Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Water - Stable 2-D Zr MOFs with Exceptional UO₂²⁺ Sorption Capability

Nikos Panagiotou^a, Ioanna Liatsou^a, Anastasia Pournara^b, Giasemi K. Angeli^c, Rafaela Maria Giappa^c, Emmanuel Tylianakis^c, Manolis J. Manos^b, George E. Froudakis^c, Pantelis N. Trikalitis^c, Ioannis Pashalidis^a, Anastasios J. Tasiopoulos^{*,a}

^{*a.*} Department of Chemistry, University of Cyprus, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus Fax: ++357-22-895451; Tel: ++357-22-892765; E-mail: atasio@ucy.ac.cy

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, University of Crete, Voutes 71003, Heraklion, Greece

Table of Contents

Experimental Section	2
Structural Figures	7
Physical Measurements/Characterization	9
Stability Studies	11
Gas Sorption Measurements	18
Uranyl Sorption Measurements	33
Characterization of U(VI)@MOF Materials	37

Experimental Section

Reagent grade chemicals were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich, Merck, Alfa Aesar, TCI, etc.) and used without further purification. All synthetic procedures were carried out in air.

UCY-13: Solid ZrCl₄ (0.116 g, 0.498 mmol) was added in one portion to a clear solution of H₂HFPBBA (H₂HFPBBA = 4,4'-(Hexafluoroisopropylidene)bis(benzoic acid)) (0.294 g, 0.75 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) and HCOOH (4.8 ml, 5.86 g, 127 mmol) in a 23 ml glass vial and sonicated until complete dissolution of the reactants. The vial was sealed, placed in an oven at 130 °C and left undisturbed for ~72 h. Then it was cooled to room temperature and X-ray quality colorless rhombic plate crystals of UCY-13 were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x15 ml) and acetone (5 x15 ml) and dried in air. Yield ~ 65% based on ZrCl₄. Elemental analysis calcd for UCY-13·8DMF·16HCOOH (Zr₆F₂₄O₇₂N₈C₁₀₈H₁₃₆): C 35.04; H 3.70; N 3.03; found: C 35.38; H 3.57; N 2.93.

UCY-14: Solid ZrCl₄ (0.264 g, 1.13 mmol) was added in one portion to a pale yellow suspension of H₂OBA (H₂OBA = 4,4'-Oxybis(benzoic acid)) (0.372g, 1.44 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) and HCOOH (1.2 ml, 1.46 g, 31.8 mmol) in a 23 ml glass vial and sonicated until complete dissolution of the reactants. The vial was sealed, placed in an oven at 130°C and left undisturbed for ~72h. Then it was cooled to room temperature and X-ray quality colorless rhombic plate crystals of UCY-14 were isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (3 x 15 ml) and acetone (5 x 15 ml) and dried in air. Yield ~ 70% based on ZrCl₄. Elemental analysis calcd for UCY-14·6DMF·6HCOOH (Zr₆O₅₄N₆C₈₁H₁₀₀): C 37.87; H 3.92; N 3.27; found: C 37.64; H 3.74; N 3.55.

Stability tests. Stability in organic solvents was investigated as follows: in 5 ml of the corresponding organic solvent were suspended 50 mg of as synthesized MOF and the mixture was stirred for ~24 hours while the solvent was replenished every 8 hours. The powder was then isolated and analyzed by pxrd and TGA. Stability in aqueous solutions was investigated following the below procedure: In 5 ml aqueous solutions of the target pH value (adjusted with the addition of a strong acid (HCl) or base (NaOH)) or an inorganic salt was added the as synthesized MOF (50 mg) of and the suspension was stirred for 24 hours. The powder was then

isolated by filtration and analyzed by pxrd. Simulated seawater was prepared according to a previous report.^[1]

Physical measurements.

Elemental analyses (C, H, O) were performed by the in-house facilities of the University of Cyprus, Chemistry Department. IR spectra were recorded on ATR in the 4000 – 700 cm⁻¹ range using a Shimadzu Prestige – 21 spectrometer. Pxrd diffraction patterns were recorded on a Shimazdu 6000 Series X-ray diffractometer (Cu K α radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Variable temperature diffractograms were carried out in the 25 °C- 450 °C range with 25 °C min⁻¹ increase rate. Samples remained for 5 minutes at the corresponding temperature before measurement. Thermal stability studies were performed with a Shimadzu TGA 50 thermogravimetric analyzer. ¹H and ¹⁹F-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 300 MHz spectrometer at 25 °C. Chemical shift values in ¹H NMR spectra were reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane. Digestion of the samples was achieved with 0.5M KOH in D₂O.

