. Peng, Y. Cao, F. Liu, T. P. Russell, R. A. J. Janssen and X. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 7282–7285.
- [22] Y. Liu, C.-C. Chen, Z. Hong, J. Gao, Y. (Michael) Yang, H. Zhou, L. Dou, G. Li and Y. Yang, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 3356.
- [23] S. Oh, S. Badgujar, D. H. Kim, W.-E. Lee, N. Khan, M. Jahandar, S. Rasool, C. E. Song, H. K. Lee,
   W. S. Shin, J.-C. Lee, S.-J. Moon and S. K. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 15923–15931.
- [24] W. Liu, Z. Zhou, T. Vergote, S. Xu and X. Zhu, Mater. Chem. Front., 2017, 1, 2349–2355.
- [25] Y. Huang, W. Wen, S. Mukherjee, H. Ade, E. J. Kramer and G. C. Bazan, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4168–4172.

- [26] V. Cuesta, M. Vartanian, P. de la Cruz, R. Singhal, G. D. Sharma and F. Langa, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 1057–1065.
- [27] Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. Kan, X. Wan, F. Liu, W. Ni, H. Feng, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15268–15271.
- [28] M. R. Busireddy, N. R. Chereddy, B. Shanigaram, B. Kotamarthi, S. Biswas, G. D. Sharma and J. R. Vaidya, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 20513–20522.
- [29] Y.-Q. Guo, Y. Wang, L.-C. Song, F. Liu, X. Wan, H. Zhang and Y. Chen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 3694–3703.
- [30] L. Yuan, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. Zhu, K. Lu, W. Yan and Z. Wei, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 4229–4233.
- [31] J. Zhou, Y. Zuo, X. Wan, G. Long, Q. Zhang, W. Ni, Y. Liu, Z. Li, G. He, C. Li, B. Kan, M. Li and Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8484–8487.
- [32] Z. Du, W. Chen, Y. Chen, S. Qiao, X. Bao, S. Wen, M. Sun, L. Han and R. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 15904–15911.
- [33] H. Li, J. Fang, J. Zhang, R. Zhou, Q. Wu, D. Deng, M. Abdullah Adil, K. Lu, X. Guo and Z. Wei, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2018, 2, 143–148.
- [34] S. Zhang, J. Zhang, M. Abdelsamie, Q. Shi, Y. Zhang, T. C. Parker, E. V. Jucov, T. V. Timofeeva, A. Amassian, G. C. Bazan, S. B. Blakey, S. Barlow and S. R. Marder, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 7880–7887.
- [35] R.-Z. Liang, K. Wang, J. Wolf, M. Babics, P. Wucher, M. K. A. Thehaiban and P. M. Beaujuge, Adv. Energy Mater., 2017, 7, 1602804.
- [36] C. Ye, Y. Wang, Z. Bi, X. Guo, Q. Fan, J. Chen, X. Ou, W. Ma and M. Zhang, *Org. Electron.*, 2018, 53, 273–279.
- [37] M. Li, Z. Qiu, G. Zhang, Y. Liu, L. Xiong, D. Bai, M. Zhu, Q. Peng and W. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 12493–12505.

- [38] M. Cheng, C. Chen, X. Yang, J. Huang, F. Zhang, B. Xu and L. Sun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 1808– 1814.
- [39] D. Deng, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, Q. Wu, W. Ma, K. Lu and Z. Wei, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2018, 7, 558–562.
- [40] Z. Zhang, Z. Zhou, Q. Hu, F. Liu, T. P. Russell and X. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 6213–6219.
- [41] R. Cheacharoen, W. R. Mateker, Q. Zhang, B. Kan, D. Sarkisian, X. Liu, J. A. Love, X. Wan, Y. Chen, T.-Q. Nguyen, G. C. Bazan and M. D. McGehee, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2017, 161, 368–376.
- [42] Y. Rout, R. Misra, R. Singhal, S. Biswas and G. D. Sharma, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, 20, 6321–6329.
