Fe_{1-x}S@S-Doped Carbon Core-Shell Heterostructured Hollow Spheres as Highly Reversible Anode Materials for Sodium Ion Batteries

Qichang Pan,^a Fenghua Zheng,^{a*} Yanzhen Liu,^a Youpeng Li,^a Wentao Zhong,^a Guilin

Chen,^a Junhua Hu,^b Chenghao Yang,^{a*} Meilin Liu^{ac}

^aGuangdong Engineering and Technology Research Center for Surface Chemistry of Energy Materials, New Energy Research Institute, School of Environment and Energy,

South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, PR China

^bSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou

450001, China

°School of Materials Science & Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta

30332-0245, Georgia

* Email: Chenghao Yang, esyangc@scut.edu.cn;

Fenghua Zheng, zhengfh870627@163.com



Figure S1 XRD patterns of Fe_2O_3 hollow spheres (Fe_2O_3 HSs) and Fe_2O_3 polyhedral nanoparticles (Fe_2O_3 PPs).



Figure S2 XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄@C HSs and Fe₃O₄@C PPs.



Figure S3 SEM images of (A, B) Fe₃O₄@C HSs and (C, D) Fe₃O₄@C PPs,

respectively.



Figure S4 XRD patterns of (A) Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and (B) Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs.



Figure S5 SEM images of pure S-Fe_{1-x}S (A, B), and P-Fe_{1-x}S (C, D) composite,

respectively.



Figure S6 Raman spectra of $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ HSs and $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ PPs.



Figure S7 TGA curves of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs.



Figure S8 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm curves of (A) $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ HSs and (B) $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ PPs.



Figure S9 Survey XPS spectra of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs.



Figure S10 (A) Charge and discharge profiles and (B) CV curves of $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ PPs electrode.



Figure S11 Charge and discharge profiles of pure S-Fe_{1-x}S (A) and P-Fe_{1-x}S (B) electrode.



Figure S12 Cycle performance of pure S-Fe_{1-x}S, and P-Fe_{1-x}S electrode at 1.0 A g⁻¹.



Figure S13 Cycle performance of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs at 5.0 A g⁻¹.

Table S1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ HSs

Sample	Capacity, mA	Cycle	Current density,	Reference
	h g-1	number, n	mA g ⁻¹	
FeS@Fe ₃ C@GC	219.8	200	1.0	15
3DG/FeS@C	358	300	1.0	17
FeS/CA	280	200	0.5	20
Fe _{1-x} S@CNTs	449.2	200	0.5	21
porous FeS	592	150	0.5	27
nanofibers	0,72	100		_ /
Fe _{1-x} S@NC@G	385	500	0.2	36
CL-C/FeS	265	200	1.0	37
FeS _x @CS	638.9	100	0.1	38
FeS-rGO	547	50	0.1	S1
FeS@C-N	354.5	500	0.1	S2
FeS/CFs	283	400	1.0	S3
Fe _{1-x} S@SC PPs	286			
Fe _{1-x} S@SC HSs	454.3	500	1.0	This work

and $F_{1-x}S@SC$ PPs with that of reported iron sulfides-based anode materials.



Figure S14 CV curves at various scan rates from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s⁻¹ (A), capacitive contribution at 0.8 mV s⁻¹ (B), and normalized contribution ratio of capacitive (blue) and diffusion (red) capacities at different scan rates (C) of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode; comparison of the rate capability of this work with previously reported iron sulfides anodes for SIBs (D).



Figure S15 CV curves at various scan rate from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s⁻¹ for Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode (A), normalized contribution ratio of capacitive (blue) and diffusion (red) capacities at different scan rates for Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs (B).