Single crystal X-ray crystallography. Single Crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Supernova A diffractometer, equipped with a CCD area detector utilizing Cu-K α (λ = 1.5418 Å) radiation. A suitable crystal was mounted on a Hampton cryoloop with Paratone-N oil and transferred to a goniostat where it was cooled for data collection. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXT and refined on F² using full-matrix least squares using SHELXL14.1.^[2] Software packages used: CrysAlis CCD for data collection, CrysAlis RED for cell refinement and data reduction^[3], WINGX for geometric calculations^[4], and DIAMOND for molecular graphics^[5]. The non-H atoms were treated anisotropically, whereas the aromatic hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated, ideal positions and refined as riding on their respective carbon atoms. Electron density contributions from disordered guest molecules were handled using the SQUEEZE procedure from the PLATON software suit^[6]. Selected crystal data for **UCY-13** and **UCY-14** are summarized in Table S1. CCDC 1945717 (**UCY 13**) and 1945716 (**UCY 14**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. Full details can be found in the CIF files provided as Supplementary Information.

Empirical formula	$C_{34}H_{16}O_{16}F_{12}Zr_3$ $C_{57}H_{33}O_{36}Zr_6$		
Formula weight	1182.13	1841.15	
Temperature	104(1) K	103(1) K	
Wavelength	1.54184 Å	1.54184 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	
Space group	C 2/m	C 2/c	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 14.5970(3) Å, α = 90° b = 30.6607(5) Å, β = 103.286(2)° c = 17.4140(3) Å, γ = 90°	a = 29.3698(8) Å, α = 90° b = 19.3135(7) Å, β = 97.510(3)° c = 19.0909(6) Å, γ = 90°	
Volume	7585.1(2) Å ³ 10736.1(6) Å ³		
Z	4	4	
Density (calculated)	1.035 g/cm^3	1.139 g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	3.945 mm ⁻¹	5.137 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	2304	3612	
Crystal size	0.041 x 0.036 x 0.01 mm ³	0.095 x 0.077 x 0.017 mm ³	
θ range for data collection	3.429 to 66.994°	3.731 to 66.993°	
Index ranges	-11<=h<=17, -34<=k<=36, -20<=l<=20	-35<=h<=21, -22<=k<=23, -22<=l<=21	
Reflections collected	25792	19999	
Independent reflections	$6904 [R_{int} = 0.0328]$	9539 [$R_{int} = 0.0516$]	
Completeness to $\theta = 66.994^{\circ}$	99.8%	99.7%	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	
Data / restraints / parameters	6904 / 0 / 303 9539 / 15 / 447		
Goodness-of-fit	1.023	1.089	
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_{obs}^{a} = 0.0411, WR_{obs}^{b} = 0.1281$	$R_{obs}^{a} = 0.0849, WR_{obs}^{b} = 0.2506$	
R indices [all data]	$R_{all} = 0.0470, wR_{all} = 0.1323$	$R_{all} = 0.0966, wR_{all} = 0.2639$	
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.732 and -0.771 e·Å ⁻³	2.958 and -1.349 e·Å ⁻³	

Table S1. Selected Crystal Data for UCY-13 and UCY-14.

 $\frac{1}{{}^{a}R = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}|, wR = \{\Sigma [w(|F_{o}|^{2} - |F_{c}|^{2})^{2}] / \Sigma [w(|F_{o}|^{4})]\}^{1/2} \text{ and } \\ \frac{1}{{}^{b}w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(Fo^{2}) + (mP)^{2} + nP] \text{ where } P = (Fo^{2} + 2Fc^{2})/3 \text{ and } m \text{ and } n \text{ are constants.} }$

Gas sorption measurements. Low Pressure gas sorption measurements were carried out at different temperatures using an Autosorb-iQ2 by Quantachrome equipped with a cryocooler system capable of temperature control from 20 to 320K. Prior to analysis the as made samples were washed with N,N- dimethylformamide four times per day for 1 day and then soaked in

acetone 5 times per day for 5 days. Finally, the wet samples were transferred to 6mm sample cells and activated under dynamic vacuum at room temperature for 18 hours until the outgas rate was less than 2mTorr/min. After evacuation the samples were weighed to obtain the precise mass of the evacuated sample and the cells were transferred to the analysis port of the gas sorption instrument.

Uranyl sorption measurements. Uranyl sorption experiments were performed as reported elsewhere.^[7] Specifically, the experiments were carried out at room temperature $(23 \pm 2 \text{ °C})$ under normal atmospheric conditions in 0.1 M NaClO₄ aqueous solutions. The preparation of the test solutions involved dissolution of an appropriate amount of a U(VI) stock solution (0.1 M) that was prepared by dissolution of $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ in distilled water. Generally, test solutions (30 ml) containing U(VI) of known concentration, were mixed with a given mass of MOF and the resulting suspension was shaken in a thermostated orbital shaker (at 100 rpm) for 2 h to assure that equilibrium had been reached. Specifically, the effect of pH was studied in the pH range between 1 and 5, at constant U(VI) concentration ($[U(VI)]_0 = 5*10^{-4} \text{ M}$) in the suspension and T = 296 K. The effect of ionic strength was studied by varying the NaClO₄ concentration between 0 and 0.5 M, at constant U(VI) concentration ($[U(VI)]_0 = 5*10^{-4} \text{ M}$) in the suspension and T = 296 K. For studying the effect of initial U(VI) concentration, the latter was varied between 10⁻⁵ and 9*10⁻³ M in the test suspensions (0.033g of MOF in 30 ml solution) at pH 3. For kinetic studies certain amount of MOF (0.033 g) was mixed with 100 ml of U(VI) solutions ($[U(VI)]_0 = 5*10^{-3}$ M, T = 296 K for UCY-13 and ($[U(VI)]_0 = 5*10^{-4}$ M, T = 296 K for UCY-14) at pH 3 and the metal concentration was determined at regular time steps. For the uranium analysis, aliquots collected from the suspension were filtered with membrane filters (pore size: 450 nm) and the uranium concentration was determined spectrophotometrically (UV 2401 PC Shimadzu) by means of arsenazo-III, according to a previously described method.^[8]