- [43] J. Min, C. Cui, T. Heumueller, S. Fladischer, X. Cheng, E. Spiecker, Y. Li and C. J. Brabec, Adv. Energy Mater., 2016, 6, 1600515.
- [44] Z. Qiu, X. Xu, L. Yang, Y. Pei, M. Zhu, Q. Peng and Y. Liu, Sol. Energy, 2018, 161, 138–147.
- [45] L. Yuan, K. Lu, B. Xia, J. Zhang, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Deng, J. Fang, L. Zhu and Z. Wei, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 5980–5985.
- [46] Y. Zhao, H. Wang, W. Zeng, S. Xia, F. Zhou, H. Chen, F. He and C. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 8101–8108.
- [47] M. Cheng, B. Xu, C. Chen, X. Yang, F. Zhang, Q. Tan, Y. Hua, L. Kloo and L. Sun, Adv. Energy Mater., 2015, 5, 1401720.
- [48] S. Badgujar, C. E. Song, S. Oh, W. S. Shin, S.-J. Moon, J.-C. Lee, I. H. Jung and S. K. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 16335–16340.
- [49] J.-L. Wang, Z. Wu, J.-S. Miao, K.-K. Liu, Z.-F. Chang, R.-B. Zhang, H.-B. Wu and Y. Cao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 4338–4348.
- [50] J. H. Yun, S. Park, J. H. Heo, H.-S. Lee, S. Yoon, J. Kang, S. H. Im, H. Kim, W. Lee, B. Kim, M. J. Ko, D. S. Chung and H. J. Son, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 6649–6661.

- [51] D. Yang, H. Sasabe, T. Sano and J. Kido, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 2021–2025.
- [52] H. Qin, L. Li, F. Guo, S. Su, J. Peng, Y. Cao and X. Peng, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 1397–1401.
- [53] S. Shen, P. Jiang, C. He, J. Zhang, P. Shen, Y. Zhang, Y. Yi, Z. Zhang, Z. Li and Y. Li, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 2274–2281.
- [54] W. Yunchuang, K. Bin, K. Xin, L. Feng, W. Xiangjian, Z. Hongtao, L. Chenxi and C. Yongsheng, Sol. RRL, 2018, 2, 1700179.
- [55] P. Gautam, R. Misra, S. Biswas and G. D. Sharma, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 13918– 13926.
- [56] S. Arrechea, A. Aljarilla, P. de la Cruz, M. K. Singh, G. D. Sharma and F. Langa, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 4742–4751.
- [57] J. Zhao, B. Xia, K. Lu, D. Deng, L. Yuan, J. Zhang, L. Zhu, X. Zhu, H. Li and Z. Wei, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 60595–60601.
- [58] J. W. Jung, T. P. Russell and W. H. Jo, Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 4865–4870.
- [59] C. Wang, C. Li, S. Wen, P. Ma, G. Wang, C. Wang, H. Li, L. Shen, W. Guo and S. Ruan, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 8684–8692.
- [60] M. R. Busireddy, V. N. Raju Mantena, N. R. Chereddy, B. Shanigaram, B. Kotamarthi, S. Biswas, G. D. Sharma and J. R. Vaidya, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 32096–32106.
- [61] J. A. Love, I. Nagao, Y. Huang, M. Kuik, V. Gupta, C. J. Takacs, J. E. Coughlin, L. Qi, T. S. van der Poll, E. J. Kramer, A. J. Heeger, T.-Q. Nguyen and G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 3597–3606.
- [62] J. J. Intemann, K. Yao, F. Ding, Y. Xu, X. Xin, X. Li and A. K.-Y. Jen, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 4889–4897.
- [63] J. Zhou, X. Wan, Y. Liu, Y. Zuo, Z. Li, G. He, G. Long, W. Ni, C. Li, X. Su and Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 16345–16351.

- [64] J. Wolf, M. Babics, K. Wang, Q. Saleem, R.-Z. Liang, M. R. Hansen and P. M. Beaujuge, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 2058–2066.
