Supplementary Information

Highly Flexible and Sensitive Temperature Sensors based on Ti₃C₂T_x (MXene) for

Electronic Skin

Zherui Cao, †^{ab} Yina Yang, †^{ab} Yinghui Zheng, ^c Wei Wu, ^d Fangfang Xu, ^a Ranran Wang, *^a and

Jing Sun^a

a. State Key Laboratory of High Performance Ceramics and Super fine Microstructure,

Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1295 Ding Xi Road, Shanghai 200050, P. R. China.

E-mail: wangranran@mail.sic.ac.cn

b. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 19 Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, P. R. China.

c. State Key Laboratory of High Field Laser Physics, Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China.

d. Thermo Fisher Scientific

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Movie S1. The surface morphologies change of the TMA- $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensor during heating process.



Figure S1. TEM images of TMA- $Ti_3C_2T_x$ (a), HF6-d3- $Ti_3C_2T_x$ (b), and HF18-d2- $Ti_3C_2T_x$ (c).



Figure S2. AFM results of TMA-Ti₃C₂T_x (a-c), HF6-d3-Ti₃C₂T_x (d-f), and HF18-d2-Ti₃C₂T_x (g-i).



Figure S3. The response time curves of the $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensor under increasing temperature.



Figure S4. Resistance variations versus temperature of the $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensor during the first cycle.



Figure S5. Length variations versus temperature of a PDMS block (25×5×5 mm³).



Figure S6. Resistance variations versus strain of the three kinds of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensors.



Figure S7. The microstructure variation of the cracks of the TMA- $Ti_3C_2T_x$ film at the temperature of 25,

100, and 200 °C.



Figure S8. Resistance variation versus pressure of the $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensors.



Figure S9. Schematic illustration of the test method for the temperature sensing performance.



Figure S10. Resistance variation versus temperature of the three kinds of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ based sensors measured by an infrared imaging device.

Materials	Sensitivity	Range (°C)	Time	Accuracy (°C)	Flexible
GNWs/PDMS ¹	0.214 °C ⁻¹	35-45	1.6 s	0.1	0
Ni microparticle-filled PE/PEO binary polymer composite ²	0.10–0.90 °C ⁻¹	20-45		±2.7	0
Graphite powders in PDMS ³	0.286 °C ⁻¹	30–110			0
rGO/PU ⁴	0.0134 °C ⁻¹	30-80		0.2	0
Cr /Au/PU ⁵	0.002778 °C ⁻¹	22–45			0
Pt/PI ⁶	0.00219 °C ⁻¹	20-60	<80 ms	2	0
AuNP/PU ⁷	0.41 M Ω °C ⁻¹	10-42		0.1	0
FET with rGO/PVDF-TrFE channel ⁸	0.0136 °C ⁻¹	30-80		0.1	0
Polyaniline nanofiber with CNT TFT ⁹	0.01 °C ⁻¹	15-45	1.8 s		0
rGO ¹⁰	0.0055 °C ⁻¹	0–100			0
CNT-PEDOT:PSS ¹¹	0.0025 °C ⁻¹	21-80	<1 s		0
CNT-PEDOT:PSS ¹²	0.0061 °C ⁻¹	22–48			0
CuNW mesh/PI ¹³	0.7 Ω °C ⁻¹	RT-48			0
ZnO NW/PU fiber ¹⁴	0.00393 °C ⁻¹	25-50			0
graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs)/ silicone rubber ¹⁵	0.0371 °C ⁻¹	10-60	5 s		0
Graphene/PI ¹⁶	-0.0148 °C ⁻¹	20-180			0
PEI/rGO ¹⁷	0.013 °C ⁻¹	25-45	0.654 s	0.1	0
Ligand-Treated Ag Nanocrystal /PDMS ¹⁸	0.5 °C ⁻¹	30-50	15 s		0
MWCNTs/Si ¹⁹	$-8 \times 10^{-8} \circ C^{-1}$	25-190			Х
CNT-Si/Glass ²⁰	-0.0072 °C ⁻¹	23-82			Х
MWCNTs -GMSA/Glass ²¹	-0.0054 °C ⁻¹	24-86			Х
MWCNTs ²²	-0.001 °C ⁻¹	-268.8-147			Х
Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x /PDMS (Our work)	0.03-986 °C ⁻¹	20-140	6.3 s	0.1	0

Table S1. The Comparison of the typical sensing properties of the previously reported studies.