Selectivity measurements. Uranyl selectivity sorption experiments were performed in 10 ml aqueous solutions of NaCl, NaNO₃, Na₂SO₄, CaCl₂ and commercial mineral water (CMW) spiked with 1 ppm of $UO_2(O_2CMe)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ containing 10 mg of solid UCY-13 or UCY-14. The solutions were left under magnetic stirring for 10 min and then the amount of remaining UO_2^{2+} was determined via AdSV (adsorptive stripping voltammetry). The voltammetric measurements were performed using a Trace Metal Analyzer (797 VA Computrace, Metrohm AG Ltd, Switzerland) equipped with a three-electrode system. Measurements were carried out

in a 10 ml glass voltammetric cell equipped with Ag/AgCl/KCl (3 mol/L) as reference electrode and a Pt wire auxiliary electrode. The working electrode was Hanging Mercury Drop Electrode (HMDE). The analysis has been done following the AN-V-088 protocol developed by Metrohm (<u>http://rohs.metrohm.com/Voltammetry/MVA2.html?identifier=AN-V-</u>088&language=en).

Uranyl sorption studies from wastewater, simulated seawater, real seawater and ground water. Experiments of uranyl sorption from wastewater (WW) (from a local wastewater treatment plant), simulated seawater (SSW), real seawater (RSW) spiked with 1 ppm of UO_2^{2+} and ground water (GW) by **UCY-13** and **UCY-14** were performed. The determination of remaining uranium in solution was carried out by alpha-spectroscopy as described elsewhere.^[9] In particular, investigations of uranyl sorption from local ground water known to exhibit a fairly high UO_2^{2+} concentration (~40 ppb)^[10] involved addition of 0.1 g of as synthesized **UCY-13** and **UCY-14** to 200 ml of the aqueous samples and investigation of the uranium sorption under ambient conditions. In addition, SSW, RSW and WW samples were investigated by contacting 30 ml of the samples, which were contaminated with uranium standard reference solution, with 0.1 g of the MOF adsorbents. The contact time between the metal ions and the adsorbent was set at 3 hours to reach equilibrium. The experiments were repeated with similar samples traced with ²³²U-tracer (NPL) or uranium AAS standard (Sigma Aldrich) solution to evaluate the method efficiency and simultaneously investigate the sorption process using spiked field samples.

Structural Figures



Figure S1: Representations of: a) the $[Zr_6(\mu_3-O)_4(\mu_3-OH)_4(COO)_8(OH)_4(H_2O)_4]$ SBU, b) the HFPBBA²⁻ ligand as well as a simplified form in which the phenyl rings are omitted, c) the 2D framework along *a*-axis. d) the 2D framework along *b*-axis, e) the 2D framework along *c*-axis emphasizing on the formation of rhombic channels and f) the 2D framework along *b*-axis of **UCY-13**; dashed lines denote the closest distances between the SBUs and the intersheet hydrophobic interactions between two adjacent sheets. Color code: Zirconium, dark blue; Fluorine, light green; Oxygen, red; Carbon, grey; Hydrogen, white.



Figure S2: Representations of: a) the $[Zr_6(\mu_3-O)_4(\mu_3-OH)_4(COO)_8(OH)_4(H_2O)_4]$ cluster, b) the OBA²⁻ ligand as well as a simplified form in which the phenyl rings are omitted, c) the 2D framework along *a*-axis, d) the 2D framework along *b*-axis and e) the 2D framework along *c*-axis of **UCY-14** emphasizing on the formation of rhombic channels. Color code: Zirconium, dark blue; Oxygen, red; Carbon, grey; Hydrogen, white.



Figure S3: Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the as synthesized compound **UCY-13**, along with the simulated pattern from the single crystal data.



Figure S4: Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the as synthesized compound **UCY-14**, along with the simulated pattern from the single crystal data.



Figure S5: IR spectrum of the as synthesized compound UCY-13.



Figure S6: IR spectrum of the as synthesized compound UCY-14.

Stability Studies



Figure S7: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-13 treated (as described in the experimental part) in the indicated organic solvents.



Figure S8: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-14 treated (as described in the experimental part) in the indicated organic solvents.



Figure S9: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-13 treated (as described in the experimental part) in aqueous solutions of the indicated pH values.



Figure S10: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-14 treated (as described in the experimental part) in aqueous solutions of the indicated pH values.