- [65] K. Wang, R.-Z. Liang, J. Wolf, Q. Saleem, M. Babics, P. Wucher, M. Abdelsamie, A. Amassian, M. R. Hansen and P. M. Beaujuge, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 7103–7114.
- [66] X. Liu, Y. Sun, B. B. Y. Hsu, A. Lorbach, L. Qi, A. J. Heeger and G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5697–5708.
- [67] S. Nho, D. H. Kim, S. Park, H. N. Tran, B. Lim and S. Cho, Dyes Pigm., 2018, 151, 272–278.
- [68] A. Tang, C. Zhan and J. Yao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 4719–4730.
- [69] M. Moon, B. Walker, J. Lee, S. Y. Park, H. Ahn, T. Kim, T. H. Lee, J. Heo, J. H. Seo, T. J. Shin, J. Y. Kim and C. Yang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, 5, 1402044.
- [70] Q. Tu, Z. Yin, Y. Ma, S.-C. Chen and Q. Zheng, Dyes Pigm., 2018, 149, 747–754.
- [71] Y. Liu, Y. M. Yang, C.-C. Chen, Q. Chen, L. Dou, Z. Hong, G. Li and Y. Yang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 4657–4662.
- [72] Y. Liu, Y. Sun, M. Li, H. Feng, W. Ni, H. Zhang, X. Wan and Y. Chen, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 4867–4871.
- [73] B. Kan, M. Li, Q. Zhang, F. Liu, X. Wan, Y. Wang, W. Ni, G. Long, X. Yang, H. Feng, Y. Zuo,
   M. Zhang, F. Huang, Y. Cao, T. P. Russell and Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3886–3893.
- [74] S. Revoju, S. Biswas, B. Eliasson and G. D. Sharma, Dyes Pigm., 2018, 149, 830-842.
- [75] Z. Li, G. He, X. Wan, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, G. Long, Y. Zuo, M. Zhang and Y. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2012, 2, 74–77.
- [76] C. V. Kumar, L. Cabau, E. N. Koukaras, A. Viterisi, G. D. Sharma and E. Palomares, *J. Mater. Chem.* A, 2015, 3, 4892–4902.
- [77] S. Revoju, S. Biswas, B. Eliasson and G. D. Sharma, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2018, 20, 6390–6400.
- [78] C. V. Kumar, L. Cabau, E. N. Koukaras, G. D. Sharma and E. Palomares, Org. Electron., 2015, 26, 36–47.

- [79] J. Wang, W. Wang, X. Dong, G. Wang, Z.-G. Zhang, Y. Li and P. Shen, Org. Electron., 2018, 57, 45–52.
- [80] J. Min, Y. N. Luponosov, N. Gasparini, L. Xue, F. V. Drozdov, S. M. Peregudova, P. V. Dmitryakov, K. L. Gerasimov, D. V. Anokhin, Z.-G. Zhang, T. Ameri, S. N. Chvalun, D. A. Ivanov, Y. Li, S. A. Ponomarenko and C. J. Brabec, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 22695–22707.
- [81] R. Duan, Y. Cui, Y. Zhao, C. Li, L. Chen, J. Hou, M. Wagner, M. Baumgarten, C. He and K. Müllen, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, 9, 973–980.
- [82] H. Jo, S. Park, H. Choi, S. Lee, K. Song, S. Biswas, A. Sharma, G. D. Sharma and J. Ko, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 102115–102125.
- [83] P. Gautam, R. Misra and G. D. Sharma, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 7235–7241.
- [84] X. Zhang, J. Yao and C. Zhan, Adv. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 3, 1600323.
- [85] J. Chen, L. Duan, M. Xiao, Q. Wang, B. Liu, H. Xia, R. Yang and W. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 4952–4961.
- [86] J.-H. Kim, J. B. Park, H. Yang, I. H. Jung, S. C. Yoon, D. Kim and D.-H. Hwang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 23866–23875.
- [87] W. Ni, X. Wan, M. Li, Y. Wang and Y. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 4936–4950.
- [88] Y. Lin, L. Ma, Y. Li, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and X. Zhan, Adv. Energy Mater., 2013, 3, 1166–1170.
