Supporting Information

# Thermo-Osmotic Energy Conversion and Conservation by Nanochannels

Kexin Chen<sup>t,a</sup>, Lina Yao<sup>t,a</sup>, Fei Yan<sup>b</sup>, Shanshan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Rongjie Yang<sup>a</sup> and Bin Su<sup>\*a</sup>

a. Institute of Analytical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China b. Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, 928 Second Avenue

b. Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, 928 Second Avenue, Xiasha Higher Education Zone, Hangzhou 310018, China

# **Table of Contents**

- S1. Materials and Reagents
- S2. Measurements and Characterizations
- S3. Preparation of SNC/PET Hybrid Nanochannels
- S4. Fabrication of Hybrid Nanochannels and I-V Responses
- S5. I-V Responses of Nanochannels under Salinity Gradient
- S6. The Energy Conversion Performance of PET Membrane
- **S7.** Numerical Simulations

## S1. Materials and Reagents

All chemicals and reagents were used as received without further purification. All aqueous solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (18.2 M $\Omega$  cm). Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS,  $\geq$  99.0%), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB,  $\geq$  98%), poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA, Mw = 996000) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium chloride (KCl, AR) and sodium chloride (NaCl, AR) were bought from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent.

ITO coated glass (surface resistivity < 17 ohm/square, thickness 100  $\pm$  20 nm) was ordered from Zhuhai Kaivo (Zhuhai, China). Prior to use, ITO was treated with 1 M NaOH solution, and then sonicated in acetone, ethanol, and deionized water sequentially. Ion-tracked PET membrane (12 m in thickness; ion-track density: 10<sup>8</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) was purchased from Wuwei Kejin Xinfa Co. Ltd.

#### **S2.** Measurements and Characterizations

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, HT7700, Hitachi) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SU-8010 field-emission scanning electron microscope, Hitachi) were employed to characterize the morphology and structures of SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels. Ionic current measurement was carried out with Keithley 6847 picoammeter (Keithley Instruments, Cleveland). A pair of Ag/AgCl electrodes were used to supply voltage and measure the current.

The thermoelectric response was recorded by a custom-made setup. Briefly, a micro ceramic heater (Zhuhai Huiyou Electronics, China) was employed to regulate the temperature of one solution. A DC power (QJ6003S, Ningbo Jiuyuan Electronics, China) was connected to the heater to control the heating rate and temperature range. A pair of temperature microsensors (PT100, Tenghui Wenkong Instruments, China) were immersed in two solutions to measure the instantaneous temperature. The temperature and its change were real-time recorded and stored with a temperature sensor (THMA temperature recorder, Tenghui Wenkong Instruments, China). The measurement accuracy and working range of the temperature sensor are  $\pm 0.15$  K and -173.15 - 533.15 K, respectively. The trans-nanochannel potential was synchronously collected by an Autolab electrochemical workstation (PGSTAT302N, Metrohm) using two Ag/AgCl electrodes. The time resolutions of temperature recorder and trans-nanochannel potential are 1 s and 0.25 s, respectively.

#### **S3.** Preparation of SNC/PET Hybrid Nanochannels

**Preparation of SNC Membrane.** SNC was firstly grown on the ITO glass using the Stöber-solution growth approach.<sup>S1</sup> Briefly, the bare ITO glasses were immersed in the precursor solution containing 70 mL water, 30 mL ethanol, 0.16 g CTAB, 10  $\mu$ L concentrated ammonia aqueous solution and 80  $\mu$ L TEOS. After 24 h under the quiescent condition at 60 °C, SNC with CTAB micelles confined in silica nanochannels was formed on the ITO surface (namely CTAB@SNC/ITO), and then aged at 100 °C overnight. The CTAB micelles in the silica nanochannels were removed by immersing the CTAB@SNC/ITO in 0.1 M HCl ethanol solution for 15 min under stirring to obtain micelle-free electrode (namely SNC/ITO).