Figure S11: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-13 treated (as described in the experimental part) in aqueous solutions of the indicated chemical species. Blank: $0.1M \text{ NaClO}_4$ and $\text{NaNO}_3 0.5 \text{mM}$.



Figure S12: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of UCY-14 treated (as described in the experimental part) in aqueous solutions of the indicated chemical species. Blank: $0.1M \text{ NaClO}_4$ and $\text{NaNO}_3 0.5 \text{mM}$.



Figure S13: Variable temperature pXRD patterns of compound UCY-13.



Figure S14: TGA graph of the as synthesized compound UCY-13. TG analysis reveals that the thermal decomposition of compound UCY-13 proceeds via a two-step process. The first step (until ~450°C) is attributed to the removal of the lattice DMF and HCOOH molecules and terminally ligated H₂O molecules corresponds to ~37.0 % of the material's total mass. This value is in agreement with the corresponding calculated value for UCY-13·8DMF·16HCOOH (~37.6%). In fact, ¹H-NMR measurements of the digested (in aq.KOH) as synthesized material also support the presence of DMF and HCOOH molecules in UCY-13 (Fig. S15). The second mass loss which is completed at ~600°C is attributed to the decomposition of the ligand HFPBBA²⁻ and accounts to ~41.5 % of the initial mass of the compound (calculated for UCY-13·8DMF·16HCOOH ~42.2%).



Figure S15: ¹H-NMR spectrum of as synthesized **UCY-13** digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O. These data indicate the presence of the organic ligand HFPBBA²⁻ as well as HCOOH and DMF in **UCY-13**. ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 2.233 (s, 6H, CH₃, Acetone), δ 2.815 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 2.967 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 4.790 (s, 2H, H₂O, H₂O), δ 7.494 (d, 4H, Ar-H, HFPBBA²⁻), δ 7.857 (d, 4H, Ar-H, HFPBBA²⁻), δ 8.429 (s, 1H, HCOO⁻, HCOO⁻).



Figure S16: ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-13 digested in 0.5M KOH in D_2O . These data indicate the presence of the organic ligand HFPBBA²⁻ in UCY-13.



Figure S17: Variable temperature pxrd patterns of compound UCY-14.



Figure S18: TGA graph of the as synthesized compound UCY-14. TG analysis reveals that the thermal decomposition of compound UCY-14 proceeds via a two-step process. The first step (until ~450°C) is attributed to the removal of the lattice / coordinated HCOOH groups and lattice DMF molecules and corresponds to ~30.8 % of material's total mass. This value is in agreement with the corresponding calculated value for UCY-14·6DMF·6HCOOH (~30%). In fact, ¹H-NMR measurements of the digested (in aq.KOH) as synthesized material also support the presence of DMF and HCOOH molecules in UCY-14 (Fig. S19). The second mass loss is completed at ~600°C and is attributed to the decomposition of the ligand OBA²⁻ which accounts to 38 % of the initial mass of compound UCY-14·6DMF·6HCOOH (calculated 39.5%).



Figure S19: ¹H-NMR spectrum of as synthesized **UCY-14** digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O. These data indicate the presence of the organic ligand OBA²⁻ as well as HCOOH and DMF in **UCY-14**. ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 2.253 (s, 6H, CH₃, Acetone), δ 2.825 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 2.974 (s, 3H, CH₃, DMF), δ 4.790 (s, 2H, H₂O, H₂O), δ 7.096 (d, 4H, Ar-H, OBA²⁻), δ 7.894 (d, 4H, Ar-H, OBA²⁻), δ 8.457 (s, 1H, HCOO⁻, HCOO⁻).

Gas Sorption Measurements



Figure S20: BET plot for the UCY-13 from Ar adsorption isotherm at 87K.



Figure S21: Langmuir plot for UCY-13 from Ar adsorption isotherm at 87K.



Figure S22: Argon adsorption isotherm of **UCY-13** recorded at 87 K and the corresponding NLDFT fitting.



Figure S23: BET plot for the UCY-14 from Ar adsorption isotherm at 87 K.



Figure S24: Langmuir plot for UCY-14 from Ar adsorption isotherm at 87 K.



Figure S25: Argon adsorption isotherm of **UCY-14** recorded at 87 K and the corresponding NLDFT fitting.

Low pressure CO_2 , CH_4 and H_2 sorption isotherms, determination of heat of adsorption and CO_2/CH_4 selectivity calculations using IAST.

Heat of adsorption: To calculate heats of adsorptions, the corresponding adsorption isotherms at two different temperatures (77 K / 87 K for H₂ and 273 K / 293 K for CO₂ and CH₄) were simultaneously fitted using the virial type^[11,12] Equation 1:

$$\ln P = \ln N + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i N^i$$
⁽¹⁾

The heat of adsorption at zero coverage was calculated from Equation 2, where as a function of surface coverage, from Equation 3:

$$Q_{st} = -Ra_o \tag{2}$$

$$Q_{st}(N) = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i$$
(3)

For the determination of the isosteric heat of adsorption using the Clausious - Clapeyron equation a commercially available software, ASiQwin (version 3.01) purchased from Quantachrome, was used.

