## **Supporting Information**

## Compact Si/C Anodes Fabricated by Simultaneously Regulating the Size and

## **Oxidation Degree of Si for Li-Ion Batteries**

Liang Zhao <sup>a, b</sup>, Yan-Bing He <sup>a,\*</sup>, Chengfei Li<sup>a</sup>, Kelin Jiang <sup>a</sup>, Peng Wang <sup>a</sup>, Jiabin Ma <sup>a,</sup>

<sup>b</sup>, Heyi Xia<sup>a, b</sup>, Fangyuan Chen<sup>a</sup>, Yuanbiao He<sup>a</sup>, Zhen Chen<sup>a</sup>, Conghui You<sup>a</sup>, and

Feiyu Kang<sup>a, b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Shenzhen Geim Graphene Center, Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen 518055, PR China.

<sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: he.yanbing@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn (Y.-B. He)

fykang@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (F. Y. Kang)



Fig. S1 SEM image of the micro-Si particles.



Fig. S2 (a) The PSD curves and (b) XRD patterns of Si-9h, Si-11h, and Si-13h.



**Fig. S3** (a, b) XRD patterns, (c, d) Raman spectra, and (e, f) BET plots of GSC-9h (a, c, e) and GSC-13h (b, d, f).



Fig. S4 Cycling performance of Si-9h, Si-11h, and Si-13h.



Fig. S5 Cycling performance of SC-11h.



Fig. S6 Coulombic efficiency of GSC-11h from 2<sup>nd</sup> to 350<sup>th</sup> cycle.



Fig. S7 (a) TEM and (c-d) EF-TEM images of the GSC-11h after 200 cycles.



**Fig. S8** (a) The initial charge and discharge curves and (b) cycling performance of the GSC-graphite anode.



Fig. S9 The optical photograph of  $LiNi_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O_2/GSC$ -graphite full batteries.

**Table S1** The proportion of different valence states of Si in GSC-9h, GSC-11h, and GSC-13h according to the XPS results in Figure 3e.

	Si <sup>0</sup>	Si <sup>1+</sup>	Si <sup>2+</sup>	Si <sup>3+</sup>	Si <sup>4+</sup>
GSC-9h	17.6%	4.64%	31.03%	25.28%	21.45%
GSC-11h	14.46%	5.37%	29.02%	31.34%	19.82%
GSC-13h	11.99%	8.44%	29.12%	36.23%	14.23%
				• 41	

**Table S2** The EIS simulation results of Figure 4d.

	$R_b \left[ \Omega \right]$	$R_{SEI}\left[\Omega ight]$	$R_{ct} \left[ \Omega \right]$	$R_t \left[ \Omega \right]$
GSC-9h	2.92	4.05	10.71	17.68
GSC-11h	4.11	3.75	8.08	15.94
GSC-13h	4.38	2.48	15.37	22.23

**Table S3** The EIS simulation results of Figure 6d.

	$R_b [m\Omega]$	$R_{SEI}\left[m\Omega\right]$	$R_{ct} [m\Omega]$	$R_t \left[ m \Omega \right]$
1st	43.76	3.53	58.00	105.29
50th	46.20	12.01	10.83	69.04