- [89] K. Wang, B. Guo, Z. Xu, X. Guo, M. Zhang and Y. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 24686– 24693.
- [90] K. Do, N. Cho, S. Siddiqui, S. P. Singh, G. D. Sharma and J. Ko, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2015, **120**, 126–135.
- [91] Q. V. Hoang, S. Rasool, S. Oh, D. Van Vu, D. H. Kim, H. K. Lee, C. E. Song, S. K. Lee, J.-C. Lee, S.-J. Moon and W. S. Shin, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 7837–7844.
- [92] T. Liang, L. Xiao, C. Liu, K. Gao, H. Qin, Y. Cao and X. Peng, Org. Electron., 2016, 29, 127–134.

- [93] Y. Patil, R. Misra, F. C. Chen and G. D. Sharma, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 22999–23005.
  [94] J. W. Jung, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2017, 137, 512–517.
- [95] A. Tang, C. Zhan and J. Yao, Adv. Energy Mater., 2015, 5, 1500059.
- [96] Z. Wang, Z. Li, J. Liu, J. Mei, K. Li, Y. Li and Q. Peng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 11639–11648.
- [97] N. Lim, N. Cho, S. Paek, C. Kim, J. K. Lee and J. Ko, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 2283–2288.
- [98] D. Qian, B. Liu, S. Wang, S. Himmelberger, M. Linares, M. Vagin, C. Müller, Z. Ma, S. Fabiano,
   M. Berggren, A. Salleo, O. Inganäs, Y. Zou and F. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 24349–24357.
- [99] J. Liu, Y. Sun, P. Moonsin, M. Kuik, C. M. Proctor, J. Lin, B. B. Hsu, V. Promarak, A. J. Heeger and T.-Q. Nguyen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 5898–5903.
- [100] C. H. P. Kumar, K. Ganesh, T. Suresh, A. Sharma, K. Bhanuprakash, G. D. Sharma and M. Chandrasekharam, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 93579–93590.
- [101] Y. Patil, R. Misra, A. Sharma and G. D. Sharma, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 16950–16957.
- [102] Y. Liu, X. Wan, F. Wang, J. Zhou, G. Long, J. Tian and Y. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 5387–5391.
- [103] X. Duan, M. Xiao, J. Chen, X. Wang, W. Peng, L. Duan, H. Tan, G. Lei, R. Yang and W. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 18292–18299.
- [104] D. Patra, T.-Y. Huang, C.-C. Chiang, R. O. V. Maturana, C.-W. Pao, K.-C. Ho, K.-H. Wei and C.-W. Chu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 9494–9500.
- [105] Q.-R. Yin, J.-S. Miao, Z. Wu, Z.-F. Chang, J.-L. Wang, H.-B. Wu and Y. Cao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 11575–11586.
- [106] L. Fu, W. Fu, P. Cheng, Z. Xie, C. Fan, M. Shi, J. Ling, J. Hou, X. Zhan and H. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 6589–6597.
- [107] B. Qiu, J. Yuan, X. Xiao, D. He, L. Qiu, Y. Zou, Z.-g. Zhang and Y. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 25237–25246.

- [108] T. Bura, N. Leclerc, R. Bechara, P. Lévêque, T. Heiser and R. Ziessel, Adv. Energy Mater., 2013, 3, 1118–1124.
- [109] D. Deng, Y. Zhang, L. Yuan, C. He, K. Lu and Z. Wei, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, 4, 1400538.
- [110] C. Vijay Kumar, L. Cabau, E. N. Koukaras, S. A. Siddiqui, G. D. Sharma and E. Palomares, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 7692–7703.
- [111] Y. Patil, R. Misra, F. C. Chen, M. L. Keshtov and G. D. Sharma, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 99685–99694.
- [112] J. Sim, K. Do, K. Song, A. Sharma, S. Biswas, G. D. Sharma and J. Ko, Org. Electron., 2016, 30, 122–130.
- [113] J. Huang, C. Zhan, X. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Z. Lu, H. Jia, B. Jiang, J. Ye, S. Zhang, A. Tang, Y. Liu, Q. Pei and J. Yao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 2033–2039.