Gas Selectivity using the IAST model. The corresponding calculations were performed according to an established procedure.^[13–15] Specifically, the single-component adsorption isotherms were described by fitting the data with the following virial-type equation.

$$p = \frac{n}{K} exp^{[i0]}(c_1 1n + c_2 n^2 + c_3 n^3 + c_4 n^4)$$
(4)

Where p is the pressure in Torr, n is the adsorbed amount in mmol g-1, K is the Henry constant in mmol g-1 Torr-1 and ci are the constants of the virial equation.

The free energy of desorption at a given temperature and pressure of the gas is obtained from the analytical integration of eq. (4):

$$G(T,p) = RT \int_{0}^{p} \frac{n}{p} dp = RT(n + \frac{1}{2}c_{1}n^{2} + \frac{2}{3}c_{2}n^{3} + \frac{3}{4}c_{3}n^{4} + \frac{4}{5}c_{4}n^{5})$$
(5)

The free energy of desorption is a function of temperature and pressure G(T,p) and describes the minimum work (Gibbs free energy) that required to completely degas the adsorbent surface.

For a binary mixture of component i and j eq. (5) yields the individual pure loadings n_i^0 and n_j^0 at the same free energy of desorption:

$$G_i^0(n_i^0) = G_j^0(n_j^0)$$
(6)

The partial pressure of component i and j in an ideal adsorption mixture is given by the following equations:

$$py_i = p_i^0(n_i^0)x_i \tag{7}$$

$$py_{j} = p_{j}^{0}(n_{j}^{0})x_{j}$$
(8)

whereyi (=1-yj) and xi (=1-xj) is the molar fraction of component i in the gas phase and the adsorbed phase respectively and p_i^0 , p_j^0 the pure component pressure of i and j respectively. From eq. (6)-(8) and (3), the selectivity for the adsorbates i and j (Si,j) and the total pressure (p) of the gas mixture were calculated from eq. (9) and eq. (10), respectively.



Figure S26: CO₂ adsorption isotherms of UCY-13 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K.



Figure S27: Virial type fitting of CO_2 adsorption isotherms of UCY-13 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K according to equation 1.



Figure S28: CO₂ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-13 as a function of surface coverage.



Figure S29: CO₂ Adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K.



Figure S30: Virial type fitting of CO_2 adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K according to equation 1.



Figure S31: CO₂ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-14 as a function of surface coverage.



Figure S32: CH₄ adsorption isotherms of UCY-13 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K.



Figure S33: Virial type fitting of CH_4 adsoprtion isotherms of UCY-13 at 273 K, 283 K and 298 K accornding to equation 1.



Figure S34: CH₄ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-13 as a function of surface coverage.



Figure S35: CH₄ adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 273 K and 298 K.



Figure S36: Virial type fitting of CH_4 adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 273 K and 298 K according to equation 1.



Figure S37: CH₄ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-14 as a function of surface coverage.



Figure S38: Selectivities of CO_2 over CH_4 at 298 K and 273 K for UCY-13 as predicted by IAST for a 5/95 CO_2/CH_4 molar mixture.



Figure S39: Selectivities of CO_2 over CH_4 at 298 K and 273 K for UCY-14 as predicted by IAST for a 5/95 CO_2/CH_4 molar mixture.



Figure S40: H₂ adsorption isotherms of UCY-13 at 77 K and 88 K.



Figure S41: Virial type fitting of H_2 adsoprtion isotherms of UCY-13 at 77 K and 88 K according to equation 1.



Figure S42: H₂ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-13 as a function of surface coverage.



Figure S43: H₂ adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 77 K and 88 K.



Figure S44: Virial type fitting of H_2 adsorption isotherms of UCY-14 at 77 K and 88 K according to equation 1.



Figure S45: H₂ isosteric heat of adsorption in UCY-14 as a function of surface coverage.

Uranyl Sorption Measurements

MOF/MOF based material	Adsorption Capacity (mg/g)	pН	Equilibrium Time (mins)	Reusability	Mechanism	Ref.
UiO-66	109.9	5.5	300	Not Reported	I.E. ^b	[16]
UiO-66-NH ₂	114.9	5.5	300	Not Reported	I.E. ^b	[<mark>16</mark>]
UiO-66AO	2.68(seawater)	~7. 8	120	Reusable	C.L.F.G.°	[17]
UiO-68-P(O)(OEt) ₂	217	2.5	60	Not fully desorbed		[18]
	152	5	60		C.L.F.G.*	
UiO-68-P(O)(OH) ₂	109	2.5	60	Not fully desorbed	C.L.F.G.°	[18]
	104	5	60			
GO-COOH/UiO-66	188.3	8	230	Reusable	I.E. ^b	[19]
HKUST-1	787.4	6	60	Low desorption yield	E.I. ^d	[20]
MIL-101	20	5.5	120	Not Reported	N/A	[21]
MIL-101-NH ₂	90	5.5	120	Reusable	CLFG °	[21]
MIL-101-ED	200	5.5	120	Reusable ^a	CLFG °	[<mark>21</mark>]
MIL-101-DETA	350	5.5	120	Reusable ^a	CLFG °	[21]
MOF-76	298	3	60	Reusable	I.E. ^b	[22]
UCY-13	984	3	120	Not Desorbed	C.D.SBU ^e	this work
UCY-14	471	3	120	Not Desorbed	C.D.SBU ^e	this work

Table S2: Uranyl sorption by representative MOFs.