**Preparation of PET with Conical Nanochannels.** Poly(ethylene terephalate) membrane (PET, thickness: 12  $\mu$ m, pore density: 10<sup>8</sup> pores cm<sup>-2</sup>), irradiated with heavy ions, was treated by UV light (at 254 nm) for 1 h before etching. The PET membrane was subsequently chemically etched from one side by 9 M NaOH solution, whereas the other side was put in contact with stopping solution containing 1 M KCl and 1 M HCOOH as neutralization agents. The etching process was performed at about 303 K and the trans-membrane ionic current at voltage of 1 V was monitored. The etching was stopped when an obvious current increase was observed.

**Preparation of SNC/PET Hybrid Nanochannels.** SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels membrane was prepared using the PMMA-assisted transfer approach as previously reported.<sup>52</sup> Briefly, PMMA solution (3.5% wt in anisole) was spin-coated on the top surface of SNC/ITO at 2000 rpm for 30 s. After solvent evaporation at room temperature for 1 h and heating at 115 °C for 15 min, the obtained PMMA protected SNC/ITO was treated with 2 M HCl to etch the ITO layer and the free-standing PMMA/SNC was obtained. Subsequently, a piece of PET membrane with conical nanochannels was used as the substrate to fish out the free-standing PMMA/SNC. Finally, the top PMMA layer was dissolved in the mixture of toluene and acetone (V/V

S-4

= 10/1) for 24 h to obtain the hybrid nanochannel membrane with perforated channels.The morphology structure of SNC/PET is illustrated in Figure 1.

**EDS analysis of PET membrane and SNC/PET membrane.** In order to further confirm the cross-section structure of SNC/PET membrane, we did energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDS) as an assisted proof. **Figure S1a**, **c** shows the top-view SEM images of PET and SNC/PET membranes, in which the square areas were scanned for EDS analysis. As displayed in **Figure S1b**, Si element was barely detected for bare PET membrane. In contrast, in **Figure S1d**, an obvious amount of Si element can be detected for SNC/PET one, indicating the attachment of SNC to PET.



**Figure S1.** Top-view SEM images of PET membrane (a) and SNC/PET membrane (c) and the corresponding EDS analysis.

# S4. I-V Responses of Nanochannels



**Figure S2.** Comparison of *I-V* responses of PET conical nanochannels (red) and SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels (blue) in 10 mM KCl.

**Figure S2** compares the current-voltage (*I-V*) characteristic of PET conical nanochannels and SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels in 10 mM KCl. Apparently, an enhanced ionic current rectification was observed, with the rectification ratio ( $|I_{+1.0 V}|$ ) increased from 1.9 to 2.6, confirming the successful preparation of layered nanochannel structure. Moreover, the ionic current did not decrease drastically (from 81.6 µA to 73.3 µA at +1.0 V) after SNC was adhered to PET nanochannels, indicating that silica nanochannels have a negligible effect on the ion permeability thanks to its high pore density.

## S5. I-V Responses of Nanochannels under Salinity Gradient

To study the performance of energy conversion by SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels. We investigated the *I-V* responses of SNC/PET under a salinity gradient (0.5 M/0.01 M NaCl). From blue line in **Figure S3**, the salinity gradient is from SNC to PET (namely  $c_{b-PET}/c_{b-SNC} = 0.01$  M/0.5 M),  $V_{oc}$  and  $I_{sc}$  obtained are 162.8 mV and 20.1  $\mu$ A, respectively. Whereas under reversed salinity gradient from PET to SNC (namely  $c_{b-PET}/c_{b-SNC} = 0.5$  M/0.01 M), the internal resistance of hybrid nanochannels is increased by 49.3%, arising from the opposite direction between the salinity gradient and the preferential direction of cation transport.



**Figure S3**. Measured *I-V* curves of SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels for  $c_{b-SNC} = 0.5$  M and  $c_{b-PET} = 0.01$  M (blue line) and in the concentration gradient reversed condition (red line).