- [114] Y. Li, D. H. Lee, J. Lee, T. L. Nguyen, S. Hwang, M. J. Park, D. H. Choi and H. Y. Woo, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1701942.
- [115] H. Bai, Y. Wang, P. Cheng, Y. Li, D. Zhu and X. Zhan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 8426– 8433.
- [116] C.-H. Zhang, L.-P. Wang, W.-Y. Tan, S.-P. Wu, X.-P. Liu, P.-P. Yu, J. Huang, X.-H. Zhu, H.-B. Wu, C.-Y. Zhao, J. Peng and Y. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, 4, 3757–3764.
- [117] D. Patra, C.-C. Chiang, W.-A. Chen, K.-H. Wei, M.-C. Wu and C.-W. Chu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 7767–7774.
- [118] Z. Yi, W. Ni, Q. Zhang, M. Li, B. Kan, X. Wan and Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 7247–7255.
- [119] T. Jadhav, R. Misra, S. Biswas and G. D. Sharma, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 26580–26588.
- [120] J. Hong, J. Y. Choi, T. K. An, M. J. Sung, Y. Kim, Y.-H. Kim, S.-K. Kwon and C. E. Park, Dyes Pigm., 2017, 142, 516–523.
- [121] Q. V. Hoang, C. E. Song, I.-N. Kang, S.-J. Moon, S. K. Lee, J.-C. Lee and W. S. Shin, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 28658–28665.

- [122] I. Ata, D. Popovic, M. Lindén, A. Mishra and P. Bäuerle, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 755–766.
- [123] L. Wang, Y. Zhang, N. Yin, Y. Lin, W. Gao, Q. Luo, H. Tan, H.-B. Yang and C.-Q. Ma, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2016, 157, 831–843.
- [124] Y. Wu, X. Cheng, G. Xu, Y. Li, C. Cui and Y. Li, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 108908–108916.
- [125] A. Tang, C. Zhan, J. Yao and E. Zhou, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1600013.
- [126] G. He, Z. Li, X. Wan, J. Zhou, G. Long, S. Zhang, M. Zhang and Y. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 1801–1809.
- [127] T. J. Aldrich, M. J. Leonardi, A. S. Dudnik, N. D. Eastham, B. Harutyunyan, T. J. Fauvell, E. F. Manley, N. Zhou, M. R. Butler, T. Harschneck, M. A. Ratner, L. X. Chen, M. J. Bedzyk, R. P. H. Chang, F. S. Melkonyan, A. Facchetti and T. J. Marks, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 2415–2421.
- [128] L. Wang, L. Yin, C. Ji and Y. Li, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2015, **118**, 37–44.
- [129] L. Wang, L. Yin, C. Ji, Y. Zhang, H. Gao and Y. Li, Org. Electron., 2014, 15, 1138–1148.
- [130] G. Feng, Y. Xu, J. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Li, Z. Wei, C. Li and W. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 6056–6063.
- [131] L. Li, Y. Huang, J. Peng, Y. Cao and X. Peng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 2144–2150.
- [132] J. Min, Y. N. Luponosov, A. Gerl, M. S. Polinskaya, S. M. Peregudova, P. V. Dmitryakov, A. V. Bakirov, M. A. Shcherbina, S. N. Chvalun, S. Grigorian, N. Kaush-Busies, S. A. Ponomarenko, T. Ameri and C. J. Brabec, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, 4, 1301234.
- [133] H. Wang, F. Liu, L. Bu, J. Gao, C. Wang, W. Wei and T. P. Russell, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 6519–6525.
- [134] X. Zhu, B. Xia, K. Lu, H. Li, R. Zhou, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Shuai and Z. Wei, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 943–950.
- [135] Y. Chen, G. Wang, L. Yang, J. Wu, F. S. Melkonyan, Y. Huang, Z. Lu, T. J. Marks and A. Facchetti, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, 6, 847–854.