^a A small decrease (~ 30 %) of the UO₂²⁺sorption capacity of the re-used material was observed. ^b I.E.: Ion Exchange; ^c C.L.F.G.: Coordination to ligand's functional groups; ^d E.I.: Electrostatic Interactions, ^e C.D.SBU: Coordination to donor groups of the SBU



Figure S46: Effect of pH on U(VI) sorption by UCY-13, $C_{in} = 0.5$ mM, pH = 1 - 5 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.







Figure S48: Effect of ionic strength on U(VI) sorption by UCY-13, $C_{in} = 0.5$ mM, pH = 3 ± 0.1, I = 0 - 0.5M (NaClO₄), m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.





Figure S49: Effect of ionic strength on U(VI) sorption by UCY-14, $C_{in} = 0.5$ mM, pH = 3 ± 0.1, I = 0 - 0.5M (NaClO₄), m_{sorbent}: UCY-14 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.

Figure S50: The kinetics of U(VI) sorption by UCY-13, $C_{in} = 0.5$ mM, pH = 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 100 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S51: The kinetics of U(VI) sorption by UCY-14, $C_{in} = 0.5$ mM, pH = 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), UCY-14 = 0.033g, $V_{solution} = 100$ ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Characterization of U(VI)@MOF Materials

Figure S52: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of U(VI)@UCY-13 (UCY-13 containing U(VI) into its pores); C_{in} = shown in the figure, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S53: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of U(VI)@UCY-14 (UCY-14 containing U(VI) into its pores); C_{in} = shown in the figure, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-14 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. Blank: 0.1M NaClO₄ and NaNO₃ 0.5mM.



Figure S54: IR spectra of U(VI)@UCY-13 (UCY-13 containing U(VI) into its pores); $C_{in} =$ shown in the figure, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S55: IR spectra of U(VI)@UCY-14 (UCY-14 containing U(VI) into its pores); $C_{in} =$ shown in the figure, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-14 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S56: ¹H-NMR spectrum of treated UCY-13 (magnetic stirring for 2 hours of 33 mg of UCY-13 in a solution containing NaClO₄ 0.1M with pH = 3) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O. This measurement indicates that no ligand decomposition takes place at the corresponding conditions since no additional peaks appear compared to the ¹H NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-13 shown in fig S15 (for peaks assignment see the caption of fig S15).



Figure S57: ¹H-NMR spectrum of U(VI)@UCY-13 (UCY-13 containing U(VI) into its pores); $C_{in}(UO_2(NO_3)_2) = 1$ mM, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O. This measurement indicates that no ligand decomposition takes place at the corresponding conditions since no additional peaks appear in this spectrum compared to the ¹H NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-13 shown in fig S15 (for the assignment of the peaks see the caption of figS15).



Figure S58: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the supernatant liquid after U(VI) sorption into the framework of **UCY-13**; $C_{in}(UO_2(NO_3)_2) = 1$ mM, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: **UCY-13** = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching and MOF decomposition takes place upon U(VI) sorption into the

framework of **UCY-13** since the only peak appearing in the spectrum (δ 4.790) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.



Figure S59: ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of U(VI)@UCY-13 (UCY-13 containing U(VI) into its pores) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O; $C_{in}(UO_2(NO_3)_2) = 1$ mM, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-13 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand decomposition takes place at the corresponding conditions since there is only one peak appearing at this spectrum (δ -63.75) as happens in the ¹⁹F-NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-13 digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O shown in fig S16.



Figure S60: ¹H-NMR spectrum of treated UCY-14 (magnetic stirring for 2 hours of 33 mg of UCY-14 in a solution containing NaClO₄ 0.1M with pH = 3) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O. This measurement indicates that no ligand decomposition takes place at the corresponding

conditions since no additional peaks appear in this spectrum compared to the ¹H NMR spectrum of as synthesized **UCY-14** shown in fig S19 (for the assignment of the peaks see the caption of figS19). This measurement also indicates the partial removal of lattice DMF and formic acid molecules and ligated formate during this treatment.



Figure S61: ¹H-NMR spectrum of U(VI)@UCY-14 (UCY-14 containing U(VI) into its pores) digested in 0.5M KOH in D₂O; $C_{in}(UO_2(NO_3)_2) = 9mM$, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-14 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand decomposition takes place at the corresponding conditions since no additional peaks appear in this spectrum compared to the ¹H NMR spectrum of as synthesized UCY-14 shown in fig S19 (for the assignment of the peaks see the caption of fig S19).



