## Supplementary Information

## Thermally induced nanostructuring for the synthesis of core/shell-structured CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> electrocatalyst

Min Soo Kim<sup>a+</sup>, Muhammad Awais Abbas<sup>b+</sup>, Raju Thota<sup>b+</sup> and Jin Ho Bang<sup>\*abc</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Bionano Technology, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, Republic of Korea <sup>b</sup>Nanosensor Research Institute, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, Republic of Korea <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemical and Molecular Engineering, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, Republic of Korea

Author Contributions:

<sup>+</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

\*Corresponding Author

E-mail: jbang@hanyang.ac.kr



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of intermediates obtained during a hot  $H_2$  treatment of  $Co_3O_4$  at various temperatures for 1 h.



Fig. S2 SEM images of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (a,b) before and (c,d) after a hot H<sub>2</sub> treatment at 300 °C for 1 h.



Fig. S3 The crystal structure of cobalt oxides and cobalt sulfides.

**Scheme S1** Schematic representation of the grain fracture of  $Co_3O_4$  and the formation of mesoporous CoO with smaller crystallites from bulk  $Co_3O_4$  under a reducing environment of hot NH<sub>3</sub>.





**Fig. S4** Cyclic voltammograms of (a) CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> and (b) Pt electrodes at various scan rates. (c) Tafel polarization curves of CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> and Pt counter electrodes. Equivalent circuits used for fitting the Nyquist plots of (d) CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> and (e) Pt counter electrodes.  $R_s$  is the equivalent series resistance,  $R_{ct}$  is the charge transfer resistance,  $Z_N$  is the Nernst diffusion impedance,  $R_{trns}$  is the electron transport resistance in the carbon layer, and  $CPE_2$  and  $CPE_3$  are the constant phase elements associated with resistances.

| Table   | <b>S1</b> | Various    | resistance   | values   | extracted  | from | fitting  | the | Nyquist | plots | of | CoO/CoS <sub>x</sub> | and | Pt |
|---------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------|------------|------|----------|-----|---------|-------|----|----------------------|-----|----|
| electro | odes      | s. EIS was | s carried ou | t with s | ymmetric o | dumm | y cells. |     |         |       |    |                      |     |    |

| Counter Electrode    | R₅<br>(Ω·cm²) | R <sub>trns</sub><br>(Ω·cm²) | R <sub>ct</sub><br>(Ω·cm²) | Z <sub>N</sub><br>(Ω·cm²) |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| CoO/CoS <sub>x</sub> | 3.30          | 0.57                         | 0.91                       | 1.35                      |
| Pt                   | 3.31          | -                            | 0.97                       | 1.32                      |

Page S4



**Fig. S5** XRD pattern of CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> and Rietveld refinement result that determines the composition of each component (CoO: 86.2%, CoS: 11.9%, and Co<sub>3</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: 1.9%).



**Fig. S6** XRD pattern of  $CoS_x$  obtained at 300 °C for 1 h. The compositional percentages of CoS and  $Co_3S_4$  determined by Rietveld refinement were 76.5 and 23.5%, respectively.



**Fig. S7** (a)  $N_2$  physisorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of  $CoS_x$ . The BET surface area was 7.34 m<sup>2</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> and the total pore volume was 0.047 cm<sup>3</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. S8 (a-c) TEM images and (d) FFT patterns of CoS<sub>x</sub>.



Fig. S9 J–V curve of DSSCs assembled with various CEs made of CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub>, Pt, and CoS<sub>x</sub>.

| CE                   | J <sub>sc</sub> (mA⋅cm²) | V <sub>oc</sub> (V) | FF          | PCE (%)     |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| CoO/CoS <sub>x</sub> | 15.5 ± 0.3               | 0.73 ± 0.01         | 0.66 ± 0.02 | 7.27 ± 0.06 |
| Pt                   | 15.9 ± 0.2               | 0.70 ± 0.01         | 0.65 ± 0.01 | 7.12 ± 0.05 |
| CoSx                 | 15.1 ± 0.5               | 0.71 ± 0.02         | 0.62 ± 0.03 | 6.73 ± 0.16 |

**Table S2** *J*–*V* parameters of DSSCs fabricated with the above CEs.



**Fig. S10** (a) XRD patterns of  $CoO/CoS_x$  obtained at various reaction conditions and (b) CoS and  $Co_3S_4$  composition ratios determined by Rietveld refinement.



Fig. S11 (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of commercially available IrO<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S12** Cyclic voltammograms of of (a) Bare GC, (b)  $Co_3O_4$ , (c) CoO, (d) CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub>, and (e) IrO<sub>2</sub> electrodes in 0.1 M KOH at various scan rates to determine the electrochemical double layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ). (f) Linear scan voltammograms of CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub> as an electrocatalyst for OER before and after 1000 CV scans, demonstrating excellent stability of CoO/CoS<sub>x</sub>.

| Sample               | Slope (mF·cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | C <sub>dl</sub> (mF∙cm⁻²) | R <sub>f</sub> | ECSA (cm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Bare GC              | 0.154                        | 0.077                     | -              | -                       |
| CO3O4                | 0.427                        | 0.214                     | 2.77           | 0.54                    |
| CoO                  | 0.458                        | 0.229                     | 2.98           | 0.58                    |
| CoO/CoS <sub>x</sub> | 3.7                          | 1.85                      | 23.99          | 4.70                    |
| IrO <sub>2</sub>     | 4.85                         | 2.425                     | 31.45          | 6.16                    |

 Table S3 The ECSA calculations of each catalyst.



**Fig. S13** Equivalent circuit used for fitting the impedance spectra of OER electrocatalysts.  $R_s$  represents the solution resistance.  $R_1$  and  $C_1$  denote the diffusion/adsorption of reaction intermediates due to slow diffusion through the reaction interface in the porous electrode.  $R_2$  and  $C_{dl}$  are ascribed to the charge transfer resistance the capacitance associated with OER, respectively.

**Table S4** Various resistance values extracted by fitting the equivalent circuit in Fig. S5 to the Nyquist plots of various cobalt oxides and IrO<sub>2</sub> when employed as OER electrocatalysts.

| Catalyst                       | <i>R</i> ₅ (Ω·cm²) | R₁ (Ω·cm²) | R <sub>ct</sub> (Ω·cm²) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> | 2.30               | 111.82     | 952.36                  |
| CoO                            | 1.19               | 0.97       | 185.24                  |
| CoO/CoS <sub>x</sub>           | 1.87               | 0.38       | 21.77                   |
| IrO <sub>2</sub>               | 2.11               | 1.77       | 40.68                   |



Fig. S14 XRD patterns taken during the synthesis of (a) nanostructured  $MoN/MoS_2$  and (b) nanostructured  $W_{0.62}(N,O)/WS_2$ .



**Fig. S15** TEM images of taken during the synthesis of nanostructured MoN/MoS<sub>2</sub>: (a,b) MoO<sub>3</sub>, (c,d) MoN, (e,f) MoN/MoS<sub>2</sub>, and (g) STEM-EDS mapping analysis results of MoN/MoS<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S16** TEM images of taken during the synthesis of nanostructured  $W_{0.62}(N,O)/WS_2$ : (a,b)  $WO_3$ , (c,d)  $W_{0.62}(N,O)$ , (e,f)  $W_{0.62}(N,O)/WS_2$ , and (g) STEM-EDS mapping analysis results of  $W_{0.62}(N,O)/WS_2$ .