- [136] L. Xiao, H. Wang, K. Gao, L. Li, C. Liu, X. Peng, W.-Y. Wong, W.-K. Wong and X. Zhu, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 1513–1518.
- [137] M. Chandrasekharam, M. A. Reddy, K. Ganesh, G. Sharma, S. P. Singh and J. L. Rao, *Org. Electron.*, 2014, **15**, 2116–2125.
- [138] G. Long, X. Wan, B. Kan, Y. Liu, G. He, Z. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhang, M. Zhang and Y. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2013, 3, 639–646.
- [139] J.-j. Ha, Y. J. Kim, J.-g. Park, T. K. An, S.-K. Kwon, C. E. Park and Y.-H. Kim, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2014, 9, 1045–1053.
- [140] P. Gautam, R. Misra, S. A. Siddiqui and G. D. Sharma, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 10283– 10292.
- [141] W. Li, M. Kelchtermans, M. M. Wienk and R. A. J. Janssen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 15150– 15157.
- [142] K. Narayanaswamy, B. Yadagiri, A. Bagui, V. Gupta and S. P. Singh, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 4896–4904.
- [143] T. Aytun, L. Barreda, A. Ruiz-Carretero, J. A. Lehrman and S. I. Stupp, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, 27, 1201–1209.
- [144] H. Bürckstümmer, E. V. Tulyakova, M. Deppisch, M. R. Lenze, N. M. Kronenberg, M. Gsänger, M. Stolte, K. Meerholz and F. Würthner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11628–11632.
- [145] Y. Liu, X. Wan, F. Wang, J. Zhou, G. Long, J. Tian, J. You, Y. Yang and Y. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, 1, 771–775.
- [146] L. Liang, J.-T. Wang, X. Xiang, J. Ling, F.-G. Zhao and W.-S. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 15396– 15405.
- [147] Z. Du, W. Chen, M. Qiu, Y. Chen, N. Wang, T. Wang, M. Sun, D. Yu and R. Yang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 17391–17398.

- [148] B. Walker, A. B. Tamayo, X.-D. Dang, P. Zalar, J. H. Seo, A. Garcia, M. Tantiwiwat and T.-Q. Nguyen, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2009, 19, 3063–3069.
- [149] Y. S. Choi and W. H. Jo, Org. Electron., 2013, 14, 1621–1628.
- [150] S. Loser, H. Miyauchi, J. W. Hennek, J. Smith, C. Huang, A. Facchetti and T. J. Marks, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 8511–8513.
- [151] Q. Shang, M. Wang, J. Wei and Q. Zheng, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 18144–18150.
- [152] Y. N. Luponosov, A. N. Solodukhin, A. L. Mannanov, V. A. Trukhanov, S. M. Peregudova, S. A. Pisarev, A. V. Bakirov, M. A. Shcherbina, S. N. Chvalun, D. Y. Paraschuk and S. A. Ponomarenko, *Org. Electron.*, 2017, **51**, 180–189.
- [153] F. Liu, H. Fan, Z. Zhang and X. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 3661–3668.
- [154] W. Wang, P. Shen, X. Dong, C. Weng, G. Wang, H. Bin, J. Zhang, Z.-G. Zhang and Y. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 4614–4625.
- [155] C. D. Wessendorf, G. L. Schulz, A. Mishra, P. Kar, I. Ata, M. Weidelener, M. Urdanpilleta, J. Hanisch, E. Mena-Osteritz, M. Lindén, E. Ahlswede and P. Bäuerle, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, 4, 1400266.
- [156] S.-X. Sun, Y. Huo, M.-M. Li, X. Hu, H.-J. Zhang, Y.-W. Zhang, Y.-D. Zhang, X.-L. Chen, Z.-F. Shi,
   X. Gong, Y. Chen and H.-L. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 19914–19922.
- [157] D. Gedefaw, M. Prosa, M. Bolognesi, M. Seri and M. R. Andersson, Adv. Energy Mater., 2017, 7, 1700575.
- [158] Q. Tao, L. Duan, W. Xiong, G. Huang, P. Wang, H. Tan, Y. Wang, R. Yang and W. Zhu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2016, **133**, 153–160.