Figure S62: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the supernatant liquid after U(VI) sorption by UCY-14; $C_{in}(UO_2(NO_3)_2) = 9mM$, pH 3 ± 0.1, I = 0.1M (NaClO₄), t = 120 min, m_{sorbent}: UCY-14 = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching and MOF decomposition takes place upon U(VI) sorption into the framework of UCY-14 since the only peak appearing in the spectrum (δ = 4.790 ppm) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.

$$\{H_{16} [Zr_6O_{16} (HFPBBA)_4]\}_n + 10nUO_2(NO_3)_2 + 10ynH_2O \longrightarrow$$

$$\{H_{16-x} [Zr_6O_{16} (HFPBBA)_4 ((UO_2(H_2O)_y)_{10}(NO_3)_{20-x})]\}_n + xnH^+ + xnNO_3^- Equation 1 \}$$

$$\{H_{13}[Zr_{6}O_{14}(OBA)_{4}(HCOO)]\}_{n} + 4nUO_{2}(NO_{3})_{2} + (4y+2)nH_{2}O \longrightarrow$$

$$\{H_{16-x}[Zr_{6}O_{16}(OBA)_{4}((UO_{2}(H_{2}O)_{y})_{4}(NO_{3})_{8-x})]\}_{n} + xnH^{+} + xnNO_{3}^{-} + nHCOOH \quad Equation 2$$

Scheme S1: Stoichiometric chemical equations representing UO_2^{2+} sorption by UCY-13 (Equation 1) and UCY-14 (Equation 2). Note that when UCY-14 is exposed in aqueous solutions the ligated bridging HCOO⁻ is replaced by terminal OH⁻ and H₂O molecules as indicated by ¹H NMR spectra of the digested in 0.5 M KOH material (see Fig. S60).

Ion	Quantity (mg/L)
Ca ²⁺	93.1
Mg^{2+}	1.9
Na ⁺	2.6
K^+	0.1
$ m NH_4^+$	<0.1
HCO ₃ -	270
Cl-	9
SO4 ²⁻	12.2
NO ₃ -	7.2
NO_2^-	<0.05

Table S3: Composition of the Commercial Mineral Water (CMW) used in this study.



Figure S63: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns after stirring for 2 hours a suspension of UCY-13, in various aqueous media (CMW: commercial mineral water, SSW: simulated seawater, RSW: real seawater, WW: wastewater, GW: ground water); $m_{sorbent}$: UCY-13 = 0.1g, $V_{solution}$ = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S64: Powder X-ray diffraction patterns after stirring for 2 hours a suspension of UCY-14, in various aqueous media (CMW: commercial mineral water, SSW: simulated seawater, RSW: real seawater, WW: wastewater, GW: ground water); $m_{sorbent}$: UCY-14 = 0.1g, $V_{solution}$ = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S65: IR spectra of treated UCY-13 (magnetic stirring for 2 hours of a suspension of UCY-13, in various aqueous media; CMW: commercial mineral water, SSW: simulated seawater, RSW: real seawater, WW: wastewater, GW: ground water); $m_{sorbent}$: UCY-13 = 0.1g, $V_{solution} = 30$ ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S66: IR spectra of treated UCY-14 (magnetic stirring for 2 hours of a suspension of UCY-14, in various aqueous media; CMW: commercial mineral water, SSW: simulated seawater, RSW: real seawater, WW: wastewater, GW: ground water); $m_{sorbent}$: UCY-14 = 0.1g, $V_{solution} = 30$ ml and T = 296 ± 2 K.



Figure S67: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the filtrate resulted from the treatment (magnetic stirring for 2 hours) of **UCY-13** with CMW; $m_{sorbent}$: **UCY-13** = 0.033g, $V_{solution}$ = 30 ml and T = 296 ± 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching and MOF decomposition takes place upon treatment of **UCY-13** with CMW1 since the only peak appeared in this spectrum (δ 4.790) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.



Figure S68: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the filtrate resulted from the treatment (magnetic stirring for 2 hours) of **UCY-13**, in CMW in the presence of $UO_2^{2+}(1ppm)$; $m_{sorbent}$: **UCY-13** = 0.033g, $V_{solution} = 30$ ml and $T = 296 \pm 2$ K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching, and MOF decomposition takes place upon U(VI) sorption by **UCY-13** in CMW1 since the only peak appeared in this spectrum (δ 4.790) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.



Figure S69: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the filtrate resulted from the treatment (magnetic stirring for 2 hours) of **UCY-14** with CMW; m_{sorbent}: **UCY-14** = 0.033g, V_{solution} = 30 ml and T = 296 \pm 2 K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching and MOF decomposition takes place upon treatment of **UCY-14** with CMW1 since the only peak appeared in this spectrum (δ 4.790) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.

Figure S70: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the filtrate resulted from the treatment (magnetic stirring for 2 hours) of **UCY-14**, with CMW in the presence of $UO_2^{2+}(1ppm)$; $m_{sorbent}$: **UCY-14** = 0.033g, $V_{solution} = 30$ ml and $T = 296 \pm 2$ K. This measurement indicates that no ligand leaching and MOF decomposition takes place upon U(VI) sorption by **UCY-14** in CMW1 since the only peak appeared in this spectrum (δ 4.790) is assigned to H₂O H atoms.