References

- 1. J. Yang, D. Wei, L. Tang, X. Song, W. Luo, J. Chu, T. Gao, H. Shi and C. Du, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 25609-25615.
- 2. J. Jeon, H. B. Lee and Z. Bao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 850-855.
- 3. W. P. Shih, L. C. Tsao, C. W. Lee, M. Y. Cheng, C. Chang, Y. J. Yang and K. C. Fan, *Sensors*, 2010, **10**, 3597-3610.
- 4. T. Q. Trung, S. Ramasundaram, B. U. Hwang and N. E. Lee, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 502-509.
- 5. Y. Chen, B. Lu, Y. Chen and X. Feng, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 11505.
- 6. M. D. Dankoco, G. Y. Tesfay, E. Benevent and M. Bendahan, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2016, 205, 1-5.
- 7. T. P. Huynh and H. Haick, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 138-143.
- 8. T. Q. Trung, S. Ramasundaram, S. W. Hong and N.-E. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, 24, 3438-3445.
- S. Y. Hong, Y. H. Lee, H. Park, S. W. Jin, Y. R. Jeong, J. Yun, I. You, G. Zi and J. S. Ha, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 930-935.
- 10. D. H. Ho, Q. Sun, S. Y. Kim, J. T. Han, D. H. Kim and J. H. Cho, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2601-2608.
- 11. S. Harada, Kanao, K., Yamamoto, Y., Arie, T., Akita, S., Takei, K, ACS Nano, 2014, **8**, 12851-12857.
- 12. W. Honda, Harada, S., Arie, T., Akita, S., Takei, K, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 3299-3304.
- 13. S. Han, M. K. Kim, B. Wang, D. S. Wie, S. Wang and C. H. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 10257-10265.
- 14. X. Liao, Q. Liao, Z. Zhang, X. Yan, Q. Liang, Q. Wang, M. Li and Y. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 3074-3081.
- 15. M. H. Tian, Ying; Wang, Weihua; Li, Ruiqi; Liu, Ping; Liu, J. Mater. Res., 2014, 29, 1288-1294.
- 16. D. Kong, L. T. Le, Y. Li, J. L. Zunino and W. Lee, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 13467-13472.
- 17. Q. Liu, H. Tai, Z. Yuan, Y. Zhou, Y. Su and Y. Jiang, *Advanced Materials Technologies*, 2019, **4**, 1800594.
- 18. J. Bang, W. S. Lee, B. Park, H. Joh, H. K. Woo, S. Jeon, J. Ahn, C. Jeong, T. i. Kim and S. J. Oh, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201903047, 1903047.
- 19. S. Vollebregt, F. D. Tichelaar, H. Schellevis, C. I. M. Beenakker and R. Ishihara, *Carbon*, 2014, **71**, 249-256.
- 20. M. T. S. A. Chani, A.M.; Karimov, K.S.; Bashir, M.; Khan, S.B.; Rahman, M.M, *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 2015, **10**, 3784-3791.
- 21. K. S. Karimov, M. Abid, M. Saleem, K. M. Akhmedov, M. M. Bashir, U. Shafique and M. M. Ali, *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 2014, **446**, 39-42.
- 22. A. Di Bartolomeo, M. Sarno, F. Giubileo, C. Altavilla, L. Iemmo, S. Piano, F. Bobba, M. Longobardi, A. Scarfato, D. Sannino, A. M. Cucolo and P. Ciambelli, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2009, **105**, 064518.