Effects of Energy-Level Offset Between a Donor and Acceptor on the

Photovoltaic Performance of Non-fullerene Organic Solar Cells

Chenyi Yang,^{a,b} Jianqi Zhang,^c Ningning Liang,^b Huifeng Yao,^b Zhixiang Wei,^c Chang He,^b Xiaotao Yuan^{*a} and Jianhui Hou^{*b}

^a School of Chemistry and Biology Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China.

^b Institute of Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100190, China.

^c CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100049, China.



Figure S1. Cyclic voltammograms of donor and acceptors.



Figure S2. UV-vis absorption spectra of the PBDB-TF-based blend films.



Figure S3. 2D GIWAXS patterns and the corresponding X-ray scattering profiles of (a) PBDB-TF:ITCC, (b) PBDB-TF:IT-M, (c) PBDB-TF:ITIC, (d) PBDB-TF:IT-2F, (e) PBDB-TF:IT-2Cl, (f) PBDB-TF:IT-4F, (g) PBDB-TF:IT-4Cl, (h) PBDB-TF:IEICO, (i) PBDB-TF:IEICO-4F, and (j) PBDB-TF:IEICO-4Cl blend films.



Figure S4. The bandgap of (a) IT-2F, (b) IT-2Cl, (c) IT-4F, (d) IT-4Cl, (e) ITCC, (f) IT-M, (g) ITIC, (h) IEICO, (i) IEICO-4F and (j) IEICO-4Cl were determined from the crossing point between the emission and absorption spectra.

Acceptors	$m{E_{gap}}^{ m a)}$ [eV]	ΔE _{DA} [eV]	Mobilities ^{b)} [cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹]
IT-2F	1.62	1.49	$(3.98 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-4}$
IT-2Cl	1.60	1.46	$(4.13\pm0.47)\times10^{-4}$
IT-4F	1.61	1.45	$(3.89 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$
IT-4Cl	1.55	1.40	$(3.83\pm0.30) imes10^{-4}$
ITCC	1.75	1.66	$(3.79 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-4}$
IT-M	1.67	1.58	$(4.12\pm0.42)\times10^{-4}$
ITIC	1.65	1.55	$(3.16 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-4}$
IEICO	1.44	1.58	$(4.87 \pm 0.55) \times 10^{-4}$
IEICO-4F	1.35	1.44	$(4.41\pm0.32)\times10^{-4}$
IEICO-4Cl	1.32	1.40	$(4.81 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$

Table S1. The characteristics of PBDB-TF-based OSCs fabricated with different acceptors.

^{a)} Extracted from the crossing point between the normalized absorption and emission spectra. ^{b)} All average values with standard deviations were calculated from 8 devices.



Figure S5. Mobilities of the PBDB-TF-based devices processed with different acceptors (error bars show standard deviation from the mean).



Figure S6. Plot of (a) E_{loss} and (b) P_{diss} versus \triangle LUMO in each individual device.