Figure S71: Representations of a) the $[Zr_6(\mu_3-O)_4(\mu_3-OH)_4(COO)_8(\mu_2-O)_4(\mu_2-O)_4(\mu_2-O)_4(\mu_2-O)_4(\mu_2-O)_4)]$ SBU of a proposed U(VI)@UCY-13 theoretical model created assuming

that UO_2^{2+} ions are linked to the Zr₆ SBUs through their terminal OH/H₂O groups and b) the 2D framework of the U(VI)@UCY-13 theoretical model along *a*-axis (uranium, turquoise; zirconium, dark blue; fluorine, light green; oxygen, red; carbon, grey; hydrogen, white).



Figure S72: Simulated pxrd pattern produced from the proposed U(VI)@UCY-13 theoretical model shown in figure S71 and its comparison with the indicated simulated/experimental pxrd patterns.



Figure S73: Representations of a) the $[Zr_6(\mu_3-O)_4(\mu_3-OH)_4(COO)_8(\mu_2-O)_2(\mu_2-O)_2(\mu_3-OH)_4(COO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(OO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(OO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(OO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(AO)_8(\mu_3-OH)_4(\mu_3-O$

 $OH_{2}\{UO_{2}(H_{2}O)_{3}\}_{2}(OH)_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}]$ SBU of a proposed U(VI)@UCY-14 theoretical model created assuming that UO_{2}^{2+} ions are linked to the Zr₆ SBUs through their terminal OH/H₂O groups and b) the 2D framework of the U(VI)@UCY-14 theoretical model along *c*-axis (uranium, turquoise; zirconium, dark blue; oxygen, red; carbon, grey; hydrogen, white).



Figure S74: Simulated pxrd pattern produced from the proposed U(VI)@UCY-14 theoretical model shown in figure S73 and its comparison with the indicated simulated/experimental pxrd patterns.



Figure S75: The optimized binding geometries of $UO_2(NO_3)_2(H_2O)$ complex with (a) H_2OBA and (b) $H_2HFPBBA$ linker. Uranium, carbon, oxygen, fluorine and hydrogen illustrated as blue, grey, red, light blue and white spheres, respectively.

References

- 1 K. Saito, T. Miyauchi, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 1982, 19, 145–150.
- 2 G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 3 Oxford Diffraction 2017, *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*, version p171.38.46; Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxford, England.
- 4 L. J. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1999, **32**, 837–838.
- 5 K. Brandenburg, *DIAMOND* 2014, *Version 3.2k*, Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn,

Germany.

- 6 A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 7–13.
- 7 L. Hadjittofi, I. Pashalidis, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2015, 304, 897–904.
- 8 M. H. Khan, P. Warwick, N. Evans, *Chemosphere*, 2006, **63**, 1165–1169.
- 9 T. Kiliari, I. Pashalidis, *Radiat. Meas.*, 2010, **45**, 966–968.
- C. Charalambous, M. Aletrari, P. Piera, P. Nicolaidou-Kanari, M. Efstathiou, I. Pashalidis, *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 2013, **116**, 187–192.
- 11 L. Czepirski, J. Jagieł Ło, Chem. Eng. Sci., 1989, 44, 797-801.
- K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.H. Bae, J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724–781.
- 13 A. L. Myers, J. M. Prausnitz, *AIChE J.*, 1965, **11**, 121–127.
- 14 Y.-S. Bae, K. L. Mulfort, H. Frost, P. Ryan, S. Punnathanam, L. J. Broadbelt, J. T. Hupp, R. Q. Snurr, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 8592–8598.
- 15 G. S. Armatas, M. G. Kanatzidis, Nat. Mater., 2009, 8, 217–222.
- B.-C. Luo, L.-Y. Yuan, Z.-F. Chai, W.-Q. Shi, Q. Tang, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.,
 2016, 307, 269–276.
- L. Chen, Z. Bai, L. Zhu, L. Zhang, Y. Cai, Y. Li, W. Liu, Y. Wang, L. Chen, J. Diwu, ,
 J. Wang, Z. Chai, S. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 32446–32451.
- 18 M. Carboni, C. W. Abney, S. Liu, W. Lin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 2396–2402.
- P. Yang, Q. Liu, J. Liu, H. Zhang, Z. Li, R. Li, L. Liu, J. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 17933–17942.
- 20 Y. Feng, H. Jiang, S. Li, J. Wang, X. Jing, Y. Wang, M. Chen, Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp., 2013, 431, 87–92.
- 21 Z.-Q. Bai, L.-Y. Yuan, L. Zhu, Z.-R. Liu, S.-Q. Chu, L.-R. Zheng, J. Zhang, Z.-F. Chai, W.-Q. Shi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 525–534.

22 W. Yang, Z.-Q. Bai, W.-Q. Shi, L.-Y. Yuan, T. Tian, Z.-F. Chai, H. Wang, Z.-M. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10415–10417.