As reported previously, the trans-nanochannel diffusion potential ( $E_{diff}$ ) can be calculated by the following equation,<sup>S3-S4</sup>

$$E_{\rm diff} = V_{\rm oc} - E_{\rm red} = \left(2t_+ - 1\right) \frac{RT}{F} \ln\left(\frac{c_{\rm H}}{c_{\rm L}}\right) \tag{S1}$$

$$\eta_{\max} = \frac{(2t_{+} - 1)^{2}}{2}$$
(S2)

where  $t_+$  is the transference number of cationic ions and  $\eta$  the energy conversion efficiency.  $E_{red}$  is the difference of redox potentials of two Ag/AgCl electrodes. To

measure  $E_{red}$ , a home-made saturated salt bridge was used. The measured value of  $E_{red}$  is about 83.18 mV. Then  $t_+$  can be calculated as 0.98, indicating a high cationic selectivity of SNC/PET hybrid nanochannels.



**Figure S4**. Measured *I-V* curve of PET conical nanochannels under a salinity gradient  $(c_{b-tip}/c_{b-base} = 0.5 \text{ M}/0.01 \text{ M NaCl}).$ 

In order to further confirm the role of SNC in energy conversion, we measured the performance of energy conversion of PET conical nanochannels. As shown in **Figure S4**,  $V_{oc}$  obtained is 106.5 mV. According to **eq. S1**,  $E_{diff}$  of PET membrane in this condition is 23.32 mV, the corresponding  $t_+$  can be calculated as 0.62, indicating the membrane only possesses a slightly cationic selectivity. It also suggests that the highly cationic selectivity mainly originates from SNC.

## **S6.** The Energy Conversion Performance of PET Membrane

In order to show the effect of SNC on electricity conversion, we tested the current density (*j*) and power density ( $P_R$ ) of PET membrane under simulated seawater (0.5 M NaCl) and river water (0.01 M NaCl). As shown in **Figure S5**, *j* gradually decreased with the increase of  $R_L$ , the initial value is about 10.7 A m<sup>-2</sup>. While  $P_R$  increased at first and then decreased after reaching a maximum, where  $R_L$  was ~20 k $\Omega$ . The maximum of  $P_R$  is about 124.6 mW m<sup>-2</sup>. Compared to the performance of SNC/PET membrane (**Figure 2a**), these results demonstrate energy conversion performance is mainly dependent on SNC membrane.



**Figure S5.** The current density (in red) and output power density (in black) of PET membrane as a function of the external load resistance.

# **S7.** Numerical Simulations

Simulations of salinity gradient energy storage by SNC/PET nanochannel were carried out by the commercial finite-element software COMSOL Multiphysics 5.2. For simplicity, a 2D axis symmetric model was employed in this system, as shown in **Figure S6**.



Figure S6. Scheme of 2D axial symmetric model with labeled boundaries (not to scale).

Here a conically-shaped PET channel with a tip opening radius of  $r_{tip} = 7$  nm, a base opening radius of  $r_{base} = 400$  nm, and a channel length of  $L_{PET} = 12000$  nm is considered. The SNC is positioned on the side of tip, which consists of nanochannels with a radius of  $r_{nanochannel} = 1.15 - 5$  nm and a length of  $L_{nanochannel} = 100$  nm. The surface charge density of PET channel ( $\sigma_{PET}$ ) and SNC nanochannel ( $\sigma_{SNC}$ ) are set to be -0.002 C/m<sup>2</sup> and -0.015 C/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. This SNC/PET hybrid nanochannel is connected to two large identical reservoirs with dimensions of 100 times of  $r_{base}$ , which are filled with aqueous NaCl solutions. The boundary conditions in numerical simulation are summarized in **Table S1**.