- [159] W. Yong, M. Zhang, X. Xin, Z. Li, Y. Wu, X. Guo, Z. Yang and J. Hou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 14214–14220.
- [160] S. Paek, N. Cho, K. Song, M.-J. Jun, J. K. Lee and J. Ko, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 23205–23213.

- [161] N. D. Eastham, A. S. Dudnik, B. Harutyunyan, T. J. Aldrich, M. J. Leonardi, E. F. Manley, M. R. Butler, T. Harschneck, M. A. Ratner, L. X. Chen, M. J. Bedzyk, F. S. Melkonyan, A. Facchetti, R. P. H. Chang and T. J. Marks, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 1690–1697.
- [162] J.-L. Wang, Q.-R. Yin, J.-S. Miao, Z. Wu, Z.-F. Chang, Y. Cao, R.-B. Zhang, J.-Y. Wang, H.-B. Wu and Y. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, 25, 3514–3523.
- [163] A. Chandrasekharan, M. Hambsch, H. Jin, F. Maasoumi, P. E. Shaw, A. Raynor, P. L. Burn, S.-C. Lo,
   P. Meredith and E. B. Namdas, *Org. Electron.*, 2017, **50**, 339–346.
- [164] Y. N. Luponosov, J. Min, A. N. Solodukhin, A. V. Bakirov, P. V. Dmitryakov, M. A. Shcherbina, S. M. Peregudova, G. V. Cherkaev, S. N. Chvalun, C. J. Brabec and S. A. Ponomarenko, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, 4, 7061–7076.
- [165] Y. Lin, L. Ma, Y. Li, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and X. Zhan, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, 4, 1300626.
- [166] M. Li, W. Ni, X. Wan, Q. Zhang, B. Kan and Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 4765–4776.
- [167] D. Liu, M. Xiao, Z. Du, Y. Yan, L. Han, V. A. L. Roy, M. Sun, W. Zhu, C. S. Lee and R. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 7523–7530.
- [168] Y. Chen, X. Wan and G. Long, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2645–2655.
- [169] Y. Huang, L. Li, X. Peng, J. Peng and Y. Cao, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 21841–21844.
- [170] C. Cui, J. Min, C.-L. Ho, T. Ameri, P. Yang, J. Zhao, C. J. Brabec and W.-Y. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4409–4411.
- [171] X. Liao, F. Wu, L. Zhang, L. Chen and Y. Chen, Polym. Chem., 2015, 6, 7726–7736.
- [172] J. Min, Y. N. Luponosov, D. Baran, S. N. Chvalun, M. A. Shcherbina, A. V. Bakirov, P. V. Dmitryakov, S. M. Peregudova, N. Kausch-Busies, S. A. Ponomarenko, T. Ameri and C. J. Brabec, J. *Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 16135–16147.
- [173] P. Li, H. Tong, J. Liu, J. Ding, Z. Xie and L. Wang, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 23098–23104.

- [174] X. Liu, S. Li, J. Li, J. Wang, Z. Tan, F. Yan, H. Li, Y. H. Lo, C.-H. Chui and W.-Y. Wong, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 63260–63267.
- [175] O. P. Lee, A. T. Yiu, P. M. Beaujuge, C. H. Woo, T. W. Holcombe, J. E. Millstone, J. D. Douglas, M. S. Chen and J. M. J. Fréchet, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, 23, 5359–5363.
- [176] L.-Y. Lin, C.-W. Lu, W.-C. Huang, Y.-H. Chen, H.-W. Lin and K.-T. Wong, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4962–4965.
- [177] H. C. Lim, J.-J. Kim, J. Jang and J.-I. Hong, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 11458–11464.
- [178] J. D. A. Lin, J. Liu, C. Kim, A. B. Tamayo, C. M. Proctor and T.-Q. Nguyen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 14101–14108.
- [179] K. Schulze, C. Uhrich, R. Schüppel, K. Leo, M. Pfeiffer, E. Brier, E. Reinold and P. Bäuerle, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 2872–2875.