To further understand the high rate capability of the Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs, the capacitive behaviors of the sample was investigated. Figure S15A exhibits the CV curves of Fe₁. _xS@SC HSs electrode at various scan rates range from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s⁻¹. To analyzed the degree of capacitive effect, the following relationship were carried out: $i = av^b$, where *i* is the peak current corresponding to a particular scan rate (*v*), *a* and *b* are both constants.[S4,S5] Subsequently, the percentage of capacitive and diffusion contributions were determined based on the following equation: $i (V) = k_1 v^{1/2} + k_2 v$. And the equation can be further transformed to the following equation: $i (V)/v^{1/2} = k_1 + k_2 v^{1/2}$. k_1 and k_2 can be facilely achieved by plotting $i(V)/v^{1/2}$ vs $v^{1/2}$, and thus the

capacitive current $i_c(V) = k_2 v$ could be extracted from the total one with the value of k_2 .[S6] As a result, at a given 0.6 mV s⁻¹ sweep rate, the CV profile for the capacitive current compared with that of the total measured current is shown in Figure S15B, in which 74.3% is quantified as capacitive. Therefore, the capacitive contributions at 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.0 mV s⁻¹ were also can be measured, and we summarizes the capacitive contributions at various scan rates, the results as shown in Figure S15C. The capacitive contributions are 65.1%, 68.9%, 74.3%, 76.7%, and 78.1% at scan rates of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0 mV s⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, the capacitive contributions at different scan rates for Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode were also investigated based on the same method. Figure S16A shows the CV curves at various scan rates from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s⁻¹ for Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode, respectively. And all of the curves at different scan rates exhibit similar shapes, which match well with the Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode. The capacitive contributions at different scan rates for P-Fe_{1-x}S@SC electrode were also determined, and the result as shown in Figure S16B. It is worth noting that the $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ HSs electrode exhibits higher capacitive contribution than the Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode, which indicate that the Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode shows more outstanding rate capability compare to Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode, and match well with the rate test results.[S7] Finally, the rate capability of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode compare to the previously reported iron sulfides anodes for SIBs were summarized (Figure S15D), and suggested that Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode exhibits better rate performance compare to most of previously reported iron sulfides anodes.



Figure S16 Nyquist plots of as-prepared S-Fe_{1-x}S@SC and P-Fe_{1-x}S@SC electrode (A), and the equivalent circuit model used for fitting the experimental EIS data (B).

Table S2 Impedance parameters obtained from equivalent circuit model (Figure S16)for $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ HS sand $Fe_{1-x}S@SC$ PPs based electrode.

Sample	$R_{\Omega}\left(\Omega ight)$	$R_{ct}(\Omega)$	D_{Na}^{+} (cm ² s ⁻¹)
Fe _{1-x} S@SC HSs	4.8	158.5	6.51×10 ⁻¹²
Fe _{1-x} S@SC PPs	7.63	345.3	2.95×10 ⁻¹²

Figure S16 shows the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs based electrode. The ohmic resistance (R_{Ω}) and charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) can be obtained by data fitting according to the equivalent circuit model in Figure S16B, the results are listed in Table S1. The Na⁺ diffusion coefficient (D_{Na^+}) could be calculated based on Eqs. (S1) and (S2):

$$Z' = R_{\Omega} + R_{ct} + \sigma \omega^{-0.5}$$
 (S1)

$$D_{Na^{+}} = \frac{(RT)^{2}}{2(An^{2}F^{2}C_{Na^{+}}\sigma)^{2}}$$
(S2)

where R, T, A, n, F, C and σ are the gas constant, absolute temperature, contact area of the electrode, number of electrons per molecule during oxidation, Faraday constant, concentration of Na-ions, and Warburg coefficient, respectively.[S8, S9] As a result, the Na⁺ ions diffusion coefficient of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs are 6.51×10^{-12} and 2.95×10^{-12} , respectively (Table S1). The Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs based electrode exhibits a much higher Na⁺ ions diffusion coefficient, and it confirms that the heterostructured hollow spheres can significantly facilitates Na⁺ ions diffusion.[S10]



Figure S17 SEM images of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs (A, B), and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs (C, D) after 500 cycles at 1.0 A g⁻¹, respectively.