Boundary	Poisson equation	Nernst-Planck equation
АВ	applied voltage, $\phi$ = V	bulk concentration $c_{+} = c_{-} = c_{b-SNC}$
JI	grounded, $\phi$ = 0	bulk concentration $c_{+} = c_{-} = c_{b-PET}$
ВС	$-\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\phi=0$	bulk concentration $c_{+} = c_{-} = c_{b-SNC}$
НІ	$-\mathbf{n}\cdot abla\phi=0$	bulk concentration $c_{+} = c_{-} = c_{b-PET}$
CD, GH	$-\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\phi=0$	ion-impenetrable <b>n</b> ·j <sub>i</sub> = 0
DE, EF	$-\varepsilon \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi = \sigma_{_{\rm SNC}}$	ion-impenetrable <b>n</b> ·j <sub>i</sub> = 0
GH	$-\varepsilon \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi = \sigma_{PET}$	ion-impenetrable <b>n ·j</b> ; = 0

**Table S1.** Boundary conditions for 2D axis symmetric model.

**n**: the unit outer normal vector.

The coupled Poisson-Nernst-Planck (PNP) and Einstein-Stokes equations are employed to quantitatively describe the ionic mass transport process,

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} = -\frac{F}{\varepsilon} \sum z_i c_i \tag{S1}$$

$$j_i = -D_i \left( \nabla c_i + \frac{z_i F c_i}{RT} \nabla \phi \right)$$
(S2)

$$\nabla \cdot j_i = 0 \tag{S3}$$

$$D_i = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\eta r} \tag{S4}$$

where

 $\phi$  [V]: electric potential;

 $\rho$  [C/m<sup>3</sup>]: space charge density;

**j**<sub>i</sub> [mol/(m<sup>2</sup> s)]: ionic flux;

*z*<sub>*i*</sub>[-]: charge number;

c<sub>i</sub> [M]: ion concentration;

 $D_i$  [m<sup>2</sup>/s]: diffusion coefficient;

S-11

 $\varepsilon$  = 78.5 [F/m]: dielectric constant of water;

F [C/mol]: Faraday constant;

R [J/K/mol]: gas constant;

*k*<sub>B</sub>: Boltzmann constant;

T [K]: absolute temperature;

 $\eta$  [Pa\*s]: the dynamic fluid viscosity of water, expressed by a built-in piecewise function in COMSOL;

r is the ion size (for Na<sup>+</sup>, r = 0.4 nm; for Cl<sup>-</sup>, r = 0.3 nm);<sup>5</sup>

*i* = + (for Na<sup>+</sup>);

i = - (for Cl<sup>-</sup>).

The model was employed to evaluate the ability of energy storage of nanochannels and the role of temperature gradient played in energy storage. We plotted the profile of energy density ( $U_r$ ) along the radial direction of nanochannel before and after a temperature change of 10 K at the boundary of SNC and PET channel. The initial temperature of two solutions is set at 298.15 K ( $T_{b-SNC} = T_{b-PET} = 298.15$  K). As shown in **Figure S7**, the variation of  $U_r$  in response to temperature change is too small to recognize directly. Hence, we analyzed its variation, namely  $\Delta U_r$ , as shown in **Figure 4f**.



**Figure S7**. Calculated energy density along the radial direction of hybrid nanochannel.  $c_{b-PET}$  and  $c_{b-SNC}$  are set at 0.01 M and 0.5 M, respectively.  $T_{b-SNC}$  and  $T_{b-PET}$  are set at 298.15 K initially, then  $T_{b-PET}$  is increased by 10 K to 308.15 K and  $T_{b-SNC}$  is kept at 298.15 K. The inset shows the magnifications at the orifice of nanochannel.

# Reference

- S1 Z. Teng, G. Zheng, Y. Dou, W. Li, C.-Y. Mou, X. Zhang, A. M. Asiri, D. Zhao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, **51**, 2173.
- S2 W. Wu, Q. Yang, B. Su, J. Membr. Sci. 2018, 558, 86.
- S3 W. Xin, Z. Zhang, X. Huang, Y. Hu, T. Zhou, C. Zhu, X.-Y. Kong, L. Jiang, L. Wen, *Nat. Commun.* 2019, **10**, 3876.
- S4 F. Yan, L. Yao, K. Chen, Q. Yang, B. Su, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 2019, **7**, 2385.
- S5 C. Duan, A. Majumdar, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2010, 5, 848.