- [180] E. Castro, A. Cabrera-Espinoza, E. Deemer and L. Echegoyen, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2015, 2015, 4629–4634.
- [181] Y. Huo, J. Zhu, X.-Z. Wang, C. Yan, Y.-F. Chai, Z.-Z. Chen, X. Zhan and H.-L. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2018, 6, 5843–5848.
- [182] M. Shaker, J.-H. Lee, C. K. Trinh, W. Kim, K. Lee and J.-S. Lee, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 66005–66012.
- [183] L. Yuan, Y. Zhao, K. Lu, D. Deng, W. Yan and Z. Wei, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 5842–5849.
- [184] J. Zhang, L. Xu, J. Chen, P. Shen, M. Ye, N.-Y. Yuan and J.-N. Ding, *Thin Solid Films*, 2018, 645, 129–133.
- [185] G. D. Sharma, M. A. Reddy, K. Ganesh, S. P. Singh and M. Chandrasekharam, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 732–742.
- [186] J. Deng, J. Chen, Q. Tao, D. Yan, Y. Fu and H. Tan, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, 74, 3989–3995.
- [187] S. Yoo, B. Domercq and B. Kippelen, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2004, 85, 5427–5429.

- [188] H. Bürckstümmer, N. M. Kronenberg, M. Gsänger, M. Stolte, K. Meerholz and F. Würthner, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 240–243.
- [189] K. Y. Ryu, D.-B. Sung, S.-Y. Won, A. Jo, K. Ahn, H. Y. Kim, A. ArulKashmir, K. Kwak, C. Lee, W.-S. Kim and K. Kim, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **149**, 858–866.
- [190] B. Verreet, S. Schols, D. Cheyns, B. P. Rand, H. Gommans, T. Aernouts, P. Heremans and J. Genoe, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 5295–5297.
- [191] A. B. Tamayo, B. Walker and T.-Q. Nguyen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 11545–11551.
- [192] C.-W. Chu, Y. Shao, V. Shrotriya and Y. Yang, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2005, 86, 243506.
- [193] O. Alqahtani, M. Babics, J. Gorenflot, V. Savikhin, T. Ferron, A. H. Balawi, A. Paulke, Z. Kan, M. Pope, A. J. Clulow, J. Wolf, P. L. Burn, I. R. Gentle, D. Neher, M. F. Toney, F. Laquai, P. M. Beaujuge and B. A. Collins, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1702941.
- [194] K. L. Mutolo, E. I. Mayo, B. P. Rand, S. R. Forrest and M. E. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 8108–8109.
- [195] J. Mei, K. R. Graham, R. Stalder and J. R. Reynolds, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 660-663.
- [196] N. M. Kronenberg, M. Deppisch, F. Wurthner, H. W. A. Lademann, K. Deing and K. Meerholz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6489–6491.
- [197] T. Rousseau, A. Cravino, T. Bura, G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel and J. Roncali, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 1673–1675.
- [198] M. T. Lloyd, A. C. Mayer, S. Subramanian, D. A. Mourey, D. J. Herman, A. V. Bapat, J. E. Anthony and G. G. Malliaras, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 9144–9149.
- [199] M. Lloyd, A. Mayer, A. Tayi, A. Bowen, T. Kasen, D. Herman, D. Mourey, J. Anthony and G. Malliaras, Org. Electron., 2006, 7, 243–248.
- [200] V. S. Barlier, C. W. Schlenker, S. W. Chin and M. E. Thompson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 3754– 3756.

- [201] H. Sahu, W. Rao, A. Troisi and H. Ma, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1801032.
- [202] H. Feng, M. Li, W. Ni, F. Liu, X. Wan, B. Kan, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Y. Zuo, X. Yang and Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 16679–16687.
- [203] Q. Tao, D. Yan, Y. Liao, X. Huang, J. Deng and D. Yu, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, 4, 752–758.
- [204] C.-T. Chen, F.-Y. Tsai, C.-Y. Chiang and C.-P. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 15943–15948.