The morphologies of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode after long cycles were studied for structural changes. Figure S16 revealed that the SEM images of Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs and Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode after 500 cycles at 1.0 A g⁻¹. Figure S16A and S16B clearly show that the sphere-like structures are almost maintained in the Fe_{1-x}S@SC HSs electrode after 500 cycles. But for Fe_{1-x}S@SC PPs electrode, polyhedral particles cracked can be obtained after 500 cycles (Figure S16C amd S16D). Therefore, these results further strongly indicated that the S-doped carbon shell and hollow structure can effectively accommodate the large volume change of Fe_{1-x}S and maintain the structural stability during the cycling process.



Figure S18 Schematic illustration of Fe_{1-x}S@S-doped carbon electrodes during

cycling.

References

[S1] S. Y. Lee, Y. C. Kang, Sodium-Ion Storage Properties of FeS-Reduced GrapheneOxide Composite Powder with a Crumpled Structure, Chem. Eur. J., 2016, 22, 2769-2774.

[S2] Z. G. Wu, J. T. Li, Y. J. Zhong, J. Liu, K. Wang, X. D. Guo, L. Huang, B. H. Zhong, S. G. Sun, Synthesis of FeS@C-N hierarchical porous microspheres for the applications in lithium/sodium ion batteries, J. Alloy. Compd., 2016, 688, 790-797.

[S3] D. H. Li, Y. Y. Sun, S. Chen, J. Y. Yao, Y. H. Zhang, Y. Z. Xia, D. J. Yang, Highly Porous FeS/Carbon Fibers Derived from Fe-Carrageenan Biomass: Highcapacity and Durable Anodes for Sodium-Ion Batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 17175-17182.

[S4] Q. B. Guo, Y. F. Ma, T. T. Chen, Q. Y. Xia, M. Yang, H. Xia, Y. Yu, Cobalt Sulfide Quantum Dot Embedded N/S-Doped Carbon Nanosheets with Superior Reversibility and Rate Capability for Sodium-Ion Batteries, ACS Nano 2017, 11, 12658-12667.

[S5] K. Zhang, M. H. Park, L. M. Zhou, G. H. Lee, W. J. Li, Y. M. Kang, J. Chen, Urchin-Like CoSe₂ as a High-Performance Anode Material for Sodium-Ion Batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2016, 26, 6728-6735.

[S6] X. J. Xu, J. Liu, Z. B. Liu, J. D. Shen, R. Z. Hu, J. W. Liu, L. Z. Ouyang, L. Zhang, M. Zhu, Robust Pitaya-Structured Pyrite as High Energy Density Cathode for High-Rate Lithium Batteries. ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 9033-9040.

[S7] X. Xu, R. S. Zhao, W. Ai, B. Chen, H. F. Du, L. S. Wu, H. Zhang, W. Huang, T.

Yu, Controllable Design of MoS₂Nanosheets Anchored on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene: Toward Fast Sodium Storage by Tunable Pseudocapacitance, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1800658.

[S8] F. H. Zheng, Q. Deng, W. T.Zhong, X.Ou, Q. C. Pan, Y. Z. Liu, X. H.Xiong, C. H. Yang, Y. Chen, and M. L. Liu, Fluorine-Doped Carbon Surface Modification of Li-Rich Layered Oxide Composite Cathodes for High Performance Lithium-IonBatteries, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 16399–16411.

[S9] Y. Z. Liu, W. T. Zhong, C. H. Yang, Q. C. Pan, Y. P. Li, G. Wang, F. H. Zheng, X.

H.Xiong, M. L. Liu and Q. Y. Zhang, Direct synthesis of FeS/N-doped carbon composite for high-performance sodium-ion batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 24702-24708.

[S10] Q. C. Pan, Y. G. Huang, H. Q. Wang, G. H. Yang, L. C. Wang, J. Chen, Y. H. Zan,
Q. Y. Li, MoS₂/C nanosheets Encapsulated Sn@SnO_x nanoparticles as high-performance
Lithium-iom battery anode material, Electrochim.Acta 197 (2016) 50-57.